Novel strategies of hypertension management in chronic kidney disease
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Abstract
Hypertension is the most frequent comorbidity affecting patients with chronic kidney disease. It causes functional and structural changes in the kidney. According to the results of the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) study, 67% to 92% of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) suffer from hypertension, with increasing prevalence as kidney function declines. Hypertension is a complex polygenic disorder the occurrence of which depends on the interaction of the effects of many genes or gene combinations as well environmental factors. This review presents novel recommendations concerning hypertension treatment of chronic kidney disease patients, including ACE inhibitor (in order to slow down kidney disease progression), angiotensin II receptor blocker therapy, bumetanide, furosemide, calcium antagonist, etc. Moreover, non-pharmacological interventions aiming at reducing blood pressure, such as diet and life-style change and renal denervation, are described herein. Finally, we presented novel, emerging strategies including neprilysin and sacubitril/valsartan (LCZ696).
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Introduction
Hypertension is the most frequent comorbidity affecting patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). It causes functional and structural changes in the kidney [1]. According to the results of the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) study, 67% to 92% of patients with CKD suffer from hypertension, with increasing prevalence as kidney function declines [2]. Hypertension may be the result of kidney disease, but on the other hand its presence can also accelerate progressive renal failure [3]. Due to the fact that renal disease progression and blood pressure (BP) elevation are closely related to each other, appropriate treatment is required not only to reduce cardiovascular risk, but also to prevent further kidney functional decline [4–6]. Hypertension significantly contributes to the development of heart failure (HF) and cardiovascular disease (CAD) [7].

The updated 2017ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults classifies hypertension as systolic...
blood pressure (SBP) value equal to 130/80 mm Hg or higher [3]. In this guideline, SBP is categorised on the basis of average BP measured in a healthcare setting (office pressures) into four levels: normal (SBP < 120 mm Hg and DBP < 80 mm Hg), elevated (SBP 120–129 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) < 80 mm Hg), or stage 1 (SBP 130–139 mm Hg or DBP 80–89 mm Hg) and stage 2 hypertension (SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg or ≥ 90 mm Hg). Hypertensive urgency occurs when SBP > 180 mm Hg and/or DBP > 120 mm Hg, while hypertensive emergency is diagnosed when SBP > 180 mm Hg plus target organ damage and/or DBP > 120 mm Hg plus target organ damage.

Also, the Japanese Society of Hypertension (JSH 2014) [8] and the Japanese Society of Nephrology (JSN 2013) [9] guidelines recommend < 130/80 mm Hg as a BP target in hypertensive CKD patients with diabetes mellitus or proteinuria and drug acting on renin–angiotensin system (RAS) as the first-choice antihypertensive agent [10]. However, in those with neither diabetes mellitus nor proteinuria, the target BP is < 140/90 mm Hg and the first-line choices are: calcium channel blocker, RAS inhibitor, or diuretic [4, 8, 9].

In the case of patients with untreated SBP higher than 130 mm Hg but less than 160 mm Hg or DBP greater than 80 mm Hg but less than 100 mm Hg, either daytime ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) or home blood pressure monitoring (HBPM) should be used before the diagnosis of hypertension in order to screen for the presence of white coat hypertension [3].

The Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines also provides new treatment recommendations, which include lifestyle changes as well as BP-lowering medications [3].

Causes of hypertension

Hypertension is a complex polygenic disorder the occurrence of which depends on the interaction of the effects of many genes or gene combinations as well as environmental factors [11]. Liddle’s syndrome, Gordon’s syndrome, and glucocorticoid-remediable aldosteronism are rare forms of monogenic hypertension [12]. Moreover, over 25 rare mutations and 120 single nucleotide polymorphisms contributing to BP and hypertension have been identified [12, 13]. However, according to studies, the collective effect of all determined BP loci accounts for only about 3.5% of BP variability [13]. According to Ference et al. [14] the occurrence of numerous small-effect alleles that results in increased BP levels is associated with a more rapid rise in BP with age.

Apart from genetic factors, also environmental agents, including diet, physical activity, and alcohol consumption influence blood pressure levels [3]. Many diet-related factors have been demonstrated to be associated with high BP levels. Overweight or obesity, excessive intake of sodium and alcohol, low consumption of magnesium, potassium, calcium, fibres, and fish fats in combination with low physical activity are responsible for hypertension in many individuals [15, 16]. Large epidemiological studies, such as the Framingham Heart Study [17] and the Nurses’ Health Study [18], have confirmed a direct, continuous, and almost linear relationship between body mass index and BP [19]. In these studies, obesity was suggested to be responsible for about 40% or 78% of hypertension in men and 65% in women, respectively [20, 21]. Numerous studies also demonstrated a positive association between sodium intake and age-related increase in BP [22, 23]. Certain groups, including the black population, older adults, and those with a higher level of BP or comorbidities such as CKD, diabetes mellitus (DM), or metabolic syndrome, are particularly sensitive to the impact of dietary sodium on BP [24–26]. However, higher level of potassium was suggested to blunt the effect of sodium on BP [27]. Individuals with lower sodium–potassium ratio have been demonstrated to have lower levels of BP in comparison to those with similar levels of sodium or potassium [28].

The inverse association between physical activity/physical fitness and BP level and hypertension has been proven in epidemiological studies [29]. Even modest levels of physical activity have been found to diminish the risk of incident hypertension [30, 31], attenuate the rise of BP with age, and prevent the development of hypertension. The contribution of alcohol intake to the prevalence of hypertension varies in relation to the level of its consumption [32].

Sudden onset of hypertension, severe elevation of BP, pharmacologically resistant hypertension, and increased BP in patients with hypertension previously controlled on drug therapy may have a specific, remediable cause and is called secondary hypertension. According to estimates it can be identified in approximately 10% of adult patients with hypertension. The most frequent causes of secondary hypertension include the following: renovascular disease (5–34%), obstructive sleep apnoea (25–50%), primary aldosteronism (8–20%), drug or alcohol induced (2–4%) and renal parenchymal disease (1–2%), and hyperthyroidism [3, 33–35].

The prevalence of hypertension is increasing worldwide. A large multi-centre national population health examination survey (WOBASZ) demonstrated that the occurrence of hypertension in Poland is high and increased by about 12% in 10 years [36].
Treatment of CKD patients – novel recommendations

The most recent 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension suggest that in patients with diabetic or non-diabetic CKD the recommended office blood pressure should be ≤140/90 mm Hg along with lifestyle advice and BP-lowering medication (Class I, Level A) [37]. Moreover, in this group of patients, SBP should be lowered to within the range 130–139 mm Hg (Class I, Level A). According to this recommendation, individualised treatment should be applied in reference to its tolerability and impact on renal function and electrolytes (Class IIa, Level C). Initial therapy was suggested to comprise a combination of RAS blocker (which is most effective at reducing albuminuria) with a calcium-channel blockers (CCB) or a diuretic (Class I, Level A). Loop diuretics are much more effective than thiazide/thiazide-like diuretics when eGFR is reduced to <30 ml/min/1.72 m². Finally, a combination of two RASs is not recommended (Class III, Level A) [37]. In the case of resistant hypertension, spironolactone (25–50 mg o.d.) or other diuretic, α-blocker, or β-blocker should also be used. However, in patients with eGFR <45 ml/min/1.72 m² or baseline K⁺ ≥4.5 mmol/l spironolactone should be used with caution due to the risk of hyperkalaemia. Patients with CKD should also receive lifestyle advice on sodium restriction, and drug treatment when their office BP is >140/90 mm Hg. Lifestyle advice seems to be especially effective at aiding BP lowering in patients with CKD. BP lowering reduces renal perfusion pressure, thus it is not unusual for eGFR to be reduced by 10–20% in patients treated for hypertension [37]. Careful monitoring of blood electrolytes and eGFR is essential in these patients; however, clinicians should not be alarmed by the anticipated decline in GFR, which usually occurs within the first few weeks of treatment and stabilises thereafter. The treatment should be stopped if the decline in GFR continues or becomes more severe. At that time the patient should be examined for the presence of renovascular disease [37].

According to the updated 2017

ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guidelines in adults with hypertension and CKD, the BP goal that should be reached is <130/80 mm Hg [3, 38–42]. CKD is an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease, and its coexistence with hypertension further increases this risk as well as cerebrovascular event risk, especially in the presence of proteinuria [43]. There are very few data supporting BP targets in CKD, due to the fact that patients with CKD are usually excluded from clinical trials. In the past, most recommendations concerning BP targets in patients with CKD favoured BP ≤140/90 mm Hg [44], except for the case of patients with more severe proteinuria (≥300 mg albuminuria in 24 h or the equivalent) in whom the lower target of <130/80 mm Hg was established [45–47]. The results of the SPRINT study, which enrolled also patients with advanced CKD, favoured a lower target of <130/80 mm Hg for all patients with CKD [48]. However, some observational studies of CKD patients demonstrated a higher risk of mortality at lower systolic pressures in elderly patients with CKD [49, 50]. This suggests that complex patients may be at greater risk of complications associated with intense BP treatment, even those who failed to achieve lower BP targets.

In hypertensive patients with chronic kidney disease, combining two or more antihypertensive agents is frequently necessary in order to achieve the current BP goal [51]. However, according to studies, in hypertensive CKD patients, BP control is not achieved even in many patients taking three antihypertensive agents [52]. The reasons for that may include the following: salt and water retention, enhanced sympathetic nervous system activity, increased activity of RAS, and reduced biological activity of nitric oxide systems [4, 53–56]. Despite the use of antihypertensive medications, the aforementioned mechanisms compensate each other to make BP control difficult. Therefore, there is a need for new efficient antihypertensive agents that will be not only safe for CKD patients but also will slow the progression of renal disease.

Adults with hypertension and CKD stage 3 or higher or stage 1 or 2 with albuminuria ≥300 mg/day, or ≥300 mg/g albumin-to-creatinine ratio or the equivalent in the first morning void, should be treated with an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor in order to slow down kidney disease progression [39, 57–59]. In adult patients with hypertension and CKD stage 3 or higher or stage 1 or 2 with albuminuria ≥300 mg/day, or ≥300 mg/g albumin-to-creatinine ratio in the first morning void, who do not tolerate ACE inhibitors, treatment with an ARB may be reasonable [57]. A 10% to 25% rise in serum creatinine may occur in some patients with CKD as a result of either ACE inhibitor or ARB therapy [60].

In the treatment of hypertensive patients with or without CKD, combinations of drugs that have similar mechanisms of action or clinical effects should be avoided due to the fact that agents targeting the same BP control system are less effective and are potentially harmful when used together [3]. Large studies and trials indicate increased cardiovascular and renal risk following simultaneous use of RAS blockers [60,61]. The combination...
of an ACE inhibitor and an angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) should be avoided because it is associated with harm observed in several large cardiology trials [60]. Also, the combination of an ARB (or ACE inhibitor) and a direct renin inhibitor is contraindicated in CKD patients due to the enhanced risk of hyperkalaemia and hypotension and lack of confirmed benefit [62]. β-blockers are not recommended as first-line drugs, except for patients suffering from ischaemic heart disease (IHD) or heart failure (HF) [3].

In CKD patients, the risk of hyperkalaemia is increased while using ACE inhibitors (Benazepril, Captopril, Enalapril, Fosinopril, Lisinopril, Moexipril, Perindopril, Quinapril, Ramipril, Trandolapril), ARBs (Azilsartan, Candesartan, Eprosartan, Irbesartan, Losartan, Olmesartan, Telmisartan, Valsartan), or direct renin inhibitor (Aliskiren). Moreover, in cases of patients with severe bilateral renal artery stenosis, there is a risk of acute renal failure following the administration of ACE inhibitors, ARBs, or direct renin inhibitor (Aliskiren) [3].

Some studies demonstrated that valsartan was well tolerated and effective in treating patients with hypertension and CKD [4].

In chronic kidney disease, the interplay between levels of extracellular fluid (ECF) volume expansion and treatment of CKD-related hypertension is of importance [51]. Volume-dependent hypertension is more frequent in CKD patients, and therefore diuretics often need to be included in the antihypertensive management. High doses of diuretics, despite being very effective in reducing BP, are also associated with metabolic complications, such as hypokalaemia [51].

In patients with moderate-to-severe CKD (GFR < 30 ml/min), bumetanide, furosemide, and torsemide are preferred over thiazides [3]. Diuretics, such as amiloride and triamterene, should be avoided in patients with significant CKD (e.g. GFR < 45 ml/min).

Calcium antagonists (CAs), which are frequently used in patients with CKD and hypertension, do not have to be dose-adjusted in this group of patients [51, 63]. CAs comprise dihydropyridines and non-dihydropyridines which have similar anti-hypertensive efficacy but a different impact on proteinuria and progression of kidney function [51]. According to studies, the administration of non-dihydropyridine CAs (verapamil, diltiazem) to patients with overt nephropathy was associated with diminished proteinuria and a lower rate of clearance decline [64] while dihydropyridine CAs exerted a similar effect only after the simultaneous use with RAAS blocker [65]. Therefore, dihydropyridine CAs should not be used as monotherapy in diabetic or non-diabetic kidney disease with proteinuria, but always in combination with an ACE inhibitor or an ARB [51, 66]. The Avoiding Cardiovascular Events through Combination Therapy in Patients Living with Systolic Hypertension (ACCOMPLISH) study [67] demonstrated the CV risk-lowering efficacy of CA agents when paired with an ACE inhibitor.

Renin inhibitors (e.g. aliskiren), which bind to the renin molecule and limit its catalytic activity, are responsible for reduced plasma renin activity, and Ang I and Ang II levels [51, 68]. Aliskiren, apart from being efficacious in controlling BP in monotherapy, has also been demonstrated to decrease albuminuria, lower BP, normalise serum creatinine, inhibit atherosclerosis development, and improve plaque stability in animal models [69, 70]. Large trials, including the Aliskiren in the Evaluation of proteinuria in Diabetes (AVOID) trial [71] and the Aliskiren Observation of Heart Failure Treatment (ALOFT) trial [72] also revealed the reduction of urine albumin to creatinine ratio (UAER) and neurohumoral suppression in heart failure.

It has been demonstrated that alterations in the endothelin 1 (ET-1) system presents in patients with kidney disease coexisting with cardiovascular disorders, hypertension, and endothelial dysfunction [51]. ET-1 has been suggested to play an important role in the development of hypertension, cardiovascular hypertrophy, renal fibrosis, and glomerulosclerosis [51, 73]. Therefore, its antagonists have been analysed as potential anti-hypertensive and renal impairment rate-slowing medications. Goddard et al. [73] revealed that ET-1 antagonist was highly effective in lowering BP and reducing proteinuria in CKD patients. The study of healthy persons demonstrated that ET-1 receptor blockade improved renal blood flow and increased natriuresis, but only when it was used in combination with an ACE inhibitor [74]. Moreover, two randomised controlled trials of selective ET-1 antagonists (danuserturn [75] or avosentan [76]) showed that these agents were effective and could be used in high-risk patients with resistant hypertension, and that the latter reduced UAER in a dose-dependent manner, independently of BP.

Before the initiation of treatment, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk should be assessed in hypertensive CKD patients [1]. If the 10-year ASCVD risk is ≥10%, they are in a high-risk group and they require antihypertensive therapy with a BP target ≥130/80 mm Hg. Recent meta-analyses and systematic reviews including patients with CKD from the SPRINT study confirm that more intensive BP treatment including patients with CKD from the SPRINT Prevention Partnership [77–79] reduces the frequency of CAD events but failed to demonstrate a reduction in the rate of progression of kidney disease. Also, a BP-Lowering Treatment Trialists’ Collaboration meta-analysis of RCTs enrolling patients with CKD revealed bene-
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fits of more intensive BP lowering [48]. Moreover, before the treatment, the physician must consider many important factors including salt intake, proteinuria levels, the need for RAAS blockade, as well as adherence to prescribed therapies [51] (Table I) [3, 42, 45, 78–82].

Non-pharmacological interventions reducing blood pressure

Updated 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APHa/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guidelines for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults recommend weight loss as a measure to reduce BP in overweight or obese adults with elevated BP or hypertension (recommendation class IA) [3]. The results of a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials suggest that a 1 mm Hg drop should be expected following at least a 1 kg reduction in body weight in the case of most overweight people.

Diet and life-style change

Individuals with elevated BP or hypertension should switch to a heart-healthy diet, such as the dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet (recommendation class IA) and should reduce sodium consumption (recommendation class IA) [3]. The DASH diet, which is rich in fruit, vegetables, low-fat dairy products, whole grains, lean meats, fish, poultry, nuts, seeds, and legumes, with reduced sweets and saturated fat, substantially reduces blood pressure [83–86]. According to studies, the addition of sodium diminishing to the DASH diet further decreases blood pressure and reduces the risk of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and mortality [87, 88]. A study by Tyson et al. [89] indicated that reduced salt-DASH diet considerably enhanced the percentage nocturnal BP decline (nocturnal hypertension is strongly associated with death and/or cardiovascular events), and therefore it may be beneficial in moderate CKD. The results of a large study of 14,882 middle-aged African-American and Caucasian men and women suggested that a low-sodium DASH-style diet was also associated with lower risk of kidney disease [90]. High dietary sodium is an important factor affecting blood pressure, which predisposes patients with established CKD to salt-sensitive hypertension and fluid retention [86, 91]. Moreover, it is also directly associated with renal damage. The results of studies performed on animal models indicated salt-induced kidney damage, which confirms that high salt intake aggravates renal damage. Also, such alternative methods as application of standardised tomato extract (STE) may prove beneficial in hypertensive, obese patients due to its antiplatelet effect [92].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Comparison of blood pressure treatment guidelines in chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC/ESH 2018 [78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–65 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–79 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 80 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension Canada (2018) [78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC/AHA (2017) [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With proteinuria: ACE inhibitor or ARB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNC 8 (2014) [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASH/ISH (2014) [79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESH/ESC (2013) [80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With proteinuria: ACE inhibitor or ARB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDIGO (2012) [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD with albuminuria ≥ 30 mg/24 h or equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDIGO (2012) [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD with albuminuria &lt; 30 mg/24 h or equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE (2011) [81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNC 7 (2003) [82]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regular physical activity was demonstrated to reduce the blood pressure of individuals with hypertension. A review of the BP-lowering effect of aerobic physical activity in 27 randomised controlled studies on individuals with hypertension showed a reduction in BP by a mean of 11.5 mm Hg following a regular medium-to-high-intensity aerobic activity [95]. Moreover, three randomised controlled trials (RCTs) concerning isometric (static) activity demonstrated similar magnitudes of BP reduction in hypertensives while five available RCTs revealed lower effects of dynamic resistance training [95]. Finally, Cavelaars et al. [96] found that the increase in physical activity from a very low level (e.g. watching television) to a moderate level (e.g. shopping) was associated with an average response of SBP of 11.6 mm Hg, DBP of 7.0 mm Hg, as well as HR of 16.1 beats/min, and this effect was greater in the case of overweight subjects and increased with advancing age [96].

Stress reduction seems to be a promising tool of blood pressure lowering; however, it is difficult to assess its exact impact. The results of studies analysing the impact of behavioural therapies, such as guided breathing, yoga, and transcendental meditation, failed to provide final confirmation of their BP-lowering efficacy. Respiratory therapy is a not well-known form of lowering BP. The use of a portable electronic device called a RESPeRATE, which enables slow and deep breathing (“paced breathing”), was demonstrated in clinical trials to directly influence BP [97]. According to studies, slow breathing (< 10 bpm), especially with prolonged exhalation, enhances baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) in hypertensive patients and reduces sympathetic nerve-influencing arteriolar dilatation. In patients with isolated systolic hypertension (ISH) systolic blood pressure was reduced by 18 ±7 and 11 ±4 mm Hg in patients participating in slow-breathing training, with and without an inspiratory load, respectively (p < 0.001). Slow-breathing training, particularly with an inspiratory load, has been demonstrated to be highly effective in reducing resting systolic and pulse pressures.

Also the reduction of alcohol consumption is beneficial in the lowering of BP. A study by Wakabayashi [98] revealed a considerably higher SBP in men who were moderate and heavy drinkers, while the BP of women was significantly higher only in heavy drinkers compared with non-drinkers. Also, DBP was significantly higher in light, moderate, and heavy drinkers of both genders.

Renal denervation (RDN)

Renal denervation (RDN) is a different type of non-pharmacological methods to lower blood pressure [99]. This interventional procedure is based on the interruption of the efferent and afferent renal nerves, which travel together in the adventitia of the renal arteries [100]. The negative role of enhanced renal sympathetic activation in the development and progression of CKD is well established [101]. However, in the early stages of chronic renal failure, the sympathetic activity is elevated and the degree of sympathetic overdrive rises with disease progression. According to studies, both renal sympathetic efferent and afferent nerves exert a potent impact on the initiation, development, and maintenance of elevated systemic BP commonly present in patients with renal failure [101, 102]. Sympathetic overactivity is also an independent predictor of cardiovascular events and mortality in ESRD [102]. Afferent signalling within the native failing kidneys plays a contributory role in renal efferent sympathetic excitation and aggravates the adverse effect of chronically increased sympathetic drive [103]. Therefore, the interruption of efferent and afferent renal fibres possibly alleviates or reverses autonomic imbalance and diminishes renal sympathetic outflow and arterial BP in CKD [104]. The aim of renal denervation is to ablate the effects of augmented sympathetic drive to the kidney, which contributes to the development of hypertension in CKD patients mainly due to sympathetic activation and the release of renin [99]. The reduction of efferent renal nerve traffic also attenuates renal sodium reabsorption [100]. The decline in afferent renal nerve traffic to the brain diminishes central sympathetic outflow to the vasculature and the peripheral organs, including the heart and kidneys [100]. In humans, renal denervation, which is achieved by the delivery of radiofrequency or other forms of energy or norepinephrine-depleting pharmaceuticals via catheters located in the renal arteries, does not require general anaesthesia or surgical implantation of a device [100, 105, 106].

Two trials, SymplicityHTN-149 [107] and Symplicity HTN-250 [108], in which a minimally invasive catheter-based radiofrequency strategy was used to obtain renal nerve denervation, were very successful, and the reduction in blood pressure observed in them lasted for up to three years in many cases. However, the design of these studies was later questioned and the effects of renal denervation were again analysed in the large multi-centre, sham-controlled, blinded Symplicity HTN-3 trial [109]. In turn, this trial demonstrated no significant blood pressure reductions between the renal denervation and the sham groups after 6 months of follow-up. However, the randomised DENERHTN
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A study indicated that in patients with resistant hypertension, despite using RAA system inhibitor, calcium antagonist, and thiazide diuretics, denervation is more effective than the addition of next hypotensive drugs, including spironolactone.

Clinical data in the field of renal denervation use in advanced chronic kidney disease patients are sparse, due to the fact that such patients have been excluded from most trials.

A study of renal denervation in a small group of patients with resistant hypertension and concomitant moderate-to-severe CKD (mean estimated glomerular filtration rate 31 ml/min per 1.73 m²) demonstrated remarkable reductions of comitant moderate-to-severe CKD (mean estimated glomerular filtration rate 31 ml/min per 1.73 m²) demonstrated remarkable reductions of in-office BP (–32/–15 and –33/–19 mm Hg at 6 and 12 months of follow-up, respectively) [104]. This study demonstrated that selective, bilateral sympathtic renal denervation was safe and effective in patients with stage 3–4 CKD and was not associated with acute or short-term deterioration of renal function. Radiofrequency ablation treatment also had a substantial effect on nocturnal BP control, BP power surge, and night-to-day BP ratios. Moreover, in the study of Hering et al. [104], renal denervation reduced mean and maximum night-time BP and restored a physiological dipping pattern in the majority of patients (9 out of 10 patients). The lowering of nocturnal BP is important, because its value predicts cardiovascular events better than 24-hour mean or diurnal BP [104, 110]. Renal denervation was not associated with further deterioration of renal function in CKD patients. In this study also gradual increase in serum haemoglobin levels was observed in all treated patients [105], perhaps as a result of the role of renal sympathetic nerves in the modulation of erythropoiesis [111]. Moreover, a trend toward reduction of urinary albumin excretion, a trend toward reduction in plasma circulating BNP concentrations, and the improvement in augmentation index were observed after renal denervation [104]. According to Marchais et al. [112], higher augmentation index is associated with target-organ damage in haemodialysis patients. The preliminary results of Hering et al. [104] suggest that a wide range of high-risk patients with chronic renal failure and several comorbidities, such as resistant hypertension, diabetes, obesity, and obstructive sleep apnoea, may benefit from bilateral renal denervation.

Another safety and proof-of-concept study, in which renal denervation was performed in 12 patients with ESRD and uncontrolled BP, demonstrated significantly reduced (in comparison to baseline) office systolic BP at 3, 6, and 12 months after renal denervation (RDN) (from 166 ±16.0 to 148 ±11, 150 ±14, and 138 ±17 mm Hg, respectively) [113]. According to the authors, this procedure is reasonable in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD), and it results in a sustained reduction in systolic office BP. However, atrophic renal arteries may pose a problem for application of this technology in some patients with ESRD [114].

However, due to the small number of patients in these studies, there is a need for larger RCTs in this patient population.

**Novel, emerging strategies**

Neprilysin is an enzyme responsible for the breakdown of natriuretic peptides as well as the processing and catabolism of other vasoactive peptides, including bradykinin, substance P, angiotensin II, and endothelin [99, 113]. Due to the fact that the inhibition of neprilysin raises natriuretic peptide levels, resulting in natriuresis, vasodilation, and functional inhibition of the RAS, it has long been considered a target for hypertension [113]. However, it was found that this enzyme also degrades peptides, such as endothelin-1 and Ang II, that are responsible for vasoconstriction. A strong anti-hypertensive effect was observed when omapatrilat (the combination of neprilysin and ACE inhibition) was used [115]. Omapatrilat not only considerably inhibited ACE, diminished levels of angiotensin II, and reduced systemic BP, but also markedly enhanced renal blood flow without associated changes in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and decreased filtration fraction. The results obtained when the animal model of hypertension was used indicate that long-term administration of omapatrilat results in dose-dependent reductions in BP and proteinuria, and hampered progression of glomerulosclerosis, tubulointerstitial fibrosis, and renal injury [116]. In the OVERTURE trial of patients with New York Heart Association class II to IV heart failure, the worsening of renal impairment occurred less frequently with omapatrilat (6.8 vs. 10.1% with enalapril), even in those with moderate renal impairment (determined as serum creatinine <221 µmol/l at baseline) [117]. Therefore, it was a very promising drug for patients with chronic kidney disease. However, due to the higher rate of angioedema observed in the large-scale heart failure trial Omapatrilat Cardiovascular Treatment versus Enalapril (OCTAVE), following the administration of omapatrilat, this therapeutic strategy has been discontinued [107, 117]. The Food and Drug Administration review board did not approve omapatrilat [118]. Currently, a novel combination of equal proportions of neprilysin inhibitor – sacubitril with AT1 receptor antagonist valsartan has been widely tested. The new drug, called LCZ696, does not inhibit bradykinin metabolism as it was in the case of ACE inhibitors. A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, active comparator study of LCZ696
demonstrated significantly greater blood pressure reduction in comparison to valsartan in patients with mild-to-moderate hypertension [119].

A single eight-week, multi-centre, open-label, phase III study including a placebo run-in period of 2–5 weeks for treated patients (to wash out the effects of earlier antihypertensive agents) and 1–2 weeks for untreated patients and an eight-week treatment period with LCZ696 100 mg, with an optional dose titration to 200 or 400 mg based on the need to achieve BP control, demonstrated that in a Japanese population of patients with hypertension and moderate-to-severe renal dysfunction (eGFR ≥ 15 and < 60 ml/min/1.73 m²) LCZ696 was generally safe and well tolerated and its administration was associated with effective BP reduction without a decline in renal function [4]. No severe AEs or deaths were reported; however, 43.8% of patients reported at least one mild-severity AE. The treatment was not associated with clinically meaningful changes in creatinine, potassium, blood urea nitrogen, and eGFR. The observed geometric mean reduction in urinary albumin/creatinine ratio (UACR) was 15.1%, and it was greater in patients with macroalbuminuria than in patients with normoalbuminuria or microalbuminuria. The mean reduction in mean sitting systolic blood pressure (msSBP) and mean sitting diastolic blood pressure (msDBP) was 20.5 ± 11.3 and 8.3 ± 6.3 mm Hg, respectively, from baseline to the week 8 endpoint. The most potent antihypertensive effect of LCZ696 was seen during the first 2 weeks of treatment; from week 2 till 4 there were incremental reductions in msSBP and msDBP and then it remained stable until the week 8 endpoint [4]. This trial demonstrated that LCZ696 preserves GFR by maintaining glomerular capillary pressure despite reductions in BP [4]. According to estimates, BP control is achieved by 13–37% of patients in Japan, Spain, the US, and Norway [4, 120–122]. In this multi-centre, open-label, phase III study, 50% of patients achieved msSBP < 130 mm Hg at endpoint (it was 151.6 mm Hg at the inclusion).

Favourable antihypertensive effects associated with LCZ696 treatment of hypertensive patients with renal impairment in this study were independent of the degree of renal impairment. Therefore, it seems that hypertensive patients with renal impairment can be treated with the same dose and regimen used in hypertensive patients with normal renal function [4].

The most recent trial, UK HARP-III, was conducted to compare the effects of sacubitril/valsartan (LCZ696) and irbesartan on measured glomerular filtration rate (mGFR), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), urine albumin : creatinine ratio (uACR), systolic and diastolic blood pressure, as well as tolerability and safety in patients with eGFR ≥ 20 < 60 ml/min/1.73 m², potassium < 5.5 mmol/l, and no history of angioedema or other contraindication to sacubitril/valsartan or irbesartan [123]. The combination of sacubitril/valsartan exerts actions the same as an angiotensin receptor blocker (valsartan) and prevents the breakdown of certain proteins in the blood (sacubitril), which might slow the progression of kidney damage and delay the need for dialysis and transplantation and be beneficial for the heart and blood circulation. This trial demonstrated that the administration of sacubitril/valsartan in comparison to irbesartan was associated with a non-significant 9% (95% CI: −1 to 18) reduction in study average uACR, a 5.4 (3.4–7.4) mm Hg reduction in study average systolic blood pressure, and a 2.1 (1.0–3.3) mm Hg reduction in study average diastolic blood pressure. The rate of serious and non-serious adverse events was similar in both treatment groups: 61 (29.5%) vs. 59 (28.5%); rate ratio 1.07 (0.75–1.53) and 76 (36.7%) vs. 58 (28.0%); rate ratio 1.35 (0.96–1.90), respectively. Moreover, the occurrence of hyperkalaemia was similar in both groups (p = 0.10). Therapy with sacubitril/valsartan, in comparison to irbesartan, caused additional reductions in blood pressure and had no effect on kidney function over 1 year and did not increase albuminuria. No difference was observed in safety or tolerability between sacubitril/valsartan and irbesartan among patients with CKD [123].

Drugs that are administered to treat hypertension in CKD patients have not changed significantly in decades. In contrast to other areas of medicine, the progress in this field is rather limited. It may be due to the fact that hypertension in patients with CKD looks like a vicious circle. However, personalised medicine, the aim of which is to determine the right drug for the right patient at the right time, may lead to a huge breakthrough [124]. The new therapies should be targeted towards specific phenotypes rather than sticking to the old ‘one-fits-all’ approach [125–127].
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