

Influence of statin therapy on antiphospholipid antibodies and endothelial dysfunction in young patients with coronary artery disease and systemic lupus erythematosus

Adam Mazurek¹, Krzysztof Gryga², Kamil Bugala³, Teresa Iwaniec², Jacek Musiał², Piotr Podolec¹, Wojciech Plazak¹

¹Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, John Paul II Hospital, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

²Department of Internal Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

³Department of Diagnostic Medicine, John Paul II Hospital, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

Submitted: 18 November 2018; **Accepted:** 10 February 2019

Online publication: 6 February 2020

Arch Med Sci 2022; 18 (1): 18–24

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2019.90271>

Copyright © 2020 Termedia & Banach

Corresponding author:

Adam Mazurek

Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases

John Paul II Hospital

80 Prądnicka St

31-202 Krakow, Poland

E-mail:

mazurekadam@yahoo.pl

Abstract

Introduction: Antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) affect atherogenesis and may cause thromboembolism in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and coronary artery disease (CAD). Intensive treatment with statins may reduce inflammation and decrease the number of thrombotic events. That may explain the beneficial effect of statin therapy in SLE and CAD. This study was established to investigate the influence of statin treatment on aPL antibody levels and selected endothelial dysfunction markers in CAD and SLE patients.

Material and methods: Fifty-eight patients – 40 after coronary revascularization (age 38.9 (27–46), 35 males) and 18 with clinically stable SLE (age 38.8 (18–62), 1 male) – were enrolled in the study. In both groups intensive atorvastatin treatment was administered. At baseline and after 1 year of follow-up serology tests were performed: anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL), anti- β 2 glycoprotein I (a β 2GPI), lupus anticoagulant (LA), C-reactive protein (CRP), soluble form of intracellular adhesion molecule-1 (sICAM-1), vWF:Ag.

Results: Coronary artery disease patients in 1 year follow-up revealed a decrease of a β 2GPI IgG and CRP. There was a significant increase in aCL IgG, sICAM-1 and vWF:Ag. In SLE patients aPL levels showed no significant reduction after treatment.

Conclusions: In clinically stable patients IgM and IgG class a β 2GPI levels are higher in CAD than in SLE, whereas IgG class aCL levels are lower. Statin treatment decreases the CRP level in both SLE and CAD patients, while decreasing the a β 2GPI IgG level only in CAD patients.

Key words: rheumatic diseases, atherosclerosis, antiphospholipid syndrome, myocardial ischemia.

Introduction

Antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) constitute a heterogenous family of immunoglobulins reacting with a variety of plasma proteins. Among them

lupus anticoagulant (LA), anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL), and anti- β 2 glycoprotein I (a β 2GPI) are those best known. Their elevated plasma level is critical for antiphospholipid syndrome diagnosis [1] and was included in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) diagnostic criteria as well [2]. There is some evidence that in coronary artery disease (CAD) patients aPL remain within the normal range but are significantly higher than in healthy individuals [3, 4]. Their prothrombotic activity in venous and arterial thrombosis was well documented [5], but their action in atherosclerosis progression is still not clear [6]. Robust evidence for the impact of aPL on atherosclerosis progression comes from peripheral arterial disease (PAD) studies. On the other hand, in CAD aPL are associated with the occurrence of myocardial infarction (MI) rather than CAD progression itself [6].

Among endothelial dysfunction markers (EDM) C-reactive protein (CRP) is highly associated with intensification of inflammation, with thrombosis promotion [7] and thus with coronary plaque instability [8]. Although CRP is not recommended for routine cardiovascular (CV) risk refinement assessment [9], it is sensitive and it reflects different mechanisms of atherosclerosis [7]. Intracellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) is a glycoprotein facilitating diapedesis across the vessel wall and, similarly to CRP, correlates with endothelial activation and damage [7]. sICAM-1 is a soluble form of ICAM-1 and is regulated by the same mechanisms as ICAM-1 [10]. Von Willebrand factor (vWF) also reflects endothelial injury and plays a relevant role in platelet activation and aggregation [11]. Because it is a nonspecific marker its role may be limited to accessory function with other biomarkers. Despite the fact that those biomarkers may be used to assess atherosclerosis activity in CAD patients, they probably might be also useful in monitoring atherosclerosis in SLE patients.

Statin's role is substantial in CAD treatment and has been well described in numerous studies [12, 13]. The major, dose-dependent effect of treatment is low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol lowering through inhibiting hepatic cholesterol synthesis. Statins also decrease triglyceride serum level and increase high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol serum level, but this effect is achievable with higher doses. Intensive treatment may reduce the volume of coronary artery atherosclerotic plaques and change their composition [13, 14]. Statin pleiotropic action is based on isoprenoid inhibition and influences numerous signaling pathways. *In vivo* studies showed an effect on nitric oxide synthesis, production of proinflammatory cytokines, vasoconstrictive factors and reactivity of platelets [15–18]. These may result

in a reduction of inflammation [19, 20] and a decrease in the thrombosis occurrence [21].

In SLE, statin treatment is still debated. Although it has been confirmed that statins reduce the risk of thrombosis [22], may have a similar effect on atherosclerosis as in CAD restraining atherosclerotic plaque progression [23] and reduce CV risk [24], they are not used routinely in current pharmacotherapy [25]. Even though currently CAD and atherosclerosis are the leading causes of death in SLE, statin treatment is restricted to patients with known hypercholesterolemia and/or symptomatic CAD [26].

Our objective was to investigate the influence of statin treatment on autoimmunity assessed by aPL levels and endothelial damage/activation measured by sICAM-1 and vWF levels in young CAD or SLE patients, as two models of autoimmune-dependent atherosclerosis.

Material and methods

Patients

Fifty-eight patients were enrolled in the study. Forty patients (mean age: 38.9 (\pm 4.6), 35 male) with confirmed angiographically CAD underwent a myocardial revascularization procedure in the Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Jagiellonian University, John Paul II Hospital in Krakow between January 2012 and December 2014. Among 18 patients with SLE (mean age: 38.8 \pm 11.9, 1 male) treated in the Department of Internal Medicine, Jagiellonian University, Krakow (January 2010 – December 2014) 11 were treated with standard pharmacotherapy (9 on methylprednisolone (\leq 4 mg/day), 1 on chloroquine derivate, 1 on azathioprine). Precise study group characteristics is depicted in Table I.

After obtaining written, informed consent, conforming to the International Declaration of Helsinki, patients were enrolled in the study. Exclusion criteria in the CAD group were: age at diagnosis above 45 years old or severe organ failure (including renal disease – grade 4 and 5 KIDGO, heart failure NYHA IV, respiratory failure). Patients with known autoimmune disease were excluded as well.

Subjects with SLE were enrolled only if in stable clinical condition and without known other autoimmune disease, including antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). A documented period of at least 3 months of steady doses of immunosuppressants was obligatory. Exclusion criteria concerning organ failure were the same as in the CAD group. Systemic lupus erythematosus activity index (SLEDAI) score at baseline (BL) was within the range 3–20, median 4. After a year the median SLEDAI score value remained at the same level (4) but the range of results was different (0–20). In

5 patients there was a decrease in SLEDAI score, in 5 an increase and in 8 the SLEDAI score remained at the same level.

Statin treatment

Both groups of patients were treated with atorvastatin for 1 year. Dose of the statin depended on BL LDL level in the CAD group; the treatment target was established at 1.8 mmol/l, and it was achieved in 90% of patients. Mean dose of atorvastatin was 46.1 ± 22.2 mg. In 22 CAD patients previous treatment with low dose statin (not reaching the target LDL value) was documented. In SLE patients a fixed dose of 40 mg of atorvastatin was administered (no patients with hypercholesterolemia).

Laboratory tests

All patients were tested for aPL (LA, aCL, a β 2GPI) and endothelial dysfunction markers: sICAM-1, vWF:Ag as well as hsCRP at BL and after 1 year of atorvastatin treatment.

Antiphospholipid antibodies were measured in blood serum according to the local laboratory standard: aCL and a β 2GPI in IgG and IgM classes with home-made enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Sapporo standard (murine monoclonal antibodies against HCAL for IgG and EY2C for

IgM) was used to measure a β 2GPI. Cut-off values for aPL were as follows: aCL IgG 10 GPL, aCL IgM 20 MPL, a β 2GPI IgG 20 SGU, a β 2GPI IgM 20 SGU, and reflected the 99th percentile of the healthy population. Detection of LA was performed according to three-step analysis recommended by the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH). Only a negative test of LA was considered normal.

Soluble form of intracellular adhesion molecule-1 levels were assessed with a quantitative immunoenzymatic assay (ELISA) kit (R&D, BIOKOM, UK) in blood serum. Normal values for sICAM-1 were in the range 98.8–320 ng/ml. Measurements of vWF:Ag were done in plasma with commercial ELISA kit (Hyphen, Austria), normal values: 50–160%. C-reactive protein was assessed in serum, with the commercial analyzer BN II System and dedicated reagents (Siemens, Germany). Normal values for CRP: 0–5 mg/l.

Samples in the CAD group at BL were taken at least 4 weeks after the coronary procedure (mean: 15.5 weeks). Time between samples collection, BL and follow-up, was at least 12 months (mean: 74 weeks). Samples in the SLE group were taken within 1 week after enrollment of a patient in the study. Time between samples collection (BL to follow-up) was at least 12 months (mean: 63 weeks). Before laboratory analysis was per-

Table I. Study subgroup characteristics

Parameter	CAD group (n = 40)	SLE group (n = 18)
Age [years] mean \pm SD	38.9 \pm 4.6	38.8 \pm 11.9
Male sex, n (%)	35 (88)	1 (5.6)
Conventional risk factors, n (%):		
Hypercholesterolemia	37 (93)	0 (0)
Nicotinism	26 (65)	1 (5.6)
Obesity	13 (33)	0 (0)
Arterial hypertension	28 (70)	2 (11.1)
Diabetes mellitus type 2	4 (10)	0 (0)
First manifestation of CAD:		
Age at first manifestation, [years] mean \pm SD	38.0 \pm 5.0	N/A
Acute myocardial infarction, n (%)	31 (76)	N/A
ST-elevation myocardial infarction, n (%)	23 (58)	N/A
Stable CAD, n (%)	8 (20)	0 (0)
History of PCI, n (%)	37 (92)	0 (0)
History of CABG, n (%)	6 (15)	0 (0)
CAD optimal medical therapy only, n (%)	1 (2)	N/A

CAD – coronary artery disease, SLE – systemic lupus erythematosus, PCI – percutaneous coronary intervention, CABG – coronary artery bypass grafting.

Table II. Inter-group comparison (CAD vs. SLE): aPL, sICAM-1, vWF:Ag, CRP serum levels – baseline and follow-up

Parameter	CAD	SLE	P-value
Baseline:			
LA, n (%)	0 (0)	2 (11)	0.032
aCL IgM [MPL], median (range)	6.8 (1.2–26.4)	9.6 (3.9–34.9)	0.107
aCL IgG [GPL], median (range)	3.6 (1.9–14.0)	9.9 (1.8–90.2)	< 0.001
aβ2GPI IgM [SGU], median (range)	3.0 (1.4–11.7)	1.0 (0.1–52.7)	< 0.001
aβ2GPI IgG [SGU], median (range)	4.0 (0.6–17.5)	1.4 (0.2–54.0)	< 0.001
sICAM-1 [ng/ml], median (range)	275.5 (179.2–765.3)	273.76 (184.44–335.37)	0.358
vWF:Ag (%), median (range)	115.8 (49.4–188.5)	132.94 (79.09–241.95)	0.048
CRP [mg/l], median (range)	2.96 (0.17–37.5)	3.17 (0.27–22.4)	0.806
12-month follow-up:			
LA, n (%)	0 (0)	2 (11)	0.032
aCL IgM [MPL], median (range)	6.1 (1.0–16.4)	6.6 (2.4–157.7)	0.253
aCL IgG [GPL], median (range)	6.0 (1.4–17.0)	9.0 (2.1–107.9)	0.097
aβ2GPI IgM [SGU], median (range)	2.9 (1.8–11.7)	1.2 (0.6–3.1)	< 0.001
aβ2GPI IgG [SGU], median (range)	0.7 (0.5–4.6)	0.91 (0.3–3.0)	0.529
sICAM-1 [ng/ml], median (range)	310.8 (203.9–614.9)	263.65 (195.12–560.09)	0.023
vWF:Ag (%), median (range)	136.4 (50.3–183.5)	145.68 (86.37–271.47)	0.302
CRP [mg/l], median (range)	1.07 (0.17–13.9)	0.94 (0.16–8.22)	0.992

CAD – coronary artery disease, SLE – systemic lupus erythematosus, aPL – antiphospholipid antibodies, sICAM-1 – soluble form of intracellular adhesion molecule-1, CRP – C-reactive protein.

formed samples were stored in the central laboratory refrigerator at –70°C (from collection until transportation to the central laboratory stored at –20°C, for 2–3 weeks).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 10, Sigma Software. Numerical data were presented using mean value ± standard deviation (SD) or median value and range (min-max) in case of lack of normal distribution. Categorical variables were presented as a proportion. Differences in continuous variables were assessed with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test in case of repeated measurements or if no normal distribution (Student's *t*-test in case of normal distribution). Categorical variables were compared using the χ^2 test. The *p*-value for statistical significance was established at < 0.05.

Results

In the whole study population none of the patients fulfilled revised criteria for APS [1] within the observational period.

At BL, aβ2GPI IgG and IgM were higher in CAD patients, whereas aCL IgG and vWF:Ag were high-

Table III. Inter-group comparison (CAD vs. SLE): aPL positivity – baseline and follow-up

Parameter	CAD	SLE	P-value
Baseline, n (%):			
LA	0 (0)	2 (11)	0.032
aCL IgM	2 (5)	4 (22)	0.046
aCL IgG	4 (10)	9 (50)	0.001
aβ2GPI IgM	0 (0)	1 (6)	0.133
aβ2GPI IgG	0 (0)	1 (6)	0.133
12-month follow-up, n (%):			
LA	0 (0)	2 (11)	0.032
aCL IgM	0 (0)	2 (11)	0.032
aCL IgG	5 (13)	8 (44)	0.007
aβ2GPI IgM	0 (0)	1 (6)	0.133
aβ2GPI IgG	0 (0)	1 (6)	0.133

CAD – coronary artery disease, SLE – systemic lupus erythematosus, aPL – antiphospholipid antibodies.

er in SLE patients (Table II). The percentage of patient with out-of-range values of aCL in IgG and IgM classes and with LA positivity was higher in

Table IV. Comparison within CAD and SLE groups: aPL, sICAM-1, vWF:Ag, CRP serum levels – baseline and follow-up

Parameter	Baseline	1 year follow-up	P-value
CAD group:			
LA, n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	NA
aCL IgM [MPL], median (range)	6.8 (1.2–26.4)	6.1 (1.0–16.4)	0.051
aCL IgG [GPL], median (range)	3.6 (1.9–14.0)	6.0 (1.4–17.0)	0.001
αβ2GPI IgM [SGU], median (range)	3.0 (1.4–11.7)	2.9 (1.8–11.7)	0.665
αβ2GPI IgG [SGU], median (range)	4.0 (0.6–17.5)	0.7 (0.5–4.6)	< 0.001
sICAM-1 [ng/ml], median (range)	275.5 (179.2–765.3)	310.8 (203.9–614.9)	0.007
vWF:Ag (%), median (range)	115.8 (49.4–188.5)	136.4 (50.3–183.5)	< 0.001
CRP [mg/l], median (range)	2.96 (0.17–37.5)	1.07 (0.17–13.9)	< 0.001
SLE group:			
LA, n (%)	2 (11)	2 (11)	1
aCL IgM [MPL], median (range)	9.6 (3.9–34.9)	6.6 (2.4–157.7)	0.691
aCL IgG [GPL], median (range)	9.9 (1.8–90.2)	9.0 (2.1–107.9)	0.496
αβ2GPI IgM [SGU], median (range)	1.0 (0.1–52.7)	1.2 (0.6–3.1)	0.091
αβ2GPI IgG [SGU], median (range)	1.4 (0.2–54.0)	0.91 (0.3–3.0)	0.534
sICAM-1 [ng/ml], median (range)	273.76 (184.44–335.37)	263.65 (195.12–560.09)	0.155
vWF:Ag (%), median (range)	132.94 (79.09–241.95)	145.68 (86.37–271.47)	0.754
CRP [mg/l], median (range)	3.17 (0.27–22.4)	0.94 (0.16–8.22)	0.016

CAD – coronary artery disease, SLE – systemic lupus erythematosus, aPL – antiphospholipid antibodies, sICAM-1 – soluble form of intracellular adhesion molecule-1, CRP – C-reactive protein.

SLE patients at BL, as well as at 1-year follow-up (Table III). Only 2 patients exceeded the level of 40 GPL and/or SGU (aCL, αβ2GPI) during the whole study period, both in the SLE group.

After 12 months of treatment with atorvastatin in the CAD study subgroup there was a significant decrease of αβ2GPI IgG and of CRP serum levels. There was also a statistically significant increase in aCL IgG, sICAM-1 and vWF:Ag (Table IV). Contrary to these changes, in the SLE subgroup there was a decrease in CRP level only, whereas sICAM-1, vWF:Ag and aPL remained at similar levels.

Discussion

Although CAD in young patients in the majority of cases is connected with conventional risk factors [27], in approximately 20% it may be a result of coronary abnormalities and non-traditional risk factors such as connective tissue disorders, drugs and autoimmunity [28]. Some studies show that aPL serum levels are higher in young CAD patients than in healthy controls [3, 4]. In SLE patients autoimmunity is confirmed to contribute to atherosclerosis progression not only due to increased risk of thrombosis, but also by plaque progression [5, 23].

This paper shows that BL aPL, although within the normal range, are higher in the CAD than in the SLE group. This seems unusual, as aPL are a part of SLE diagnostic criteria [2]. Moreover, it seems that atorvastatin has a weaker effect on aPL in SLE compared to CAD, as there was no decrease of αβ2GPI in the SLE group. Initial measurements were done in treated, immunologically stable SLE patients (median SLEDAI score 4), which may be relevant in the context of aPL level. Recently Serrano *et al.* [29] reported that immunosuppression may reduce αβ2GPI: in post-renal transplant patients elevated αβ2GPI IgA level before surgery was significantly decreased after immunosuppressant introduction.

The main finding is that statin decreases αβ2GPI IgG in CAD. Among aPL, αβ2GPI are more often, as compared to aCL/ LA, associated with CAD and may reflect disease severity and future outcomes [30]. Moreover, αβ2GPI serum level elevation was proven to influence atherosclerosis plaque progression and subclinical atherosclerosis via T cell response [31] and promote oxLDL accumulation in macrophages [6] apart from its thrombotic activity. Clinical manifestation of elevated αβ2GPI is associated with future revascularization

extent [30]. In many trials aCL and LA did not correlate with CAD progression or symptoms [32, 33]. In younger population (< 50 years old) however, aCL may have more clinical relevance [4, 34]. No direct comparison of younger and older populations has been done yet. Single studies showed increased risk of recurrent MI and stent restenosis [4, 35] in patients with elevated aCL serum levels, but there is no proven mechanism of atherosclerosis progression other than influencing thrombosis.

Another interesting observation of this study is the way statins affect endothelial damage. In some animal model studies [36] fluvastatin did decrease tissue factor (TF) and sICAM-1 level. Another possible molecular mechanism may be that suggested by Zheng *et al.* in an animal model, connecting statin lipoprotein associated phospholipase A2 inhibition with decrease of endothelial dysfunction [37]. In our study an increase of sICAM-1 level in the atorvastatin treated CAD arm was observed. The differences between individual statin action, or insufficient dose of statin in the CAD population (despite the decrease of CRP an sICAM-1 rise was observed) might be the cause of that fact. What may confirm this thesis is the fact that conventional risk factors present in the CAD study population, may continuously damage endothelium and cause sICAM-1 elevation. The interpretation of vWF:Ag level rise after atorvastatin treatment may be similar to that related to sICAM-1 values, although this marker is more vulnerable to other factors [11].

Statin-related CRP reduction was observed in both arms. That reflects a significant decrease of inflammation. The mechanism, proven to be independent of LDL lowering [22, 38], was associated with the reduction of atherosclerotic plaque volume, but may not be sufficient to stop atherosclerosis progression.

In conclusion, in clinically stable patients IgM and IgG class a β 2GPI levels are higher in CAD than in SLE, whereas IgG class aCL are higher in SLE. Statin treatment decreases the CRP level in both CAD and SLE patients. Moreover, atorvastatin decreases the a β 2GPI IgG level in CAD patients. The observed rise of aCL IgG, vWF:Ag and sICAM -1 in CAD during statin treatment may reflect atherosclerosis progression due to traditional risk factors or an insufficient dose of statin.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grant no. K/ZDS/002934 from Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland and from grant no. N40201231/0460 from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost* 2006; 4: 295-306.
2. Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997; 40: 1725.
3. Mazurek A, Iwaniec T, Olszowska M, et al. Antiphospholipid and antinuclear antibodies in young patients after myocardial revascularization procedures. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2016; 18: 228-31.
4. Mishra MN, Kalra R, Gupta MK. Antiphospholipid antibodies in young myocardial infarction patients. *Indian J Biochem Biophys* 2007; 44: 481-4.
5. Afeltra A, Vadacca M, Conti L, et al. Thrombosis in systemic lupus erythematosus: congenital and acquired risk factors. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 53: 452-9.
6. Artenjak A, Lakota K, Frank M, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies as non-traditional risk factors in atherosclerosis based cardiovascular diseases without overt autoimmunity. A critical updated review. *Autoimmun Rev* 2012; 11: 873-82.
7. Husain K, Hernandez W, Ansari RA, Ferder L. Inflammation, oxidative stress and renin angiotensin system in atherosclerosis. *World J Biol Chem* 2015; 6: 209-17.
8. Koyama K, Yoneyama K, Mitarai T, et al. Association between inflammatory biomarkers and thin-cap fibroatheroma detected by optical coherence tomography in patients with coronary heart disease. *Arch Med Sci* 2015; 11: 505-12.
9. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37: 2315-81.
10. Rothlein R, Mainolfi EA, Czajkowski M, Marlin SD. A form of circulating ICAM-1 in human serum. *J Immunol* 1991; 147: 3788-93.
11. Lip GY, Blann A. Von Willebrand factor: a marker of endothelial dysfunction in vascular disorders? *Cardiovasc Res* 1997; 34: 255-65.
12. Shepherd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. 1995. *Atheroscler Suppl* 2004; 5: 91-7.
13. Tang X, Yang Y, Luo S, et al. The effect of statin therapy on plaque regression following acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis of prospective trials. *Coron Artery Dis* 2016; 27: 636-49.
14. Oemrawsingh RM, Garcia-Garcia HM, van Geuns RJ, et al. Integrated Biomarker and Imaging Study 3 (IBIS-3) to assess the ability of rosuvastatin to decrease necrotic core in coronary arteries. *EuroIntervention* 2016; 12: 734-9.
15. Serban C, Sahebkar A, Ursoniu S, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of statins on plasma asymmetric dimethylarginine concentrations. *Sci Rep* 2015; 5: 9902.

16. Arnaud C, Burger F, Steffens S, et al. Statins reduce interleukin-6-induced C-reactive protein in human hepatocytes: new evidence for direct antiinflammatory effects of statins. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005; 25: 1231-6.
17. Sahebkar A, Kotani K, Serban C, et al. Statin therapy reduces plasma endothelin-1 concentrations: a meta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled trials. *Atherosclerosis* 2015; 241: 433-42.
18. Oesterle A, Laufs U, Liao JK. Pleiotropic effects of statins on the cardiovascular system. *Circ Res* 2017; 120: 229-43.
19. Singh P, Emami H, Subramanian S, et al. Coronary plaque morphology and the anti-inflammatory impact of atorvastatin: a multicenter 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomographic/computed tomographic study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016; 9: e004195.
20. Pirro M, Simental-Mendía LE, Bianconi V, Watts GF, Banach M, Sahebkar A. Effect of statin therapy on arterial wall inflammation based on 18F-FDG PET/CT: a systematic review and meta-analysis of interventional studies. *J Clin Med* 2019; 8: E118.
21. Ashrani AA, Barsoum MK, Crusan DJ, Petterson TM, Bailey KR, Heit JA. Is lipid lowering therapy an independent risk factor for venous thromboembolism? A population-based case-control study. *Thromb Res* 2015; 135: 1110-6.
22. Watanabe T, Oku K, Amengual O, et al. Effects of statins on thrombosis development in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and antiphospholipid antibodies. *Lupus* 2018; 27: 225-34.
23. Plazak W, Pasowicz M, Kostkiewicz M, et al. Influence of chronic inflammation and autoimmunity on coronary calcifications and myocardial perfusion defects in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *Inflamm Res* 2011; 60: 973-80.
24. Yousef Yengej FA, Limper M, Leavis HL. Statins for prevention of cardiovascular disease in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Neth J Med* 2017; 75: 99-105.
25. Erkan D, Aguiar CL, Andrade D, et al. 14th International Congress on Antiphospholipid Antibodies: task force report on antiphospholipid syndrome treatment trends. *Autoimmun Rev* 2014; 13: 685-96.
26. Yu HH, Chen PC, Yang YH, et al. Statin reduces mortality and morbidity in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with hyperlipidemia: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *Atherosclerosis* 2015; 243: 11-8.
27. Chen TS, Incani A, Butler TC, et al. The demographic profile of young patients (<45 years-old) with acute coronary syndromes in Queensland. *Heart Lung Circ* 2014; 23: 49-55.
28. Rubin JB, Borden WB. Coronary heart disease in young adults. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2012; 14: 140-9.
29. Serrano M, Martínez-Flores JA, Castro MJ, et al. Renal transplantation dramatically reduces IgA anti-beta-2-glycoprotein I antibodies in patients with endstage renal disease. *J Immunol Res* 2014; 2014: 641962.
30. Greco TP, Conti-Kelly AM, Greco T Jr, et al. Newer antiphospholipid antibodies predict adverse outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2009; 132: 613-20.
31. Conti F, Spinelli FR, Alessandri C, et al. Subclinical atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus and antiphospholipid syndrome: focus on β 2GPI-specific T cell response. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2014; 34: 661-8.
32. Tsakiris DA, Marbet GA, Burkart F, Duckert F. Anticardiolipin antibodies and coronary heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 1992; 13: 1645-8.
33. Yilmaz E, Adalet K, Yilmaz G, et al. Importance of serum anticardiolipin antibody levels in coronary heart disease. *Clin Cardiol* 1994; 17: 117-21.
34. Adler Y, Finkelstein Y, Zandeman-Goddard G, et al. The presence of antiphospholipid antibodies in acute myocardial infarction. *Lupus* 1995; 4: 309-13.
35. Gurlek A, Ozdol C, Pamir G, Diñer I, Tutkak H, Oral D. Association between anticardiolipin antibodies and recurrent cardiac events in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Int Heart J* 2005; 46: 631-8.
36. Ferrara DE, Liu X, Espinola RG, et al. Inhibition of the thrombogenic and inflammatory properties of antiphospholipid antibodies by fluvastatin in an in vivo animal model. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003; 48: 3272-9.
37. Zheng D, Cai A, Xu R, et al. Effects and potential mechanism of atorvastatin treatment on Lp-PLA2 in rats with dyslipidemia. *Arch Med Sci* 2018; 14: 629-34.
38. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, et al. Reduction in C-reactive protein and LDL cholesterol and cardiovascular event rates after initiation of rosuvastatin: a prospective study of the JUPITER trial. *Lancet* 2009; 373: 1175-82.