Favourable effects of whey protein upon acetic acid-induced ulcerative colitis in rat model
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Abstract
Introduction
In the context of the present study, we have aimed to examine the effects of the administration of whey protein through rectal enema to a acetic acid-induced ulcerative colitis in rats on the pathways of nuclear-related factor-2 (Nrf-2), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), active protein kinase-1 (AP-1), tumour necrotising factor-alpha (TNF-α), and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), IL-6, IL-10.

Material and methods
28 rats were employed for the trial. Ulcerative colitis was induced through the use of acetic acid. The therapeutic doses of whey protein were administered rectally. Ulcerative colitis was made subject to histopathological examination and protein levels in colon tissue measured with the Western Blot Method.

Results
The significant increases observed in the levels of AP-1, COX-2, IL-6, IL-10, NF-κβ, and TNF-α as markers of inflammation following the development of ulcerative colitis enjoyed remarkable decreases along with the administration of whey protein (p<0.05). On the other hand, we identified a decrease in the Nrf2-ARE signal pathway and HO-1 protein assuming protective roles on the colon inflammatory response along with the development of ulcerative colitis and an activation of the Nrf2-HO-1 pathway by the whey protein.

Conclusions
Whey protein modulates Nrf2/HO-1 and NF-κB pathways, thereby creating a therapeutic effect against colonic inflammation induced by acetic acid (AA) by reason of its anti-inflammatory implications.
Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are lifelong diseases, and have a significant impact on quality of life. Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis (UC) are two idiopathic inflammatory bowel disorders [1]. UC is a disease characterised by intestinal chronic inflammation and by a currently unclear aetiology. The pathophysiology of UC is multifactorial in nature and the basic factors are regarded to be increased oxidative stress and impaired immunomodulation [2]. In addition to the direct toxic effects of reactive metabolites, they have also been shown to have the potential to cause chronic intestinal inflammation by stimulating the synthesis of a number of inflammatory cytokines at the gene level as a result of the activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) along with their effects on one or more redox-sensitive kinases [3]. A large number of antioxidant molecules already in use for the treatment of IBD were shown to create beneficial effects on intestinal inflammation [4-6].

Whey protein is an end product of casein precipitation that occurs during the production of cheese. Whey protein has become a super food by reason of its functionality and nutritional value [7]. A number of studies have established whey protein to create antihypertensive, immune-modulating, bacteriostatic, antioxidant, and free radical scavenging effects [7-8]. Whey protein has also been indicated to be able to improve the regulation of Th1 and Th2 cytokine responses without any toxic effects [9]. All of these findings give way to the consideration that whey protein is promising as a tool for the treatment of UC as a cheap and safe alternative to currently administered expensive medicine with high potential for adverse effects.

The present study was designed with the aim of establishing whether or not whey protein treatment administered through rectal enema in a acetic acid-induced ulcerative colitis in rats create therapeutic effects.

Material and Methods

The protocol of this study was approved by the Firat University animal experiments local ethics committee (FUHAYEK). The female Wistar rats of 250-300 g in weight (n=28, 6 months of age) were sourced from the Experimental Research Centre (Elazig, Turkey) of Firat
University to be employed for the study. The animals were sheltered at an average temperature of 21-22°C and under humidity conditions of 55 % ± 5 in a cycle of 12 hours of darkness and 12 hours of light. All procedures required for the present study were implemented in compliance with the international principles of use and care of experimental animals and upon the approval of the Ethics Committee for Research with Laboratory Animals of Firat University. The rats were held in suitable cages with easy access to standard pellet feed and water. Rats were subject to fasting 24 hours before the completion of the experiment.

**Experimental protocol (Table I):**

The rats were randomly divided into 4 groups and fasted 24 hours before the start of the experimental procedure. The normal control (n=7) group was fed with 2 ml of saline every day. On the other hand, the acetic acid (AA) (n=7) group was provided with 1.5 ml of 5 % AA once and with 2 ml of saline every day. AA + whey protein I (WPI) (n=7) group was administered 1.5 ml of 5 % AA once and this was followed by the feeding of a 2 ml-solution containing 2.39 g/kg whey protein for rats once a day for 7 days as calculated on the dosage of 33.3 grams recommended for the consumption of whey protein isolate by athletes as nutritional support in line with the table for the Conversion of Animal Doses to Human Equivalent Doses [10] of Food and Drug Administration (FDA). AA + WPII (n=7) group was administered 1.5 ml of 5 % AA once and this was followed by the feeding of a 2 ml-solution containing 2.39 g/kg whey protein once a day for 7 days. A soft polyethylene catheter of 3 mm in diameter was placed at a maximum depth of 8 cm into the anuses of rats under ether anaesthesia; 1.5 ml of 5 % AA and 2.39 g/kg of 2 ml whey protein were administered carefully with the rats in the Trendelenburg position. The catheter was removed after being kept in position for 15 seconds.

Acetic acid (AA) had been procured from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH Germany and whey protein (WP) (Premium Whey) from Weider Germany GmbH Hamburg.

The rats were decapitated under ether anaesthesia at the end of the 7th day of the experiment (figure 1. A). Following the due opening of the abdomen, the colon was excised along a length of 10 cm starting from the rectum with proximal extension (figure 1. B). The
distal piece of 2 cm was removed from the excised colon tissue and retained in 10% formaldehyde solution for histopathological examinations. The remaining colon segment was placed into aluminium foil and retained there at -70°C until the testing date for the measurement of the levels of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), activated protein kinase-1 (AP-1), nuclear-related factor-2 (Nrf-2), tumour necrotising factor-alpha (TNF-α), hemeoxygenase (HO-1), and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), IL-6, IL-10 in colon tissue with the Western Blot method.

**Laboratory analysis**

**Western blot**

Colon samples were analysed through the use of the Western blot method for the expression of NF-κB, AP-1, Nrf-2, TNF-α, HO-1, COX-2, and IL-6, IL-10. Rats colons were removed in all groups. Smaller pieces of the colon samples collected from each group were gathered together for Western blot analysis. Protein extraction: the samples were homogenised in 1 ml of hypotonic buffer A cooled in ice [10 mM HEPES (pH 7.8), 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. 80 microliters of 10% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40) solution were added to the homogenates followed by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 2 minutes. The supernatant was collected as a cytosolic fraction for the analysis of HO-1. The falling nuclei were washed once with 500 μl of buffer A plus 40 μl of 10% NP-40, centrifuged, and re-suspended with 200 μl of buffer C [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.8), 50 mM KCl, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, 20% glycerol] and then, centrifuged at 14,800 g for 5 minutes. The supernatant containing nuclear proteins was collected for the analysis of Nrf2 and NF-κB p65 [11]. The protein concentration was determined with a protein testing kit provided by Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA in line with the procedure described by Lowry et al. [12]. The supernatant was complemented with a sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis buffer containing 2% β - mercaptoethanol sample. An equal amount of protein (50 μg) was made subject to electrophoresis and was then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (SchleicherandSchuell Inc., Keene, NH, USA). Each one of the nitrocellulose stains was washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 5
minutes and then blocked with 1% cattle serum albumin in PBS for 1 hour before the administration of the primary antibody. The antibody against NF-κB, HO-1, Nrf-2, TNF-α, COX-2, IL-10, IL-6 had been procured from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). The antibody against AP-1 had been procured from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom). The primary antibody was diluted in the same buffer containing 0.05% Tween-20 (1:1000). The nitrocellulose membrane was incubated with protein antibody at 4°C for the whole night.

The blots were washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Abcam, Cambridge, England). Specific bonding was identified through the use of such substrates as diaminobenzidine and H202. The protein load was checked with a monoclonal mouse antibody against β-actin antibody (A5316; Sigma). Blots were utilised at least three times with a view to confirming the repeatability of results. These were analysed in terms of the measurement of density through the use of an image analysis system (Image J; National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

**Histopathological Findings**

Distal colon segments were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formaldehyde, immersed in wax, and sliced into cross-sections of 5-μm. These cross-sections were dyed with haematoxylin and eosin for light microscopic examination. The tissue pieces were screened and scored by a specialised pathologist who had not seen and was not aware of the experimental groups.

Each tissue specimen on glass slide was evaluated under light microscope at x200 magnification. Each microscopic area was evaluated and scored. In the presence of multiple scores in any field of view, average scores were calculated for such fields. Classification was secured on the basis of previously defined scoring criteria [13].

Histological scoring: For each specimen, damage characteristics were identified through the examination of such properties as sub-mucosal oedema, damage/necrosis, inflammatory cell
infiltration, vasculitis, perforation, total crypt loss, and degree of intestinal damage (Table: II) [14].

**Statistics**

The data were presented as average ± standard deviation. The sample size was calculated according to power analysis at 85% and the P value was calculated as 0.05. The differences among groups were evaluated through the use of One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Tukey *post hoc* test (SAS Institute: SAS 2002, User's Guide: Statistics). Any alpha value P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

The haemorrhagic diarrhoea developing 24 hours after the administration of AA was observed in all groups only on the day following AA management, but did not continue. One rat in the AA + WPI group and 2 rats in the AA + WPII group had died (the rat which had been examined exhibited colon perforation, while the cause of death could not be determined with the remaining two rats).

**Western blot analysis**

The effects of whey protein on AP-1, COX-2, HO-1, IL-6, IL-10, NF-κB, Nrf2, and TNF-α expressed in colon tissue are shown in Figure 2 and Table III following the Western blots method.

In comparison with the control group, AP-1, COX-2, IL-6, IL-10, NF-κB, TNF-α had increased significantly among the rats in the ulcerative colitis group (Table III, Figure 2a-f) (p <0.05). On the other hand, AP-1, COX-2, IL-6, IL-10, NF-κB levels had decreased along with both WPI and WPII treatments when compared with the UC group and the WPII (double dose a day) treatment had allowed for the decrease thereof to levels comparable with the control group, while the WPI treatment had allowed the same to remain significantly high in relevance to the control group. When compared with the UC group, COX-2 and IL-10 levels had decreased significantly only upon the WPII treatment to levels comparable with those in the control group (Table III, p<0.05). WPI treatment had not led to a significant decrease against the AA group,
whereas it was identified to have remained significantly high in relevance to the control group. When compared with the UC group, TNF-α level had decreased significantly only upon both WPI and WPII treatment to levels comparable with those in the control group ($p=0.001$).

In comparison with the control group, HO-1 and Nrf-2 had decreased significantly among the rats in the UC group (Table III, figure 2 g-h) ($p <0.05$). WP treatment had caused an increase in the HO-1 level in both treatment groups, yet such increase could only reach a level comparable with that in the control group in the WPI group. A comparison with the UC group indicated a significant increase in the Nrf-2 level as a result of WPI - WPII treatment ($p < 0.05$); however, this increase was significantly lower than that in the control group.

**Histopathological Findings:**

The administration of AA had resulted in UC and caused marked inflammation, necrosis, and fibrosis. The average scores of groups in histopathological examination (Table IV) were as follows: Control Group: 0, AA Group: 3, AA + WPI Group: 3.17, AA + WPI Group: 3.1. The administration of a single dose and a double does had not led to any significant histopathological improvement (Table IV, $p > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Regardless of the mechanism responsible for the onset and maintenance of intestinal inflammation, there is an intensive local immune response concerning lymphocytes and macrophages. The release of soluble cytokines and other inflammatory mediators causes tissue damage, which, in turn, contributes to the exacerbation and continuation of the local inflammatory response [14]. For the purposes of the present study, UC was induced in rats with an AA dose of 5%. The histopathological examination identified marked necro-inflammation and fibrosis in rats treated with AA. However, the significant increases observed in the levels of AP-1, COX-2, IL-6, IL-10, NF-κB, TNF-α, i.e. inflammation markers relevant to the development of UC had decreased significantly and to levels similar to those in the control group along with the whey protein treatment. On the other hand, we identified that the
development of UC decreased Nrf2 - ARE (antioxidant releasing element) signal pathway and HO-1 protein, which both assume protective roles in the colon inflammatory response, while whey protein activated the Nrf2 - HO-1 pathway.

Nrf2/INrf2 (Keap1) are the cellular sensors of oxidative stress. Nrf2 controls the expression and coordination of a series of defence genes coding detoxifying enzymes and antioxidant proteins [15]. The activation of Nrf2 may lead to a decrease in the induction of antioxidative genes and the synthesis of pro-inflammatory mediators [16]. Therefore, the impairment and loss of the Nrf2 signal pathway gives way not only to oxidative and electrophilic stresses, but also to an increase in sensitivity towards inflammatory tissue damage. The protective role assumed by the Nrf2 - ARE signal pathway in colitis was examined through the use of Nrf2 - ARE knock-out mice exhibiting severe inflammatory symptoms [17]. In addition, recent studies have shown the role Nrf2 - ARE signalling assumes in the decrease of pathogenesis relating to inflammation in various diseases including colitis [18]. The present study revealed that the Nrf2 expression in the colon had decreased markedly along with the administration of AA and increased significantly along with the rectal administration of a single dose of whey protein. There wasn’t a significant difference between WPI and WPII in terms of the increase in Nrf2.

The control of the HO-1 expression is realised at the transcription level and mediated by such different transcription factors as NF-κB and Nrf2 [19]. Among the enzymes regulated by Nrf2, HO-1 also exhibits an antioxidative feature in addition to its anti-inflammatory effect. In addition, HO-1 is a critical regulator for innate immunity and the modulation of inflammation and has been identified to increase in response to acute inflammatory diseases. HO-1 is an inducible protein responsible for host defence against intestinal inflammation [20, 21]. The expression of HO-1 protein has been established to increase in the colonic mucosa of patients with active UC [22]. In addition, any increase in HO-1 is protective against an inflammatory response in the colon [22]. Within the course of the present study, the administration of AA increased the significantly lower HO-1 although not to a significant extent.
Other redox-sensitive transcription factors activated with oxidative stress are NF-κB and AP-1. These transcription factors play an important regulatory role in the HO-1 gene induction [23]. AP-1 is another redox-regulating transcription factor that assumes a role in the regulation of inflammation-mediated cellular functions. In addition, granulocytes and macrophages in inflammation zones generate highly pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-6, and TNF-alpha that play a direct role in the pathogenesis of IBD [24, 25]. With respect to all proteins specified in the present study, AP-1, IL-6, IL-10, NF-κB, TNF-α levels increased along with the AA induced colonic injury and gave way to inflammation. However, whey protein decreased the tissue level of such proteins at a significant level. This decrease in AP-1, IL-6, IL-10, NF-κB levels is at a significant level in the WPI group with no significant difference observed between WPII and control groups. The study could not detect a significant difference between WPI and control groups in terms of TNF-α level. In the light of such data, the inhibition of AP-1 appears to be a promising therapeutic strategy to decrease inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that play an important role for the treatment of inflammatory diseases.

COX-2 is also regulated through redox-sensitive transcription factors activated in the presence of oxidative system and the generation of COX-2 is up-regulated by redox-sensitive transcription factors in inflammation zones [25-27]. The increase in intestinal inflammation was shown to lead to up-regulation in COX-2 [28-30]. The present study established COX-2 expression in the rat colon to increase significantly by reason of the administration of AA. Whey protein created a significant decrease in the expression of COX-2 induced by AA damage. While COX-2 decreased significantly along with the WPI treatment, the difference between WPII and control groups receded to undetectable levels.

When considered in combination, the administration of whey protein prevented and treated the increased expressions of the aforementioned mediators including AP-1, NF-κB, TNF-alpha, IL-6, IL-10, and COX-2 to a significant degree in colon damage induced by AA. The increase in the expressions of Nrf2 and HO-1 arising from the administration of whey
protein indicates that the beneficial effects of this agent is realised at least through the activation of the Nrf2 - HO-1 pathway. The activation of Nrf2 - ARE at an early stage in the inflammation-mediated tissue damage may inhibit the generation or expression of pro-inflammatory mediators including cytokines, chemokines, cell adhesion molecules, matrix metalloproteinases, COX-2, and inducible nitric oxide synthase. The cytoprotective function of the genes targeted by Nrf2 is considered also to be able to regulate innate immune response cooperatively and to suppress the induction of pro-inflammatory genes [31]. The findings of the present study indicated that whey protein activated the Nrf2 - HO-1 pathway. Nrf2 increased to a statistically significant extent, while HO-1 did increase although not to such extent.

The rectal administration of whey protein was observed not to treat UC in histopathological terms despite its aforementioned positive effects. The reason behind the lack of histopathological improvement in either dosage may be the short duration allocated for the experiment (7 days). Therefore, a study aiming to observe positive histopathological effects may need to administer whey protein for a longer period of time, i.e. two or four weeks. There is a need for studies implementing treatments of different (higher) lengths rather than different dosages.

Consequently, whey protein offers therapeutic effects against colonic inflammation induced by AA through its antioxidative and anti-inflammatory effects and its modulation of Nrf2 / HO-1 and NF-κB pathways as important factors to decrease pro-inflammatory signalling and colonic inflammatory cell infiltration.

The activation of Nrf2 supports the restoration of balance between oxidants and antioxidants and plays a central role in the efforts to increase the expression of antioxidant genes such as HO-1.
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### Table I. Experimental protocol of the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control (n=7)</th>
<th>AA (n=7)</th>
<th>AA+WPI (n=6)</th>
<th>AA+WPII (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intra-colonic saline</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra-colonic AA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra-colonic WP single dose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra-colonic WP double dose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. Histological scoring of colon specimens stained with hematoxylin eosin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>Normal colonic mucosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>Loss or shortening of the basal 1/3 of the crypts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>Loss of the basal 2/3 of the crypts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>Total crypt loss but remain intact epithelial surface of the lamina propria and submucosa show mild inflammatory cell infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>Total crypt loss but remain intact epithelial surface of the lamina propria and submucosa show severe inflammatory cell infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>Erosion and marked cellular infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items</td>
<td>Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP-1</td>
<td>100.00±3.01c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2</td>
<td>100.00±14.12c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2</td>
<td>100.00±1.86a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-1</td>
<td>100.00±2.61a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>100.00±6.43c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>100.00±14.67c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nf-κB</td>
<td>100.00±10.63c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-a</td>
<td>100.00±5.96b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Effects of whey protein on colon tissue and P values. The data are given as mean and standard deviation. Superscripts a–c indicate that means in the same row without a common superscript differ significantly. Data in bold indicates statistical significance at (P <0.05).

**Abbreviation:** AA: acetic acid, AA + WPI: acetic acid and single dose whey protein, AA + WPII: acetic acid and double dose whey protein group per day. AP-1: active protein kinase-1, COX-2: cyclooxygenase-2, HO-1: hemoxygenase-1, IL-6, 10:
**Table IV.** Histopathological effects of whey protein on colonic tissue in acetic acid induced ulcerative colitis model in rats. Histopathological scoring of the groups [12]. Data are means the standard error. Superscripts a–c indicate that means in the same row without a common superscript differ significantly. Data in bold indicates statistical significance at (P <0.05).
Figure 1. A: Distal colon after midline laparotomy in the control group
Figure 1. B: the colon was excised 10 cm starting from the rectum in the control group.
Figure 2. Effects of whey protein on colontissue in acetic acid-induced colitis model in rats. The intensity of the bands was quantified by densitometric analysis and β-Actin was included to ensure equal protein loading. Data are expressed as a ratio of normal control value (set to 100%). Each bar represents the mean and Standard error. Blots were repeated at least 3 times (n=3). Different superscripts on top of each bar (a-c) indicates group mean differences. Superscripts a–c indicate that means in the same row without a common superscript differ significantly (P< 0.05).