

# Effect of atorvastatin on delirium status of patients in the intensive care unit: a randomized controlled trial

Seyed Mojtaba Sohrevardi<sup>1,2</sup>, Fatemeh Shojaei Nasab<sup>3</sup>, Mohammad Reza Mirjalili<sup>4</sup>, Mohammad Bagherniya<sup>5</sup>, Arefeh Dehghani Tafti<sup>6</sup>, Mohammad Hossein Jarrahzadeh<sup>4</sup>, Mahmoud Reza Azarpazhooh<sup>7,8</sup>, Mohsen Saeidmanesh<sup>9</sup>, Maciej Banach<sup>10,11</sup>, Tannaz Jamialahmadi<sup>12,13</sup>, Amirhossein Sahebkar<sup>14,15,16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Stroke Prevention & Atherosclerosis Research Centre, University of Western Ontario, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Science, Food Security Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>6</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

<sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Neurological Sciences, University of Western Ontario, Canada

<sup>8</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Western Ontario, Canada

<sup>9</sup>Department of Psychology, Science and Arts University, Yazd, Iran

<sup>10</sup>Department of Hypertension, WAM University Hospital in Lodz, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland

<sup>11</sup>Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute (PMMHRI), Lodz, Poland

<sup>12</sup>Halal Research Center of IRI, FDA, Tehran, Iran

<sup>13</sup>Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>14</sup>Biotechnology Research Center, Pharmaceutical Technology Institute, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>15</sup>Neurogenic Inflammation Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>16</sup>School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

## Corresponding authors:

Tannaz Jamialahmadi  
MD, PhD  
Department of Nutrition  
Faculty of Medicine  
Mashhad University  
of Medical Sciences  
Mashhad  
9177948564, Iran  
E-mail:  
jamiat931@mums.ac.ir

Amirhossein Sahebkar  
PharmD, PhD  
Biotechnology  
Research Center  
Mashhad University  
of Medical Sciences  
Mashhad 9177948564  
Iran  
Phone: +98 5138002299  
Fax: +98 5138002287  
E-mail: sahebkar@mums.ac.ir,  
amir\_saheb2000@yahoo.com

**Submitted:** 15 September 2019; **Accepted:** 29 September 2019

**Online publication:** 12 November 2019

Arch Med Sci 2021; 17 (5): 1423–1428

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2019.89330>

Copyright © 2019 Termedia & Banach

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Delirium is one of the most prevalent complications in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, which is related to worse clinical outcomes including a longer ICU stay, longer duration of mechanical ventilation, higher mortality rates and increased risk of cognitive impairment. Observational studies have suggested that statins might have a positive effect on delirium status of hospitalized patients. To date, there has been no trial assessing the effect of atorvastatin on delirium status in critically ill patients. Thus, the aim of the current study was to determine the efficacy of atorvastatin on delirium status of patients in the ICU.

**Methods:** In this randomized, double-blind and controlled trial, a total of 90 patients in the general ICU who had delirium for at least 2 days were randomly divided into atorvastatin (40 mg/day) ( $n = 40$ ) and control ( $n = 50$ ) groups. Delirium status of the patients was determined twice a day at 10:00 a.m. and 18:00 p.m. using the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS).

**Results:** Administration 40 mg/day of atorvastatin significantly reduced the mean RASS score and increased delirium-free days at both morning and afternoon time points compared to the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusions:** Administration of atorvastatin had a significant positive effect on delirium status in patients admitted to the ICU.

**Key words:** atorvastatin, C-reactive protein, delirium, intensive care unit, statins.

Delirium, an acute brain dysfunction, is one of the most prevalent complications occurring in intensive care unit (ICU) patients and those who undergo cardiac surgery. It is related to worse clinical outcomes including a longer ICU stay, longer duration of mechanical ventilation, increased risk of cognitive impairment and higher mortality rates [1–5]. Depression and cognitive impairment following critical illness are associated with delirium, which could contribute to several long-term negative consequences including reduced quality of life, increased healthcare costs and institutionalization [6–8]. A chronic brain syndrome is common in about 40% of delirium patients [9], while full resolution of symptoms of delirium was observed in only 4% of patients at discharge and reduction in functions remained even 6 months after hospital discharge [10, 11].

Although the exact pathophysiological mechanisms of delirium remain unclear, disruption of neurotransmission, inflammation or acute stress responses are considered as potential mechanisms involved in the development of delirium [12]. Early diagnosis and accurate treatment of each underlying cause prior to the intervention is recommended to lessen the occurrence of post-operative delirium [13]. It is suggested that inflammation might play a role in the development of delirium as previous research indicated that higher serum levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) are related to fewer delirium-free days [14]. In addition, cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and interleukins 1 and 2 could interfere with neurotransmitter function. Thus, increase in cytokines might be associated with the development of delirium [14]. Acute inflammation is common in several conditions including sepsis, trauma and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which might increase delirium incidence in patients in ICU [15–17]. It is proposed that neuroinflammation, oxidative damage and apoptosis followed by systemic inflammation, characterized by elevated CRP, could contribute to cerebral hypoperfusion and delirium [18, 19].

Delirium occurs in about 80% of ICU patients and contributes to hypoperfusion in frontal, temporal and subcortical brain regions, which all might lead to the progression of neuropsychological deficits [15, 16, 20]. Nevertheless, pharmacological agents capable of preventing or treating delirium during critical illness are lacking [18].

It has been recently suggested that statins might be safe and useful drugs to prevent and treat delirium in ICU patients due to their potential anti-inflammatory (peripheral and central) effects [18]. Statins are usually prescribed to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels and subsequently cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [21]. However, it is revealed that statins have lipid-independent pleiotropic effects [22–27] and attenuate neuro-inflammation, which might have beneficial effects on central neural system injury resulting in the improvement of delirium and its associated long-term cognitive injury [18]. In a previous trial, it was shown that simvastatin administration significantly reduced CRP in healthy volunteers and in critically ill patients with acute lung injury [28]. In a previous prospective cohort, a significant correlation was found between statin therapy and lower daily risk of delirium in critically ill patients [19]. Similarly, statin therapy leads to reduced cardiac surgery-induced delirium [17].

Due to the strong impact of delirium on clinical outcomes of critical ill patients, finding an efficient treatment without undesirable side effects is necessary. However, the effect of statins as safe drugs for the attenuation of delirium in ICU patients has been poorly investigated, with no report on the efficacy of atorvastatin. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to assess the efficacy of atorvastatin administration on delirium status of patients in the ICU.

**Methods.** This was a double-blind randomized controlled trial designed to determine the effect of atorvastatin on delirium status in patients referred to the general intensive care unit (GICU) of the Shahid Sadoughi teaching Hospital, Yazd, Iran, from June to December of 2015.

**Study subjects.** In this study, GICU patients were assessed for delirium status and if they had at least 2 days delirium, they were randomly assigned to two groups to receive either atorvastatin 40 mg/day (intervention group) or placebo (control group) without any statin therapy. The study researchers, nurses and the other hospital staff were blinded regarding the assigned intervention. The inclusion criteria were patients with age  $\geq 18$  years who were admitted to the GICU and had delirium for at least 2 days with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score between 13 and 15. Exclusion criteria were suffering from any known psycholog-

ical disorder, hepatic or renal dysfunctions, abnormal levels of hepatic enzymes and treatment with macrolide drugs. Patients who had a history of hypersensitivity to atorvastatin were also excluded.

**Outcome measures.** A well-trained nurse assessed the levels of sedation twice a day at 10:00 a.m. and 18:00 p.m. using the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) [29]. "Delirium free" status was defined as a day without delirium [30]. For ICU patients, normal cognitive status (i.e., awakesness and no delirium) was defined if in a day there was no delirium or the patient was not in a coma (any cause including sedation) [30].

According to RASS [31], scores 0–4 were indicative of alert patients or patients who agitated prior to stimulation. Scores –1 to –3 indicated unalert patients (not spontaneously alert) based on the duration of eye contact, when patients were called by name to look at the rater. Physical stimulation (i.e. shoulder shake and/or sternal rub) was used for patients who did not respond to verbal stimulation and according to their response received a score of –4 or –5. For calm patients who were not alert prior to verbal and physical stimulation, scores –1 to –5 were considered even if they became agitated on stimulation [31].

The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation score (APACHE II) was used considering 12 routine physiological measurements, age and previous health status to provide a general measure of severity of disease with a range of 0 to 71. Increasing scores indicated the subsequent risk of hospital death [32, 33].

Sociodemographic factors and clinical status of patients including age, sex, hypercholesterolemia, ischemic heart disease, diabetes, and admission after aortic aneurysm surgery and ventilated days were also collected.

All experimental procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran. The participant's autonomy and anonymity as well as confidentiality were considered as ethical issues. A family member of the patient was asked to fill in a written consent form before participation of the patient in the study. The whole protocol was registered in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials under the accession code of IRCT201606131836N9.

**Statistical analysis.** All data were analyzed using SPSS, version 16, at a significance level of  $< 0.05$ . The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied to assess normality of the data. Independent and pair *t*-test were used to analyze differences between and within groups, respectively. The  $\chi^2$  test was used to analyze differences between groups in categorical variables. Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney tests were used to analyze non-parametric

factors between and within groups, respectively. Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the correlation between atorvastatin therapy and delirium status.

**Results. General characteristics.** A total of 98 patients were enrolled in this study, among whom 2 subjects died and 6 patients were discharged 1 day after entering the study and finally data were analyzed for 90 patients. Forty patients (20 women) and 50 patients (35 women) were included in the intervention and control groups, respectively. As shown in Table I, there were no significant differences between the mean age, sex, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus, surgery and consumption of corticosteroids and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) between the two groups. However, the prevalence of ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular disease and hypercholesterolemia was significantly higher among case patients than controls (Table I).

**Effect of atorvastatin administration on delirium status and clinical outcomes.** Median delirium-free status in both morning and afternoon was significantly higher in patients receiving atorvastatin compared with the control group ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table II). In comparison with the patients without atorvastatin consumption, GCS was significantly higher in atorvastatin-receiving participants ( $p = 0.047$ ) (Table II). No significant difference was observed between groups regarding ventilation duration, length of stay and APACHE II score.

As shown in Table III atorvastatin consumption significantly reduced RASS in morning and afternoon compared with baseline ( $p < 0.05$ ). Further analysis using linear regression indicated that there were positive correlations between atorvastatin administration and delirium-free state in the morning ( $\beta = 2.67$ , 95% CI: 1.4–5.0;  $p = 0.029$ ) and afternoon ( $\beta = 3.00$ , 95% CI: 1.5–6.1;  $p = 0.012$ ).

**Discussion.** The main finding of the current study is that delirium-free status in both morning and afternoon were significantly higher in patients receiving atorvastatin (40 mg/day) compared with the control group. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study investigating the effect of atorvastatin on delirium status in ICU patients. Delirium is a severe dysfunction of the brain, which is associated with attention and cognition problems [34]. Disruption of clinical care including medication administration, nutrition, wound care, personal hygiene, discharge planning and family stress are the other negative health consequences of delirium in hospitalized patients [35]. Therefore, our results indicate that atorvastatin might have a beneficial effect in reducing brain dysfunction in ICU patients.

In line with our study, findings of two prospective observational cohorts showed that statin

**Table I.** Clinical characteristics of study population, stratified by atorvastatin use

Variables	Atorvastatin use (40 mg/day)		P-value
	No (50)	Yes (40)	
Age	65 ±23.87	51 ±19.33	0.14*
Female (%)	35 (70%)	20 (50%)	0.65**
IHD or CVD	10 (20%)	20 (50%)	< 0.004*
Diabetes mellitus	10 (20%)	4 (10%)	0.15*
Hypercholesterolemia	0 (0%)	8 (20%)	< 0.001*
Surgery	20 (40%)	12 (30%)	0.50*
NSAIDs	35 (60%)	24 (80%)	0.60*

IHD – ischemic heart disease, CVD – cerebrovascular disease, NSAID – non-steroidal anti inflammatory drugs. \*t-test, \*\* $\chi^2$  test.

**Table II.** Effect of atorvastatin administration on delirium status and clinical outcomes

Variables	Atorvastatin use (40 mg/day)		P-values
	No (50)	Yes (40)	
Delirium-free in the morning	1 (0–2) <sup>a</sup>	2 (1–9)	< 0.001
Delirium-free in the afternoon	1 (0–1)	1.5 (1–6)	> 0.001
GCS	14 (12.5–15)	14.6 (14–15)	0.047
Ventilation days	2.9 (1–6)	3 (0–5)	0.889
ICU stay length [days]	5.4 (4–8)	5 (3–10)	0.631
APACHE II score	13.3 ±5.02 <sup>b</sup>	13.5 ±3.5	0.99

GCS – Glasgow Coma Score, ICU – intensive care unit, APACHE – Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation score. <sup>a</sup>All variables except for APACHE II score were reported as median (min and max). <sup>b</sup>mean ± SD.

**Table III.** Effect of atorvastatin administration on Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) and C-reactive-protein, before and after intervention

Variables	Groups	Before	After	P-value
		(mean ± SD)	(mean ± SD)	
RASS, morning	Atorvastatin group	1.6 ±0.84	0.35 ±0.37	0.001*
	No atorvastatin group	1.2 ±0.66	0.9 ±0.32	0.33*
	P-value	0.13*	0.03*	
RASS, afternoon	Atorvastatin group	1.3 ±0.48	0.33 ±0.25	0.03*
	No atorvastatin group	1.4 ±0.57	0.82 ±0.36	0.24*
	P-value	0.68*	0.04*	

RASS – Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale. \*t-test.

therapy was associated with less delirium in ICU patients [30, 36]. On the other hand, an observational cohort revealed no significant reduction of delirium in patients who were given statins prior to coronary revascularization [37]. Also, the results of a previous randomized controlled trial investigating the effect of rosuvastatin (40 mg loading dose and then 20 mg daily until the earliest of 3 days after discharge from intensive care, study day 28, or death) on delirium in patients with sepsis-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome showed that rosuvastatin had no effect on delirium status of the patients [38]. These inconsistent findings might be explained by differences in the hydrophilic and lipophilic properties of statins. Atorvastatin, used in this study, and

simvastatin are lipophilic drugs that can enter into cells, be distributed in different tissues and pass the blood-brain barrier [38, 39] but hydrophilic statins such as pravastatin and rosuvastatin are mainly liver-specific [39].

The results of a recent systematic review investigating the pharmacological prevention and treatment of delirium in ICU patients showed that in only two included studies regarding statin therapy did these drugs cause a significant postoperative reduction in delirium rates in patients ≥ 60 years [40]. However, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis which reviewed the results of 6 studies with high heterogeneity (two studies in ICU patients and four studies in cardiac surgery patients) indicated that statins had no effects on

delirium status in critically ill and cardiac surgery patients. It was suggested that more studies are required to clarify the relationship between statin therapy, particularly with respect to the type of statin and dosage, and delirium status, its mechanisms and outcomes in ICU patients [41].

In addition to their anti-inflammatory effects, statins may improve delirium through effects on N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA)-mediated glutamate excitotoxicity [42, 43] or endothelial function [44, 45], which might have beneficial effects on neuronal function. In addition, it has been reported that in response to the statin therapy, cerebral blood flow was increased in the ischemic penumbra, and the behavioral deficits were improved in the brain injury [46, 47].

Despite the novelty and the interventional design, some limitations should be acknowledged. First, we conducted this study in the general ICU, which included a diverse range of patients; hence, the generalization of the results to other hospitalized patients may not be applicable. Second, patients in the general ICU were administered a wide range of drugs, which might result in potential bias in the findings. However, using randomization could help to reduce these concerns. Third, we only assessed the effects of a single statin (atorvastatin) at a fixed dose and it is not clear whether other statins could have different effects and whether the observed effects of atorvastatin are dose-dependent. Given the findings of this study, additional trials exploring the efficacy of atorvastatin in reducing delirium status in critically ill patients seem to be helpful. Fourth, this study had a small size and was conducted as a single-center trial, thus making the generalizability of the findings difficult. Finally, the results need to be confirmed in larger and multi-center trials employing more specific indices of delirium evaluation such as the CAM-ICU (Cognitive Assessment Method for ICU) or ICDSC (Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist).

In conclusion, the findings of the present study showed that atorvastatin administration at a dose of 40 mg/day reduced delirium at both morning and afternoon time points in general ICU patients. Further investigations are required to confirm our findings and to compare the effects of different statins on delirium as well as the underlying mechanisms in ICU patients.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Shahid Saadoughi University of Medical Sciences (Yazd, Iran).

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

1. Kazmierski J, Kowman M, Banach M, et al. Clinical utility and use of DSM-IV and ICD-10 Criteria and The Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale in establishing a diagnosis of delirium after cardiac surgery. *Psychosomatics* 2008; 49: 73-6.
2. Spronk PE, Riekerk B, Hofhuis J, Rommes JH. Occurrence of delirium is severely underestimated in the ICU during daily care. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; 35: 1276-80.
3. Pisani MA, Araujo KL, Van Ness PH, Zhang Y, Ely EW, Inouye SK. A research algorithm to improve detection of delirium in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care* 2006; 10: R121.
4. Ouimet S, Kavanagh BP, Gottfried SB, Skrobik Y. Incidence, risk factors and consequences of ICU delirium. *Intensive Care Med* 2007; 33: 66-73.
5. Kazmierski J, Kowman M, Banach M, et al. The use of DSM-IV and ICD-10 criteria and diagnostic scales for delirium among cardiac surgery patients: results from the IPDACS study. *J Neuropsych Clin Neurosci* 2010; 22: 426-32.
6. Milbrandt EB, Deppen S, Harrison PL, et al. Costs associated with delirium in mechanically ventilated patients. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32: 955-62.
7. Eeles EM, Hubbard RE, White SV, O'Mahony MS, Savva GM, Bayer AJ. Hospital use, institutionalisation and mortality associated with delirium. *Age Ageing* 2010; 39: 470-5.
8. Kazmierski J, Kowman M, Banach M, et al. Preoperative predictors of delirium after cardiac surgery: a preliminary study. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2006; 28: 536-8.
9. Pompei P, Foreman M, Rudberg MA, Inouye SK, Braund V, Cassel CK. Delirium in hospitalized older persons: outcomes and predictors. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1994; 42: 809-15.
10. Levkoff SE, Evans DA, Liptzin B, et al. Delirium: the occurrence and persistence of symptoms among elderly hospitalized patients. *Arch Intern Med* 1992; 152: 334-40.
11. Murray AM, Levkoff SE, Wetle TT, et al. Acute delirium and functional decline in the hospitalized elderly patient. *J Gerontol* 1993; 48: M181-6.
12. Martins S, Fernandes L. Delirium in elderly people: a review. *Front Neurol* 2012; 3: 101.
13. Kazmierski J, Kowman M, Banach M, et al. Incidence and predictors of delirium after cardiac surgery: results from The IPDACS Study. *J Psychosom Res* 2010; 69: 179-85.
14. Figueroa-Ramos MI, Arroyo-Novoa CM, Lee KA, Padilla G, Puntillo KA. Sleep and delirium in ICU patients: a review of mechanisms and manifestations. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; 35: 781-95.
15. Jackson JC, Hopkins RO, Miller RR, Gordon SM, Wheeler AP, Ely EW. Acute respiratory distress syndrome, sepsis, and cognitive decline: a review and case study. *South Med J* 2009; 102: 1150-7.
16. Hsieh SJ, Soto GJ, Hope AA, Ponea A, Gong MN. The association between acute respiratory distress syndrome, delirium, and in-hospital mortality in intensive care unit patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 191: 71-8.
17. Katznelson R, Djaiani GN, Borger MA, et al. Preoperative use of statins is associated with reduced early delirium rates after cardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2009; 110: 67-73.
18. Morandi A, Hughes CG, Girard TD, McAuley DF, Ely EW, Pandharipande PP. Statins and brain dysfunction: a hypothesis to reduce the burden of cognitive impairment in patients who are critically ill. *Chest* 2011; 140: 580-5.
19. Page VJ, Davis D, Zhao XB, et al. Statin use and risk of delirium in the critically ill. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; 189: 666-73.

20. Ely EW, Margolin R, Francis J, et al. Evaluation of delirium in critically ill patients: validation of the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU). *Crit Care Med* 2001; 29: 1370-9.
21. Davies JT, Delfino SF, Feinberg CE, et al. Current and emerging uses of statins in clinical therapeutics: a review. *Lipid Insights* 2016; 9: LPI. S37450.
22. Chruściel P, Sahebkar A, Rembek-Wieliczko M, et al. Impact of statin therapy on plasma adiponectin concentrations: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 43 randomized controlled trial arms. *Atherosclerosis* 2016; 253: 194-208.
23. Mohajeri M, Banach M, Atkin SL, et al. MicroRNAs: novel molecular targets and response modulators of statin therapy. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2018; 39: 967-81.
24. Parizadeh SMR, Azarpazhooh MR, Moohebbati M, et al. Simvastatin therapy reduces prooxidant-antioxidant balance: results of a placebo-controlled cross-over trial. *Lipids* 2011; 46: 333-40.
25. Sahebkar A, Kotani K, Serban C, et al. Statin therapy reduces plasma endothelin-1 concentrations: a meta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled trials. *Atherosclerosis* 2015; 241: 433-42.
26. Sahebkar A, Serban C, Mikhailidis DP, et al. Association between statin use and plasma d-dimer levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Thromb Haemost* 2015; 114: 546-57.
27. Sahebkar A, Serban C, Ursoniu S, et al. The impact of statin therapy on plasma levels of von Willebrand factor antigen: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo-controlled trials. *Thromb Haemost* 2016; 115: 520-32.
28. Craig TR, Duffy MJ, Shyamsundar M, et al. A randomized clinical trial of hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibition for acute lung injury (the HARP study). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011; 183: 620-6.
29. Sessler CN, Gosnell MS, Grap MJ, et al. The Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale: validity and reliability in adult intensive care unit patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; 166: 1338-44.
30. Page VJ, Davis D, Zhao XB, et al. Statin use and risk of delirium in the critically ill. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; 189: 666-73.
31. Ely EW, Truman B, Shintani A, et al. Monitoring sedation status over time in ICU patients: reliability and validity of the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS). *JAMA* 2003; 289: 2983-91.
32. Zimmerman JE, Kramer AA, McNair DS, Malila FM. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) IV: hospital mortality assessment for today's critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34: 1297-310.
33. Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, Zimmerman JE. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. *Crit Care Med* 1985; 13: 818-29.
34. Krahn D, Heymann A, Spies C. How to monitor delirium in the ICU and why it is important. *Clin Effect Nursing* 2006; 9: e269-79.
35. Redelmeier DA, Thiruchelvam D, Daneman N. Delirium after elective surgery among elderly patients taking statins. *CMAJ* 2008; 179: 645-52.
36. Morandi A, Hughes CG, Thompson JL, et al. Statins and delirium during critical illness: a multicenter, prospective cohort study. *Crit Care Med* 2014; 42: 1899-909.
37. Mariscalco G, Cottini M, Zanobini M, et al. Preoperative statin therapy is not associated with a decrease in the incidence of delirium after cardiac operations. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012; 93: 1439-47.
38. Needham DM, Colantuoni E, Dinglas VD, et al. Rosuvastatin versus placebo for delirium in intensive care and subsequent cognitive impairment in patients with sepsis-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: an ancillary study to a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2016; 4: 203-12.
39. Bonsu KO, Kadirvelu A, Reidpath DD. Lipophilic versus hydrophilic statin therapy for heart failure: a protocol for an adjusted indirect comparison meta-analysis. *Syst Rev* 2013; 2: 22.
40. Serafim RB, Bozza FA, Soares M, et al. Pharmacologic prevention and treatment of delirium in intensive care patients: a systematic review. *J Crit Care* 2015; 30: 799-807.
41. Vallabhajosyula S, Kanmanthareddy A, Erwin PJ, Esterbrooks DJ, Morrow LE. Role of statins in delirium prevention in critical ill and cardiac surgery patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Crit Care* 2017; 37: 189-96.
42. Semmler A, Okulla T, Sastre M, Dumitrescu-Ozimek L, Heneka MT. Systemic inflammation induces apoptosis with variable vulnerability of different brain regions. *J Chem Neuroanatomy* 2005; 30: 144-57.
43. Zacco A, Togo J, Spence K, et al. 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors protect cortical neurons from excitotoxicity. *J Neurosci* 2003; 23: 11104-11.
44. Pleiner J, Schaller G, Mittermayer F, et al. Simvastatin prevents vascular hyporeactivity during inflammation. *Circulation* 2004; 110: 3349-54.
45. McGown C, Brown N, Hellewell P, Reilly C, Brookes Z. Beneficial microvascular and anti-inflammatory effects of pravastatin during sepsis involve nitric oxide synthase III. *Br J Anaesth* 2010; 104: 183-90.
46. Sironi L, Cimino M, Guerrini U, et al. Treatment with statins after induction of focal ischemia in rats reduces the extent of brain damage. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2003; 23: 322-7.
47. Stępień K, Tomaszewski M, Czuczwar SJ. Neuroprotective properties of statins. *Pharmacol Rep* 2005; 57: 561-9.