Mohsen Mazidi¹, Niki Katsiki², Dimitri P. Mikhailidis³, Daniel Pella⁴, Maciej Banach^{5,6,7*} on behalf of the Lipid and Blood Pressure Meta-Analysis Collaboration (LBPMC) Group

¹Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, St Thomas' Hospital, Strand, London, UK

²Second Propedeutic Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Hippokration Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece ³Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Royal Free Campus, University College London

Medical School, University College London (UCL), London, UK ⁴2nd Department of Cardiology of the East Slovak Institute of Cardiovascular Disease

and Faculty of Medicine PJ Safarik University, Kosice, Slovak Republic ⁵Department of Hypertension, Chair of Nephrology and Hypertension,

Medical University of Lodz, Poland

⁶Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute (PMMHRI), Lodz, Poland ⁷Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Zielona Gora, Zielona Gora, Poland

Submitted: 11 October 2019 Accepted: 28 December 2019

Arch Med Sci 2020; 16 (2): 260–272 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2020.92890 Copyright © 2020 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: The long-term effect of potato consumption on mortality and cardiovascular (CV) and cardiometabolic risk factors is still largely unknown. Using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) 1999–2010, we evaluted the long-term impact of potato intake on total and cause-specific (cardiovascular disease (CVD), cerebrovascular disease and cancer) mortality, and the results were next validated in a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies investigating pooled associations of potato consumption with all-cause and cause-specific death.

Material and methods: Vital status up to December 31, 2011 was ascertained in NHANES. Cox proportional hazards were applied to determine the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) of mortality for each quartile of the potato intake, with the lowest quartile (Q1 – with the lowest intake) used as a reference. In the meta-analysis we used adjusted Cox regression to determine the risk ratio (RR) and 95% CI, as well as random effects models and generic inverse variance methods to synthesize quantitative and pooled data, followed by a leave-one-out method for sensitivity analysis.

Results: Among 24,856 participants included, 3433 deaths occurred during the mean follow-up of 6.4 years. In multivariate adjusted models, total (42%), CVD (65%), cerebrovascular (26%) and cancer (52%) mortality risk was greater in individuals with higher potato consumption than those with the lowest intake (p < 0.001 for all comparisons). However, this link disappeared after adjustment for confounding factors. Results from pooling current prospective studies revealed a non-significant association between total (RR = 1.25, 0.98–1.60, p = 0.066), CVD (RR = 0.99, 0.90–1.08, p = 0.845) and stroke mortality (RR = 0.94, 0.85–1.03, p = 0.214) with potato consumption. Individuals with a higher potato intake had a less favorable profile of cardiometabolic factors, including greater waist circumference (97.2 vs. 99.5 cm, p < 0.001) and a less favorable profile of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, levels of triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) and TG/HDL-C ratio (p < 0.001 for all comparisons).

Corresponding authors:

Dr. Mohsen Mazidi Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology King's College London St Thomas' Hospital Strand London SE1 7EH, UK E-mail: mohsen.mazidi@kcl. ac.uk

Prof. Maciej Banach MD, PhD, FNLA, FAHA, FESC, FASA Department of Hypertension WAM University Hospital in Lodz Medical University of Lodz 113 Zeromskiego St 90-549 Lodz, Poland Phone: +48 42 639 37 71 Fax: +48 42 639 37 71 E-mail: maciejbanach77@ gmail.com



Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Conclusions: Our results revealed no significant effects of potato intake on long-term mortality rates, whereas higher potato consumption was adversely related to cardiometabolic risk factors. These findings should be taken into consideration for public health strategies, establishing the position for potatoes in the food pyramid.

Key words: mortality, potato, stroke, cardiovascular disease, cardiometabolic, meta-analysis.

Introduction

Non-communicable diseases, including the most important ones, such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) and stroke, are the leading causes of death globally [1, 2]. Based on the recent data, each year more than 17 million people die due to CVD worldwide, accounting for 30% of global mortality [3]. It is also very well known that a well-balanced and healthy diet might essentially improve CV health, which has been demonstrated in many available large cohort studies [4–6].

White potatoes have often been a part of many traditional diets of Western countries [7]. They are rich in starch and have a high glycemic index and load, which, based on available data, have been associated with an increased risk of CVD and mortality [7]. However, compared with other common carbohydrate sources, potatoes have a low energy density because of their high water content [8]. They also provide important micronutrients, which are all associated with a decreased risk of morbidity and mortality [9]. Thus, overall it is hard to assess their potential harmful or beneficial effects [8].

There are very limited data on potato consumption and its impact on public health and clinical outcomes, such as mortality. A study by Muraki et al. that included three North American cohorts reported that greater consumption of potatoes was associated with a 33% higher risk of type 2 diabetes (T2DM), independently of several potential confounders [10]. Likewise, results from prospective US cohorts showed that high consumption of potatoes was associated with a higher risk of hypertension (HTN) [11]. In contrast, a study conducted in Sweden in 69,313 men and women failed to find any significant link between higher potato intake and the risk of CVD morbidity and mortality during a 13-year follow-up [12]. A systematic review, which included 5 observational studies with a total of 170,413 healthy subjects, also did not provide any conclusive evidence that could suggest an association between potato intake and the risk of developing obesity and type 2 DM [13]. Similarly, a longitudinal analysis that included 4,440 participants (with 8-year follow-up) reported that participants with the highest potato consumption did not have an increased risk of allcause mortality [10]. However, there were several limitations of this study, including the lack of assessment of cause-specific mortality and the influence of biochemical parameters, which might have been important confounding factors [14]. To the best of our knowledge, no previous prospective study has investigated the association between potato consumption and cancer mortality [15].

Taking into account still limited data and inconsistent results of available studies, a controversy was raised in the US and UK regarding the recognition of potatoes as vegetables in dietary recommendations [8, 15]. Currently, in the US national food guide potatoes are considered a vegetable [8], whereas they are grouped with cereals in the UK national food guide [8, 15]. The US Institute of Medicine recently recommended that white potatoes should be allowed as an eligible vegetable of the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children [8, 10, 15]. Such inconsistencies may originate from different considerations, including the historical nature of potatoes and policies of trade, agriculture, and food, as well as the limited and mixed evidence of the association of potato consumption with health outcomes such as mortality and cardiometabolic factors [16-21].

Given that potatoes are widely consumed in both North America and Europe as well as due to still inconsistent knowledge on their health effects, as well as conflicting findings reported, we prospectively examined the association of potato consumption with total and cause-specific mortality, including cancer, stroke and CVD, using population-based cohorts in the US. As a secondary objective, to validate the cohort results, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis by pooling existing prospective cohort studies.

Material and methods

NHANES Study

Main study characteristics

This was a prospective cohort study using data from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Research Ethics Review Board approved the underlying protocol and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The current study is based on the analysis of data from 2-year NHANES survey cycles between 1999 and 2010, restricted to partic-

ipants aged \geq 20 years. Details on the NHANES Laboratory/Medical Technologists Procedures and Anthropometry Procedures were described elsewhere [22].

Dietary intake was assessed via 24 h recalls obtained by a trained interviewer, using a computer-assisted dietary interview system with standardized probes, i.e. the United States Department of Agriculture Automated Multiple-Pass Method (AMPM) [23]. Briefly, the type and quantity of all foods and beverages consumed in a single 24 h period before the dietary interview (from midnight to midnight) were collected using the AMPM. It is designed to enhance complete and accurate data collection while reducing respondent burden. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies was used to determine the nutrient content of foods.

Cardiometabolic risk factors

A blood specimen was drawn from an antecubital vein. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA,) was measured using a Tosoh A1C 2.2 Plus Glycohemoglobin Analyzer (Tosoh Bioscience, San Francisco, USA). Fasting blood glucose (FBG) was measured by a hexokinase method using a Roche/Hitachi 911 Analyzer and Roche Modular P Chemistry Analyzer (NJ, USA). Insulin was measured using an ELISA immunoassay (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden). Other laboratory test details are available in the NHANES Laboratory/Medical Technologists Procedures Manual [24]. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as: (FBG (mg/dl) × insulin (mU/ml)/22.5) using fasting values. Details on C-reactive protein (CRP) measurement are available elsewhere [25]. The anthropometrically predicted visceral adipose tissue (apVAT) was measured with gender-specific validated equations that included age, body mass index (BMI), and circumferences of the waist (WC) and thigh [26]. The equation for men was: 6 × WC - 4.41 × proximal thigh circumference + 1.19 × age – 213.65; and the equation for women was: 2.15 × WC – 3.63 × proximal thigh + 1.46 × age + 6:22 × BMI – 92.713 [26]. Visceral adiposity index (VAI) was calculated using gender-specific formulas: men (WC/39.68 + (1.88 × BMI)) × (triglycerides (TG)/1.03) × (1.31/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)); women: (WC/36.58 + (1.89 × BMI)) \times (TG/0.81) \times (1.52/HDL-C), where both TG and HDL-C levels are expressed in mmol/l [26]. TG to HDL-C ratio was calculated as the ratio of TG (mg/ dl) to HDL-C (mg/dl). The lipid accumulation product (LAP) index was calculated as (WC - 65) × (TG (mmol/l)) in men, and (WC - 58) × (TG (mmol/l)) in women [26].

A digital scale was used to measure weight to the nearest 100 g and a fixed stadiometer to mea-

sure height to the nearest mm. BMI was calculated as weight in kg divided by the square of height in m. WC was measured at the iliac crest to the nearest mm, using a steel tape.

Mortality

The de-identified and anonymized data of NHANES 1999–2010 participants were linked to longitudinal Medicare and mortality data using the NHANES assigned sequence number. Mortality follow-up data are available from the date of survey participation until December 31, 2011. We examined all-cause mortality, as well as mortality due to CVD (I00-I09, I11, I13, I20-I51, I60-I69), cancer (C00-C97), and cerebrovascular disease (I60-I69). Cause of death was determined using the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10).

Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted according to the guidelines set by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for analysis of the NHANES dataset, accounting for the masked variance and using their suggested weighting methodology [27, 28]. Continuous and categorical demographic variables were compared across tertiles of potato consumption using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and χ^2 tests, respectively. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards were applied to determine the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) of mortality for each tertile of potato consumption, with the lowest tertile (T1 = lowest potato intake) always used as a reference. To derive the HR and 95% CI, we used 3 different models, Model 1: adjusted for age, gender, race, education, marital status, poverty to income ratio, total energy intake, physical activity, smoking and alcohol consumption; Model 2: adjusted additionally for BMI, dietary fat, carbohydrates, saturated fat, protein and dietary fiber; and Model 3: Model 2 adjusted for hypertension and DM. A two-sided p <0.05 was used to characterize significant results. Data were analyzed using SPSS complex sample module version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Systematic review and meta-analysis

Literature search and study selection

This meta-analysis was designed, conducted and reported according to Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines [29]. The primary exposure of interest was potato consumption while the primary outcome of interest was changes in total and cause-specific mortality subsequent to potato intake. Prospective cohort studies published up to June 2018

(without language restriction) were searched using PubMed/Medline, Embase, and Scopus database. This was complemented by hand searches of the reference list of eligible articles, and email correspondence with authors for additional data, where relevant.

After excluding duplicates and based on titles and abstracts, we excluded studies on animals, baseline age < 18 years, or populations with prior coronary heart disease (CHD), DM or any other chronic diseases. Eligible studies were selected by using predefined inclusion criteria of prospective cohort studies, healthy populations and original articles on the association of potato intake and all-cause and cause-specific mortality (CVD/CHD, cerebrovascular and cancer). In addition, supplementary hand searching of reference lists of previous reviews or meta-analyses was conducted. Overall, of 20 eligible full articles, 2 studies met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). To increase the power and credibility of the obtained results, the recent data based on the NHANES study were included in the final meta-analysis.

Study selection

Study selection started with the removal of duplicates, followed by screening of titles and abstracts by two reviewers (MM and NK). To avoid bias, the reviewers were blinded to the names, qualifications and the institutional affiliations of the study authors. Agreement between the reviewers was excellent (κ index: 0.89; p < 0.001). Disagreements were resolved at a meeting between reviewers prior to selected articles being retrieved (a flow chart is available in Figure 1). We included studies if they met all the following criteria: (1) the studies of interest concerned potato intake; (2) the studies were population-based cohort studies and reported all-cause and cause-specific mortality data; (3) RR, HR or odds ratio (OR) estimates with 95% CI adjusted for multivariable factors were available or could be calculated; (4) original articles with full texts in English.

Studies were excluded according to the following criteria: (1) reviews, letters, unpublished data or comments; (2) those published in languages other than English; (3) not population-based cohort studies; (4) RR, HR or OR estimates with 95% CI were not available or could not be calculated. Narrative reviews, comments, opinion pieces, methodological, editorials, letters or any other publications lacking primary data and/or explicit method descriptions were also excluded.

Data extraction and management

The full texts of studies meeting the inclusion criteria were retrieved and screened to determine eligibility by 2 reviewers (MM, NK). The study qual-



Figure 1. Flow chart of literature search for metaanalysis on potato consumption with total and cause-specific mortality for the selection of studies *Recent data based on NHANES analysis by Mazidi et al.

ity assessment was performed according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale [30]. By evaluation of selection, comparability and outcome, the rating system scores for studies range from 0 (highest degree of bias) to 9 (lowest degree of bias). Additionally, we investigated the funding sources of all the eligible studies. Following assessment of methodological quality, the reviewers (MM & NK) extracted data using a purpose-designed data extraction form and independently summarized what they consider to be the most important results from each study. These summaries were compared and any differences of opinion were resolved by discussion and consultation with a third reviewer (MB). Any further calculations on study data considered necessary were conducted by the first reviewer and checked by the second reviewer. Information extracted from each eligible study included the following items: author, year and references, country, study name, men (%), mean age, follow-up time (years), number of cases, number of participants, parameter, outcome and main confounders.

Data synthesis and statistical analyses

For studies that reported results from different multivariable-adjusted models, the model including the most confounding factors was extracted for the meta-analysis. The random-effect model was applied to calculate pooled RRs, 95% CI and *p*-value for heterogeneity. RRs comparing the highest with the lowest intake category were combined across studies to generate the summary associations. The extent of heterogeneity across studies was examined using the l^2 test and $l^2 > 50$ % together with a two-sided p < 0.05 indicated significant heterogeneity [31].

Publication bias

Potential publication bias was explored using visual inspection of Begg's funnel plot asymmetry, Begg's rank correlation and Egger's weighted regression tests. The Duval and Tweedie trim method was used to adjust the analysis for the effects of publication bias [32]. The meta-analysis was conducted using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) V3 software (Biostat, NJ) [33].

Results

NHANES study

Overall, 24,856 participants were included, with a mean age of 47.7 years, comprising 48.7% men and 51.3% women. Demographic characteristics of the participants according to potato consumption are shown in Table I. Individuals with a higher potato intake (1-2 serving(s)/day) were significantly younger compared with those with no potato consumption (0 servings/day) (46.3 vs. 47.7 years, respectively, p < 0.001, Table I). Males represented the majority in the highest amount of potato consumption group (59.1 vs. 40.9%, respectively, p < 0.001), whereas females represented the highest percentage in the lowest category (52.0 vs. 48.0%, respectively, *p* < 0.001, Table I). With regard to race/ethnicity, in the category with the highest potato intake the distribution was as follows: Non-Hispanic White (52.2%), Non-Hispanic Black (22.0%) and Mexican-American (13.8%. p < 0.001, Table I). Regarding education level, the majority of individuals with "more than high school" (47.9%) were in the category with no potato consumption, while most of those with "less than high school" (29.9%) education were in the "1–2 serving(s)/day" category.

We also calculated adjusted (for age, gender, race, education, marital status, poverty to income ratio, total energy intake, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, dietary fat, carbohydrates, saturated fat, protein and dietary fiber) mean of cardiovascular and cardiometabolic risk factors across increasing intake of potatoes (Table II). With increasing potato consumption, participants had a greater WC (97.2 vs. 99.5 cm, p < 0.001) and a less favorable profile of systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), TG, HDL-C and TG/HDL-C ratio (p < 0.001 for all comparisons). For both SBP ("0 serving/day = "122.1 vs. "1–2 serving/day "= 68.2 vs. "1–2 serving(s)/day "= 69.3 mm Hg)

the increase of potato intake was associated with significant BP increase (Table II). However, no significant differences were observed for BMI, apVAT or apolipoprotein B (apoB) across potato intake tertiles (Table II). With regard to glucose/insulin homeostasis parameters, insulin and HOMA-IR increased as the potato consumption became higher (both p < 0.001), while there was no significant difference in FBG, HOMA- β , HbA_{1c} or TG to FBG ratio (all p > 0.086). We also found a non-significant slight increase in CRP levels across the tertiles of potato consumption ("0 servings/day "= 0.35 vs. "1–2 serving(s)/day "= 0.38, p = 0.125, Table II).

During the follow-up period of 76.4 months (6.4 years), 3,433 total deaths were recorded, including 827 cancer deaths, 937 CVD deaths, and 228 cerebrovascular disease deaths. The distributions of total and cause-specific mortality across quartiles of potato consumption are depicted in Table I. Detailed results from 3 multivariable Cox regression models for risk of death (total, cancer, CVD, cerebrovascular disease) across potato intake are shown in Table III. With regard to total mortality, there was a positive link (42% higher) between potato consumption and total mortality in Model 1, whereas after more adjustment (Model 2 and Model 3), the association between total mortality and potato intake disappeared (p > 0.421). In the first (52% higher risk) and second (35% higher risk) models we found a positive association between cancer mortality and potato consumption (both p < 0.001), while this link disappeared after more adjustment (in Model 3) (p = 0.235). A similar pattern was observed for CVD mortality - in the first and second model, CVD mortality was positively correlated with potato intake (RR = 1.65, 95% Cl: 1.53-1.95, and RR = 1.51, 95% CI: 1.19–1.91, respectively, p <0.001, Table III), whereas no link was present after correction in Model 3 (RR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.99-1.32) (p = 0.418). There was also a positive association between cerebrovascular disease mortality and potato consumption in Model 1 of adjustment (highest intake = 1.26, 1.14-1.39, p < 0.001, Table III), while the link was significantly attenuated once we adjusted for more confounders in Model 2 (plus dietary factors) and Model 3 (plus BMI, HTN and T2DM) (both p > 0.476, Table III). Finally, with regard to cancer mortality, we found a positive and significant association between potato intake and cancer mortality in Model 1 (52%) and 2 (35%), while this link disappeared after more correction (RR = 1.09, 95% CI: 0.99-1.028, p = 0.235 for trend).

Meta-analysis and systematic review

Overviews of key characteristics of the 3 prospective cohort studies (4 cohorts) are shown in

Table I. Characteristics of study participants according to potato consumption
--

Parameter		Potato consumption	1	P-value
	0 servings²/day (n = 11369)	< 1 serving/day (n = 8856)	1–2 serving(s)/day (n = 4631)	
Age [years]	47.7 ±0.2	47.1 ±0.2	46.3 ±0.3	< 0.001
Gender (%):				
Men	48.0	45.9	59.1	< 0.001
Women	52.0	54.1	40.9	
Race/ethnicity (%):				
Mexican-American	20.6	18.5	13.8	< 0.001
Other Hispanic	9.0	8.4	5.3	
Non-Hispanic white	45.4	47.1	55.2	
Non-Hispanic black	20.4	21.4	22.0	
Other	4.6	4.6	3.7	
Marital status (%):				
Married	50.5	52.7	51.6	< 0.001
Widowed	8.4	8.8	6.9	
Divorced	10.9	9.3	10.5	
Never married	19.0	18.8	19.1	
Education status (%):				
Less than high school	26.4	28.1	29.9	< 0.001
Completed high school	22.2	25.6	26.8	
More than high school	47.9	46.1	46.7	
Mortality status:				
Total mortality	1028 (29.9)	1164 (33.9)	1241 (36.1)	< 0.001
Cancer mortality	286 (34.5)	246 (29.7)	295 (35.6)	< 0.001
Coronary heart disease mortality	298 (31.8)	329 (35.1)	310 (33.0)	< 0.001
Cerebrovascular disease mortality	69 (30.2)	78 (34.2)	81 (35.5)	< 0.001

Groups across the quartiles were compared by either χ^2 test or analysis of variance. Values expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) or %. ¹Potato in the diet included baked, boiled, fried, hash-browned, home-fried, mashed, roasted, scalloped, stuffed, with sauce, potato salad, and potato chips. ²One serving consisted of 30–149 g and two servings consisted of at least 150 g.

Table IV. A total of 98,569 participants, with 7672 mortality cases were included in the analysis. The duration of follow-up ranged from 6.4 to 13 years. Results of quality assessment showed that for all included studies scoring was \geq 7.

Associations of potato consumption with all-cause, CVD and stroke mortality

Pooling the data on the association between potato consumption and total mortality revealed a positive and non-significant association (RR = 1.25, 0.98–1.60, p = 0.066, n = 2 studies, Figure 2), with no risk of heterogeneity, $l^2 = 1.2$, p = 0.968. There was no association between potato consumption and CVD mortality (RR = 0.99, 0.90–1.08, p = 0.845, n = 3 studies, no heterogeneity, $l^2 = 3.2$, p = 0.825, Figure 3) or between potato intake and stroke mortality (RR = 0.94, 0.85–1.03, p = 0.214, n = 3 studies, Figure 4), with no sign of heterogeneity, $l^2 = 1.9$, p = 0.876.

Publication bias

Visual inspection of the funnel plot symmetry suggested no potential publication bias for the comparison of potato consumption and CVD mortality (Figure 5). Moreover, Egger's linear regression indicated absence of publication bias (intercept = -2.3, 95% CI: -6.91 to 6.00, two-tailed

Table II. Characteristics of study participants by potato consumption and its influence on cardiometabolic and	
cardiovascular risk factors	

Parameter		Potato consumption ¹		P-value
-	0 servings²/day (n = 11369)	< 1 serving/day (n = 8856)	1–2 serving(s)/day (n = 4631)	
Body mass index [kg/m²]	28.8 ±0.1	28.7 ±0.1	28.9 ±0.1	0.143
Waist circumference [cm]	97.2 ±0.4	98.7 ±0.3	99.5 ±0.4	< 0.001
apVAT*	180.2 ±3.4	180.7 ±2.5	179.8 ±2.6	0.283
Systolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	122.1 ±0.3	122.9 ±0.4	123.5 ±0.3	< 0.001
Diastolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	68.2 ±0.4	68.9 ±0.39	69.3 ±0.3	< 0.001
TG [mg/dl]	153.3 ±3.5	154.6 ±2.7	157.0 ±4.1	< 0.001
HDL-C [mg/dl]	53.6 ±0.4	53.1 ±0.4	52.6 ±0.3	< 0.001
TG to HDL-C ratio	3.52 ±0.1	3.59 ±0.09	3.85 ±0.1	< 0.001
FBG [mg/dl]	99.1 ±0.6	99.9 ±0.7	100.1 ±0.8	0.142
Insulin	13.4 ±0.2	13.5 ±0.2	14.2 ±0.3	< 0.001
HOMA-IR	0.75 ±0.03	0.91 ±0.03	1.09 ±0.02	< 0.001
ΗΟΜΑ-β	151.2 ±4.2	158.2 ±5.9	154.3 ±8.8	0.086
HbA _{1c} (%)	5.64 ±0.02	5.65 ±0.02	5.64 ±0.02	0.436
TG to FBG ratio	8.43 ±0.01	8.72 ±0.01	8.52 ±0.02	0.246
CRP [mg/dl]	0.35 ±0.01	0.38 ±0.01	0.38 ±0.01	0.125
Apolipoprotein B [mg/dl]	0.94 ±0.01	0.93 ±0.01	0.94 ±0.01	0.539
LAP	68.2 ±1.2	69.3 ±2.0	71.4 ±1.9	< 0.001
VAI	2.50 ±0.02	2.56 ±0.01	2.53 ±0.02	0.436

Adjusted (for age, gender, race, education, marital status, poverty to income ratio, total energy intake, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, dietary fat, carbohydrates, saturated fat, protein and dietary fiber) means were compared across potato consumption by using analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA). ¹Potato in the diet included baked, boiled, fried, hash-browned, home-fried, mashed, roasted, scalloped, stuffed, with sauce, potato salad, and potato chips. ²One serving consisted of 30–149 g and two servings consisted of at least 150 g. HOMA-IR – homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, HOMA- β – homeostatic model assessment of B-cell function, LAP – lipid accumulation product, VAI – visceral adiposity index, apVAT – anthropometrically predicted visceral adipose tissue, TG – triglyceride: FBG – fasting blood glucose, HDL-C – high density lipoprotein cholesterol, CRP – C-reactive protein, HDA, – glycated hemoglobin.

p = 0.542), as well as the Begg's rank correlation test (Kendall's τ with continuity correction = 1.00, z = 0.342, two-tailed p = 0.436). After adjustment of the effect size for potential publication bias using the 'trim and fill' correction, no potentially missing studies were imputed in the funnel plot (RR = 0.99, 0.90–1.08) (Figure 6). The 'fail-safe N' test showed that 102 studies would be needed to bring the obtained results down to a non-significant (p > 0.05) value.

Discussion

Based on both individual data and a meta-analysis of available prospective studies we evaluated the impact of potato consumption on total and cause-specific mortality. Furthermore, we investigated the changes in cardiometabolic risk factors across the tertiles of potato intake. We found that in minimally adjusted models there was a positive association of total, CVD, stroke and cancer mortality with potato consumption (i.e. higher intake was linked to higher risk) but this association disappeared after more adjustments (dietary factors, BMI, HTN and T2DM). The results of pooling studies showed a non-significant association between potato intake and risk of total, CVD and stroke mortality. However, participants with higher potato consumption had a much less favorable profile of cardiovascular and cardiometabolic risk factors; these associations were independent of demographic, lifestyle and dietary factors.

Our findings showing a non-significant link between total and cause-specific mortality and potato intake are in line with the few other available studies [12, 14, 34]. However, to the best of our knowledge, only one study, with 4,440 participants (aged between 45 and 79 years) followed up for 8 years, examined whether frequent potato consumption was associated with total mortality risk

Parameter		Potato consumption		<i>P</i> -value
	0 servings/day (n = 11369)	< 1 serving/day (n = 8856)	1–2 serving(s)/day (n = 4631)	_
Total mortality:				
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.23 (1.11–1.43)	1.42 (1.27–1.96)	< 0.001
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.22 (0.95–1.63)	1.20 (1.01–1.64)	0.421
Model 3	1 (Reference)	1.10 (0.98–1.42)	1.30 (0.98–1.70)	0.523
Cancer mortality:				
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.19 (1.04–1.42)	1.52 (1.23–1.51)	< 0.001
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.13 (1.02–1.28)	1.35 (1.06–1.69)	< 0.001
Model 3	1 (Reference)	0.99 (0.75–1.26)	1.09 (0.99–1.28)	0.235
Cardiovascular disease mortality:				
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.39 (1.25–1.62)	1.65 (1.53–1.95)	< 0.001
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.30 (1.02–1.92)	1.51 (1.19–1.91)	< 0.001
Model 3	1 (Reference)	1.02 (0.76–1.28)	1.14 (0.99–1.32)	0.418
Cerebrovascular disease mortality:				
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.36 (1.19–1.73)	1.26 (1.14–1.39)	< 0.001
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.01 (0.98–1.16)	1.09 (0.99–1.23)	0.476
Model 3	1 (Reference)	1.01 (0.69–1.43)	0.97 (0.78–1.20)	0.863

 Table III. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% CIs) for mortality across potato consumption

¹Potato in the diet included baked, boiled, fried, hash-browned, home-fried, mashed, roasted, scalloped, stuffed, with sauce, potato salad, and potato chips. ²One serving consisted of 30–149 g and two servings consisted of at least 150 g. Model 1 – Adjusted for age, gender, and race, education, marital status, poverty to income ratio, total energy intake, physical activity, smoking and alcohol consumption; Model 2 – Adjusted for age, gender, race, education, and marital status, poverty to income ratio, total energy intake, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, dietary fat, carbohydrates, saturated fat, protein and dietary fiber; Model 3 – Adjusted for age, gender, race, education, and marital status, poverty to income ratio, total energy intake, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, dietary fat, carbohydrates, saturated fat, protein, dietary fiber, body mass index, hypertension and diabetes.

[14]. They evaluated potato intake using a Block Brief 2000 food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and categorized it as ≤ 1 time/month, 2–3 times/ month, 1 time/week, 2 times/week, or \geq 3 times/ week. They found that potato intake was not associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality (HR = 1.11; 95% CI: 0.65-1.91) [14]. This might have happened because of using different co-variants, since in our fully adjusted model we failed to find any association between total and cause-specific mortally after adjusting for more variables. Similarly, only one study investigated the association of potato consumption with CVD and stroke mortality, by pooling the results of 2 prospective cohorts of Swedish adults (the Cohort of Swedish Men and the Swedish Mammography Cohort), involving 69,313 men and women, free of CVD and DM, followed up for 13 years [12]. They evaluated the potato consumption with FFQ as 2.4 times/ week, 2.4–7.9 times/week and > 7.9 times/week, and found no link between potato intake, CVD (HR = 0.99; 95% CI: 0.95-1.03) or stroke mortality (HR = 1.01; 95% CI: 0.97–1.05) [12]. Similarly, a study consisting of 2 large American cohort populations (i.e. 75,596 women aged 34 to 59 years in the Nurses' Health Study with 14 years of follow-up, and 38,683 men aged 40 to 75 years in the Health Professionals' Follow-up Study with 8 years of follow-up) reported no associations between potato intake and risk of stroke morbidity [34].

We hypothesize that the neutral impact of potato consumption on mortality risk might be due to the unique composition of potatoes. In this context, it is possible that the high content of fiber, vitamins, and micronutrients in white potatoes might have counterbalanced the detrimental effects of their high glycemic index [8]. In this context, we have recently reported on the beneficial effects of fiber, minerals and vitamins on glucose and insulin homeostasis [35]. However, larger prospective studies are required to further investigate this relationship.

Our findings indicated no link between potato consumption and cancer mortality. One case-con-

Author, year and reference	Country, region/cohort	Men (%)	Age	Follow-up time [years]	No. of cases	No. of subjects	Age Follow-up No. of No. of Parameter time cases subjects [years]	Outcome	Main confounders
Larsson, 2016 [12]	Sweden, Cohort of Swedish Men and the Swedish Mammography Cohort	52.0	45-83	45-83 13	4003	4003 69,313	Potato consumption	CVD* and stroke death	Age, education, family history of MI before 60 ye status and pack-years of smoking, aspirin use, bicycling, exercise, BMI, history of hypertension hypercholesterolemia, alcohol consumption, total er DASH diet score
Veronese, 2017 [14]	USA, Osteoarthritis 42.1 Initiative cohort	42.1	61.3	œ	236	4,400	Potato consumption	Total death	Age, gender, race/ethnicity, BMI, education, smo yearly income, Physical Activity Scale for Elderly s

energy intake and

years, smoking

e, walking or on. history of

Table IV. Characteristics of prospective cohort studies included in the analysis

Age, gender, race, education, and marital status, poverty to income score, Charlson adherence to a Mediterranean diet, and Center for Epidemiologic consumption, dietary fat, carbohydrates, saturated fat, protein, comorbidity index, daily energy intake, alcohol consumption, noking habits, ratio, total energy intake, physical activity, smoking, alcohol and diabetes Depression scale hypertension BMI, Studies dietary fiber, CVD, stroke and cancer death Total death, CVD – cardiovascular disease, MI – myocardial infarction, BMI – body mass index, DASH – Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension consumption Potato 24,856 3433 6.4 47.7 48.7 US National Health Examination Survey and Nutrition study, Mazidi, 2019

trol study found potato consumption to be protective against rectal cancer risk among women, while no associations were found for men [36]. In a cohort study, potato fiber was found to have a protective effect against colon cancer [37]. In contrast, other studies reported that individuals with high potato consumption tended to have higher risk of colon cancer [38], increased risk of gastric cancer among women but not among men [39] or risk of rectal cancer among Whites but not among African-Americans [40]. Regarding the insignificant impact of potato intake on the risk of cancer, some short-term studies have even suggested that potatoes contain antitumor agents [15]. The potential health benefits of potato consumption have been related to fiber, niacin, folate, vitamin C, and minerals (e.g. potassium, magnesium and iron) contained in potatoes [8]. Fiber is known to have a protective effect against cancer, but the association between the other nutrients in potatoes and cancer are either inconsistent or incomplete [41]. In recent years, there has been a more critical focus on potato consumption, as potatoes have a high glycemic index and glycemic load [42, 43]. On the other hand, several studies have found high dietary glycemic index and glycemic load to be associated with an increased risk of several cancers [44]. However, it is possible that the beneficial compounds of the potato mentioned above may compensate for the detrimental effect of their high glycemic index and glycemic load [45].

We need to bear in mind that the preparation method is also relevant for the association between potato consumption and risk of mortality. Boiling is assumed to be the healthiest preparation method. Roasted and fried potatoes are carriers of salt and fats, and acrylamide formation may be an additional problem in potatoes cooked at temperatures above 120°C [46]. However, we did not have clear information on the way of preparation in this analysis, and which potatoes dominated for given subjects. This is a limitation of our study. Prospective studies focusing on this matter are needed.

We also examined the link between cardiometabolic and CV risk factors and potato consumption. Participants with higher potato consumption had worse lipid profile, BP, glucose/insulin homeostasis markers and anthropometric variables, while we found no link between CRP, an independent predictor of CVD, and potato intake. The association of potato intake with hypertension is a critical public health problem in the US, mainly because potatoes have recently been included in the government sponsored food programs [11]. Their inclusion was justified by the potential CVD benefits attributed to their high potassium con-

Mohsen Mazidi, Niki Katsiki, Dimitri P. Mikhailidis, Daniel Pella, Maciej Banach on behalf of the Lipid and Blood Pressure Meta-Analysis Collaboration (LBPMC) Group



Figure 2. Forest plot of potato consumption and risk of total mortality



Figure 3. Forest plot of potato consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease mortality



Figure 4. Forest plot of potato consumption and risk of stroke mortality



Figure 5. Funnel plots for studies on the association between potato consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease mortality. Open circles represent observed published studies; open diamond represents observed effect size



Figure 6. Trim and fill method was used to impute for potentially missing studies (potato consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease mortality); no potentially missing study was imputed in funnel plot. Open circles represent observed published studies; open diamond represents observed effect size; closed diamond represents imputed effect size

tent, leading to improvements in blood pressure [11]. Briefly, the Institute of Medicine and the US Department of Agriculture recommended white potatoes to be allowed as part of the fruit and vegetable cash voucher Women, Infants & Children (WIC) program, with most states having already implemented this regulation. The Institute of Medicine's report emphasized the high potassium content of potatoes, which is a desired feature of foods for the WIC population [11]; a higher potassium intake has been associated with a lower BP. In a meta-analysis of 22 randomized controlled trials increased potassium intake (as a supplement) produced a 3.5 mm Hg reduction in SBP and 2.0 mm Hg reduction in DBP in hypertensive patients [47]. However, in line with another study, which was carried out in US adults [11], the authors found that a higher potato intake was associated with increased, rather than decreased, SBP and DBP. In a prospective longitudinal cohort study which involved altogether almost 200,000 participants from the Nurses' Health Study I and II, and from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, who were non-hypertensive at baseline, higher potato consumption was independently and prospectively associated with increased risk of developing hypertension [11].

Evidence of potato intake in relation to glucose/ insulin control and management is sparse [48]. In 3 cross-sectional and case-control studies, potato intake was positively associated with worse glucose/insulin control, higher insulin resistance and prevalent type 2 DM [16-21]. In a Finnish cohort comprising 4,303 men and women, participants who consumed 283 g/day of total potatoes had 42% higher use of antidiabetic drugs than those consuming 132 g/day of potatoes [19]. A study that included 3 North American cohorts also reported that greater potato consumption was associated with a 33% higher risk of T2DM, independently of several potential confounders [10]. In contrast, in the Women's Health Study, which comprised 39,876 female health professionals, total potato consumption was not associated with DM risk after multivariable adjustment [20]. The results are still inconsistent; however, in most of the available studies, especially in those where the final results were adjusted for multiple variables that might have also affected T2DM risk, the authors found no association between potato intake and T2DM [49-54].

We found that participants with higher potato consumption had a greater WC, which is in accordance with some other studies. For example, Mozaffarian *et al.*, who conducted a large, prospective pooled cohort study, found that increased consumption of 1 serving/day of all types of potatoes combined was associated with a mean body weight gain of 0.58 kg over a period of 4 years [53]. In a similar cohort study, an increase of 0.1 cm in WC over 5 years was observed in women for each increase in potato intake of 60 kcal/day (not including intake of French fries) [54]. In contrast, Halkjaer *et al.* looked at WC and found no association between potato intake and WC [55].

Our analysis has some strength and obvious limitations, mainly due to the observational character of the study. Because of the prospective design, the misclassification of exposure, which is unavoidable in dietary assessments, is most likely non-differential. It would be better to analyze the risk of mortality and potato intake with regard to different ways of cooking the potatoes. Although we included major possible confounders of lifestyle and dietary factors in the multivariable analysis, residual or unmeasured confounding may still exist. Furthermore, since this is an observational study, we cannot establish causality between potato consumption and the outcomes. In clinical trials, maintaining high adherence to a dietary intervention for a long time is typically difficult, in part because of dietary changes contradicting participants' long-term dietary preferences [56-58]. Hence, poor adherence may dilute the true effect of an intervention.

As the data collection was performed on all days of the week throughout the year in NHANES, the potential for day-specific information bias is very low [59]. We observed a very low level of heterogeneity, indicating the validity of our results. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis (although it was based only on 3 cohort studies) on the long-term impact of potato consumption on total and cause-specific mortality.

In conclusion, our results suggest no effect of potato intake on total or cause-specific mortality, although potato consumption was associated with a detrimental impact on cardiometabolic factors. These results are important for policy makers, contributing to increased public awareness about the role of the diet on health and the controversy regarding the correct place of potatoes in the food pyramid.

Acknowledgments

The material presented in this manuscript is original and has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.

Conflict of interest

NK has given talks, attended conferences and participated in trials sponsored by Amgen, Angelini, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, MSD, Novartis, NovoNordisk, Sanofi and WinMedica. DPM

has given talks and attended conferences sponsored by MSD, AstraZeneca and Libytec. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. GBD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018; 392: 1736-88.
- 2. GBD 2016 Lifetime Risk of Stroke Collaborators, Feigin VL, Nguyen G, Cercy K, et al. Global, Regional, and Country-Specific Lifetime Risks of Stroke, 1990 and 2016. N Engl J Med 2018; 379: 2429-37.
- 3. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2017; 135: e146-603.
- 4. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018; 392: 1923-94.
- 5. Mazidi M, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Sattar N, Banach M. Lower carbohydrate diets and all-cause and cause-specific mortality: a population-based cohort study and pooling of prospective studies. Eur Heart J 2019; 40: 2870-9.
- Banach M, Mikhailidis DP, Mazidi M. Low-carbohydrate diet: forget restriction, replace with balance! Eur Heart J 2020; doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz927.
- 7. McGill CR, Kurilich AC, Davignon J. The role of potatoes and potato components in cardiometabolic health: a review. Ann Med 2013; 45: 467-73.
- 8. Anderson GH, Soeandy CD, Smith CE. White vegetables: glycemia and satiety. Adv Nutrition 2013; 4: 356s-67s.
- Mazidi M, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M; International Lipid Expert Panel (ILEP). Effect of dietary insulinemia on all-cause and cause-specific mortality: results from a cohort study. J Am Coll Nutr 2019 Nov 25:1-7. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2019.1646167.
- 10. Muraki I, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Manson JE, Hu FB, Sun Q. Potato consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: results from three prospective cohort studies. Diabetes Care 2016; 39: 376-84.
- 11. Borgi L, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Forman JP. Potato intake and incidence of hypertension: results from three prospective US cohort studies. BMJ 2016; 353: i2351.
- Larsson SC, Wolk A. Potato consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease: 2 prospective cohort studies. Am J Clin Nutr 2016; 104: 1245-52.
- Borch D, Juul-Hindsgaul N, Veller M, Astrup A, Jaskolowski J, Raben A. Potatoes and risk of obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy adults: a systematic review of clinical intervention and observational studies. Am J Clin Nutr 2016; 104: 489-98.
- 14. Veronese N, Stubbs B, Noale M, et al. Fried potato consumption is associated with elevated mortality: an 8-y longitudinal cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr 2017; 106: 162-7.
- 15. Camire ME, Kubow S, Donnelly DJ. Potatoes and human health. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 2009; 49: 823-40.
- Ylonen SK, Virtanen SM, Groop L. The intake of potatoes and glucose metabolism in subjects at high risk for type 2 diabetes. Diabetic Med 2007; 24: 1049-50.

- 17. Khosravi-Boroujeni H, Saadatnia M, Shakeri F, Keshteli AH, Esmaillzadeh A. A case-control study on potato consumption and risk of stroke in central Iran. Arch Iran Med 2013; 16: 172-6.
- Khosravi-Boroujeni H, Mohammadifard N, Sarrafzadegan N, et al. Potato consumption and cardiovascular disease risk factors among Iranian population. Int J Food Sci Nutr 2012; 63: 913-20.
- Montonen J, Jarvinen R, Heliovaara M, Reunanen A, Aromaa A, Knekt P. Food consumption and the incidence of type II diabetes mellitus. Eur J Clin Nutr 2005; 59: 441-8.
- 20. Liu S, Serdula M, Janket SJ, et al. A prospective study of fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of type 2 diabetes in women. Diabetes Care 2004; 27: 2993-6.
- 21. Halton TL, Willett WC, Liu S, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Potato and french fry consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. Am J Clin Nutr 2006; 83: 284-90.
- 22. Mazidi M, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, Hebert JR, Vatanparast H, Kengne AP. The association between dietary inflammatory properties and bone mineral density and risk of fracture in US adults. Eur J Clin Nutr 2017; 71: 1273-7.
- Mazidi M, Gao HK, Kengne AP. Food patterns are associated with likelihood of CKD in US adults. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 10696.
- 24. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_ 11_12/2011-12_laboratory_procedures_manual.pdf (last assessed 27th February 2019).
- 25. Mazidi M, Kengne AP, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M. Inverse association between serum antioxidant levels and inflammatory markers is moderated by adiposity: a report based on a large representative population sample of American adults. Br J Nutr 2018; 120: 1272-8.
- 26. Mazidi M, Kengne AP, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M. Lipid accumulation product and triglycerides/ glucose index are useful predictors of insulin resistance. J Diabetes Compl 2018; 32: 266-70.
- 27. NHANES Statistics. Analytic And Reporting Guidelines http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/ nhanes 03 04/ nhanes analytic guidelines dec 2005.pdf.
- 28. Mazidi M, Wong ND, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M. Dietary patterns, plasma vitamins and Trans fatty acids are associated with peripheral artery disease. Lipids Health Disease 2017; 16: 254.
- 29. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 2000; 283: 2008-12.
- 30. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 2010; 25: 603-5.
- 31. Mazidi M, Kengne AP, Banach M. Effects of coenzyme Q10 supplementation on plasma C-reactive protein concentrations: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Pharmacol Res 2018; 128: 130-6.
- 32. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plotbased method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics 2000; 56: 455-63.
- Borenstein M, Hedges L, Higgins J, Rothstein H. Comprehensive Metaanalysis (Vers. 2). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Biostat. Inc; 2005.
- 34. Joshipura KJ, Ascherio A, Manson JE, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake in relation to risk of ischemic stroke. JAMA 1999; 282: 1233-9.
- 35. Mazidi M, Kengne AP, Mikhailidis DP, Toth PP, Ray KK, Banach M. Dietary food patterns and glucose/insulin

homeostasis: a cross-sectional study involving 24,182 adult Americans. Lipids Health Dis 2017; 16: 192.

- 36. Deneo-Pellegrini H, Boffetta P, De Stefani E, Ronco A, Brennan P, Mendilaharsu M. Plant foods and differences between colon and rectal cancers. Eur J Cancer Prev 2002; 11: 369-75.
- 37. Hansen L, Skeie G, Landberg R, et al. Intake of dietary fiber, especially from cereal foods, is associated with lower incidence of colon cancer in the HELGA cohort. Int J Cancer 2012; 131: 469-78.
- Steinmetz KA, Potter JD. Food-group consumption and colon cancer in the Adelaide Case-Control Study. I. Vegetables and fruit. Int J Cancer 1993; 53: 711-9.
- De Stefani E, Correa P, Boffetta P, Deneo-Pellegrini H, Ronco AL, Mendilaharsu M. Dietary patterns and risk of gastric cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay. Gastric Cancer 2004; 7: 211-20.
- 40. Williams CD, Satia JA, Adair LS, et al. Dietary patterns, food groups, and rectal cancer risk in Whites and African-Americans. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009; 18: 1552-61.
- 41. Asli LA, Olsen A, Braaten T, Lund E, Skeie G. Potato consumption and risk of colorectal cancer in the Norwegian women and cancer cohort. Nutr Cancer 2017; 69: 564-72.
- 42. Ludwig DS. The glycemic index: physiological mechanisms relating to obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. JAMA 2002; 287: 2414-23.
- 43. van Bakel MM, Kaaks R, Feskens EJ, et al. Dietary glycaemic index and glycaemic load in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Eur J Clin Nutr 2009; 63 Suppl 4: S188-205.
- 44. Sieri S, Krogh V, Agnoli C, et al. Dietary glycemic index and glycemic load and risk of colorectal cancer: results from the EPIC-Italy study. Int J Cancer 2015; 136: 2923-31.
- 45. Mazidi M, Kengne AP, Banach M. Mineral and vitamin consumption and telomere length among adults in the United States. Pol Arch Intern Med 2017; 127: 87-90.
- 46. Mottram DS, Wedzicha BL, Dodson AT. Acrylamide is formed in the Maillard reaction. Nature 2002; 419: 448-9.
- 47. Aburto NJ, Hanson S, Gutierrez H, Hooper L, Elliott P, Cappuccio FP. Effect of increased potassium intake on cardiovascular risk factors and disease: systematic review and meta-analyses. BMJ 2013; 346: f1378.
- Mazidi M, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, et al. Dietary inflammatory index and cardiometabolic risk in US adults. Atherosclerosis 2018; 276: 23-7.
- 49. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Rimm E, et al. Dietary fat and coronary heart disease: a comparison of approaches for adjusting for total energy intake and modeling repeated dietary measurements. Am J Epidemiol 1999; 149: 531-40.
- 50. Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, et al. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. J Nutr 2012; 142: 1009-18.
- Bernstein AM, Sun Q, Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Willett WC. Major dietary protein sources and risk of coronary heart disease in women. Circulation 2010; 122: 876-83.
- 52. Hu FB, Leitzmann MF, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rimm EB. Physical activity and television watching in relation to risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in men. Arch Intern Med 2001; 161: 1542-8.
- 53. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. N Engl J Med 2011; 364: 2392-404.
- 54. Halkjaer J, Tjonneland A, Overvad K, Sorensen TI. Dietary predictors of 5-year changes in waist circumference. J Am Dietetic Assoc 2009; 109: 1356-66.

- 55. Halkjaer J, Sorensen TI, Tjonneland A, Togo P, Holst C, Heitmann BL. Food and drinking patterns as predictors of 6-year BMI-adjusted changes in waist circumference. Br J Nutr 2004; 92: 735-48.
- Mazidi M, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M. Ideal cardiovascular health associated with fatty liver: results from a multi-ethnic survey. Atherosclerosis 2019; 284: 129-35.
- 57. Mazidi M, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M. Adiposity may moderate the link between choline intake and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Am Coll Nutr 2019; 38: 633-9.
- 58. Mazidi M, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Bartłomiejczyk MA, Banach M. Association of empirical dietary atherogenic indices with all-cause and cause-specific mortality in a multi-ethnic adult population of the United States. Nutrients 2019; 11(10). pii: E2323. doi: 10.3390/ nu11102323.
- 59. Guenther PM, Ding EL, Rimm EB. Alcoholic beverage consumption by adults compared to dietary guidelines: results of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2009-2010. J Acad Nutr Diet 2013; 113: 546-50.