Systemic inflammatory markers and serum lactate dehydrogenase predict survival in patients with Wilms tumour
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Abstract
Introduction
The markers of inflammation such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) have been found associated with survival in cancer patients. The aim of the current study was to establish the prognostic significance of simple laboratory markers of systemic inflammation in paediatric patients diagnosed with Wilms tumour. Additionally, we aimed to compare the CBC parameters of WT patients and the non-oncological control group.

Material and methods
The study group included 83 children diagnosed with WT. Clinicopathological data, as well as complete blood count (CBC), C-reactive protein (CRP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels at diagnosis, were obtained. Additionally, the laboratory results of healthy 63 control paediatric patients were collected. Uni- and multivariate proportional Cox's hazard analyses were computed to create a model predicting relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) in the study group.

Results
High CRP, LDH, and NLR were associated with a higher stage of WT and shorter RFS; whereas all parameters correlated with OS. In multivariate analysis, only LDH levels had adverse significance in predicting RFS. C-reactive protein and LMR retained their prognostic value in the multivariate model predicting OS. Comparing the WT group with controls, high LDH, high CRP, high NLR, and high PLR were associated with WT presence.

Conclusions
Preoperative LDH, CRP, NLR, PLR, and LMR have significant prognostic value in patients with WT independently of age and stage. Combined low CRP and high LMR identified the group of patients with excellent OS. Patients with high LDH were characterized by the highest risk of relapse.
Introduction

Nephroblastoma, also called Wilms tumour (WT), is the most common paediatric renal tumour. The highest incidence of WT occurs in children younger than five years of age. Occasionally, older children or adults are also affected. The management of WT has changed substantially over the last 50 years resulting in high cure rates, reaching 90% in localized tumours [1]. However, the treatment outcomes in children with WT stage III and IV and/or unfavourable tumour histology remain unsatisfactory [2].

Current therapeutic options in WT include chemotherapy (CHT), surgery and radiotherapy. Such a multimodal treatment of WT, although highly efficacious, is associated with significant late effects, including chronic renal insufficiency, secondary tumours, cardiac toxicity, impaired growth, infertility, and end-stage renal failure, particularly in bilateral WT [3,4].

To reduce the risk of late complications, the intensity of therapy should be precisely adjusted to the risk factors present in particular patients [5]. However, the treatment strategy in WT according to the International Society of Paediatric Oncology (SIOP) assumes starting neoadjuvant CHT, based on characteristic clinical and radiological features, without performing tumour biopsy. Histology of WT (favourable or unfavourable) and the disease stage become known after the tumour resection during nephrectomy. Therefore, the risk stratification in children with WT is possible only after pre-operative CHT and surgery.

It would be of great clinical importance to be able to assess the risk of relapse and poor outcome earlier, preferably at diagnosis of WT. However, no reliable biochemical markers of WT have been determined to date. Thus, search for new easy to perform biochemical prognostic markers that might play a role in the management of patients with nephroblastoma is necessary.

In recent years, there is an increasing interest in complete blood count (CBC) parameters used as prognostic factors in various malignancies. The data suggest that inflammation plays a crucial role...
in tumour formation [6]. A tumour-associated inflammatory response may promote invasion, angiogenesis and distant metastases [7]. Elevated inflammatory markers have been found to play roles of adverse prognostic factors in various malignancies, including colorectal, rectal, gastric, prostate and breast cancer [8–13]. A CBC is an inexpensive and readily available test in daily practice that can indirectly reflect the degree of inflammation. Since the values of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) have emerged as promising tools to predict outcomes in common malignancies of adulthood, including breast, lung and colorectal cancers [14–16]. Recently it has been proven that a similar association exists in a paediatric population. Prognostic value of NLR has been demonstrated in children with neuroblastoma and other paediatric solid tumours [17], malignancies of salivary glands [18], soft tissue sarcomas [19], and brain tumours [20].

To our knowledge, no study to date has analysed the particular association between CBC ratios and prognosis in patients with WT.

The current study aims to establish the correlation between simple laboratory parameters of inflammation, derived from CBC, and the relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) in a cohort of patients with WT treated in three Polish centres of paediatric oncology within the last 20 years. Our aim is also to determine whether CBC-derived markers might help to stratify the patients with WT to the risk groups before systemic treatment starts. The secondary aim is to find out if the CBC parameters may distinguish patients with WT from the non-oncological patients.

**Materials and methods**

The study group consisted of patients diagnosed with WT between 2002 and 2019 at the Department of Paediatrics, Haematology, and Oncology in Gdansk, Department of Paediatric Oncology and Haematology in Bialystok, and Department of Paediatrics, Oncology, and Haematology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland. In all cases, the histopathologic diagnoses of
WT were verified at two independent reference centres, and the patients were treated according to the same treatment protocol. Age at the presentation, gender, CBC at the time of diagnosis, value of C-reactive protein (CRP), serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) level, WT stage, date of relapse and death, were retrieved from each patient’s medical record. Patients with bone marrow involvement, concomitant haematological diseases, active infection, haemolysis of blood samples and incomplete laboratory or follow up data (n=71) were excluded from the study.

Additionally, the CBC results of 63 control paediatric patients without malignancy were collected. These patients had been initially suspected of malignancy, which was ruled out in the further diagnostics performed at the Department of Paediatrics, Haematology, and Oncology in Gdansk. The control group included children diagnosed with: testicular microlithiasis (n=24), benign bone or joint lesions (n=9), benign adrenal lesions (n=5), non-malignant cutaneous or soft tissue lesions (n=4), CNS abnormalities (n=3), neurofibromatosis type 1 (n=2), ovarian abnormalities (n=2), and other (n=14). Patients with any haematological diseases, ongoing infection or any inflammatory conditions were excluded from the control group.

Taking into consideration the physiological changes in CBC in children during ageing, the norms for each analysed parameter were assessed accordingly to the patient age using the National Health Service Children’s Reference Ranges for Routine Haematology Tests. Then, an absolute neutrophil’s count was divided by the upper cut-off value of the norm (proper to the age) resulting in obtaining a ratio of neutrophils compared to the upper norm. The same procedure was performed concerning monocytes and platelets. The lymphocyte counts were compared to a lower cut-off value of the norm because lymphopenia is a risk factor for shorter RFS and OS in cancer patients [21]. Subsequently, the obtained parameters were used to calculate LMR, NLR, and PLR compared-to-norm values. If not otherwise specified, in further text, LMR, NLR, PLR parameters are age-adjusted.
Statistical analysis was performed with Statistica 13 (Dell, USA) licensed to the Medical University of Gdansk. Receiver operating curves (ROC) were created for NLR, LMR, PLR, LDH, and CRP. Youden’s index was employed to estimate the optimal cut-off values for a relationship with relapse and death separately. Another ROC was constructed to find the cut-off values discriminating patients with WT and controls. Uni- and multivariate proportional Cox’s hazard analyses were computed to create a model predicting RFS and OS in the study group. The variables with p<0.1 in univariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis. The Kaplan-Meier curves with the log-rank test were plotted to estimate the OS and RFS. Overall survival was defined as the time between the diagnosis and death, and RFS was defined as the period between the diagnosis and the first recurrence. The correlations between continuous variables were tested with Spearman’s correlation, whereas the associations between categorical variables were analysed with Chi-square or Fisher’s test when applicable. Univariate logistic regression was utilized to examine the predictive value of laboratory counts on the presence of WT. P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Eighty-eight patients were finally enrolled in the study, including 43 females and 45 males. At the time of diagnosis, the mean age was 4.00 years, with a median of 3.45 years, and age range between 0 and 15.51 years. The mean follow-up was 5.75 years with a median of 4.96 years and range between 0.33 and 15.4 years. There were 41, 18, 15, 8, 6 patients with stage I, II, III, IV and V of WT, respectively. In the entire study, there were 5 disease-related deaths and 12 cases of relapse of WT.

The values of LMR and PLR compared to norm correlated significantly with age (Spearman’s Rho coefficient: -0.31 and 0.11, respectively), but this effect was smaller than for non-normalized LMR and PLR (Spearman’s Rho coefficient: -0.47 and 0.48, respectively). Age-adjusted NLR was not
associated with age (Spearman’s Rho coefficient: 0.12, p=0.244), on the contrary to not adjusted NLR (Spearman’s Rho coefficient: 0.38, p=0.0002) (Figure 1A/1B). Additionally, high CRP, LDH, and NLR were associated with higher stage (p=0.001, p=0.033, p=0.018, respectively; Chi-square test).

ROCs for every variable (LDH, CRP, NLR, LMR, and PLR) are shown in Figure 2A/2B, separately for relapse and death respectively, and area under curve (AUC) values are shown in Table 1. AUC for LDH was significantly higher than for PLR in case of relapse (p=0.015). The 5-year RFS and 5-year OS calculated for each parameter (high- vs low) basing on Kaplan-Meier curves (Figure 3 and Figure 4) are presented in Table 2. High LDH, CRP, and NLR were significantly associated with RFS, whereas all parameters correlated with OS.

In the next step, Cox logistic regression analysis was employed to create the multivariate model to predict survival in WT patients. Only LDH serum levels had independent adverse significance after multivariate analysis in predicting RFS (Table 3), and the patients with high LDH exhibited the highest relapse rate. On the other hand, CRP and LMR retained their prognostic value in the model predicting OS (Table 4). In the group of patients displaying both low CRP and high LMR there were 100% 5-year OS (p=0.00134, log-rank).

The control group consisted of 42 males and 20 females. The mean age at admission was 7.29 years (median age 6.35 years; range 0 - 17.7 years). The screening for malignancy was negative in all patients. Thus, our next aim was to establish, if systemic inflammatory markers may discriminate WT patients from non-oncological patients from the control group.

For this purpose, other ROCs were plotted (Figure 1C), and AUCs were calculated (Table 1). The AUC of LDH was significantly higher than of all other variables (p<0.05). The results of univariate logistic regression analysis showed that high LDH (OR: 36.34, 95% CI:13.85-95.37; p<0.001), high CRP (OR: 8.575, 95% CI: 3.83-19.22, p<0.001), high NLR (OR: 8.29, 95% CI: 2.75-24.95), and high PLR (OR: 2.96, 95% CI: 1.50-5.82) were associated with WT presence. Only LMR did not demonstrate any value to differentiate between WT patients and controls.
Measuring CBC parameters, such as neutrophils, lymphocytes and platelets and determining the respective ratios of selected CBS parameters might help prognostication of some neoplastic and non-neoplastic diseases. One recent study demonstrated that NLR aids predicting complications in children with acute appendicitis [22]. In most studies published to date, cancer patients exhibiting high NLR, high PLR and low LMR show worse outcomes. Elevated levels of neutrophils, platelets, and monocytes compared to lymphocytes might be a consequence of the systemic inflammatory reaction in response to the presence of malignancy. The latter may support cancer progression, finally contributing to the worse survival of cancer patients.

Neutrophils are well known cells involved in systemic inflammation. They produce cytokines and angiogenic factors that promote tumour progression, whereas lymphocytes contribute mainly to the process of elimination of cancer cells [23]. Thus, a disproportion in these blood components might have an impact on tumour growth and progression [24].

The data regarding the role of systemic inflammatory response in WT patients remain very sparse. Recently, the local tumour microenvironment has gained more attention, and various populations of immune cells, including tumour-associated macrophages, tumour-associated neutrophils, and tumour-associated lymphocytes have been described in WTs [25]. Interestingly, the up-regulation of cyclooxygenase 2 pathway enriches tumour with immunosuppressive inflammatory cells, suggesting that tumour-promoting inflammation may play a role in a progression of WT [26].

Some paediatric malignancies have well established prognostic association with NLR. Nayak et al. demonstrated an independent relationship between high NLR and shorter OS in neuroblastoma [17]. Similar findings were observed in paediatric soft tissue sarcomas, and salivary malignancies [18,19]. The limitation of these studies is the variety of histopathological entities enrolled in the single analysis. Other authors demonstrated that DNA methylation-derived NLR may serve as an
adverse prognostic factor in medulloblastoma [27]. However, in these studies, the authors did not take into consideration the influence of age on the values of NLR, PLR and LMR. This might have interfered with the outcomes since, during childhood, CBC norms differ in different age groups. The major change occurs between neutrophils and lymphocytes. Infants up to 4th day of life have a predomination of neutrophils over the lymphocytes. Afterward, the ratio changes with lymphocytes being the major component of the blood leukocytes. Then, around the age of 4 years, neutrophils slowly regain their dominance in the CBC count. Our study demonstrates the prognostic value of age-adjusted ratios of analysed parameters derived from CBC in children with WT. These results support the necessity to perform further studies within children with other malignancies using the similar age-adjusted approach.

The prognostic and predictive value of CBC-derived variables in kidney malignancies was well studied in adult renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Park et al. reported that a lower post-treatment NLR and bigger reduction of NLR after sunitinib treatment were significantly associated with better response in patients with metastatic RCC [28]. Moreover, Chang et al. analysed the association between preoperative NLR, the postoperative change in NLR and survival in patients who underwent radiofrequency ablation for localized RCC. The study showed that NLR ≥ 2.79 and a change of NLR ≥ 0.4 in postoperative period were associated with poor disease-free survival [29].

Wilms tumour tissues, especially the stromal component, display diminished mitochondrial metabolism with a shift to anaerobic glycolysis and lactate production [30]. Lactate dehydrogenase is a marker of tumour hypoxia and tends to positively correlate with the systemic inflammatory response in solid malignancies in adults [31]. In children with Hodgkin’s lymphoma, serum levels of LDH correlated positively with the serum levels of soluble interleukin-2 receptor and reflected the disease stage [32]. High levels of LDH at diagnosis in children with soft tissue sarcomas and Hodgkin’s lymphoma were found to decrease in those, who responded well to CHT [32,33]. Moreover, elevated LDH is a well-known poor prognostic factor in neuroblastoma [34], Ewing
sarcoma [35] and germ cell tumours of childhood [36,37]. High LDH was reported in WT in both paediatric and adult cases, but its prognostic significance has not yet been established [38].

The usefulness of systemic inflammatory markers in discriminating cancer patients from non-oncological cases has been investigated in several malignancies, including e.g. cervical cancer, and germ cell tumours [39,40]. Yalon et al. showed elevated NLR levels in paediatric patients with CNS tumours when compared to the elective control group [20]. Hereby, we report for the first time that patients with WT are characterized by higher levels of hypoxic and systemic inflammatory markers. These measurements performed at diagnosis may serve as ancillary factors in ambiguous cases.

Limitations of the study

Our study has several limitations. First of all, we present retrospectively collected data, which include a relatively small cohort with a low number of events. In addition, we lack data on the histology of the tumour (i.e. presence of anaplasia) which is a well-established prognostic factor in WT. The control group without malignancy was not composed of patients admitted due to WT suspicion, thus the results of this part of the study should be analysed with caution.

Conclusions

Inexpensive and easily accessible preoperative LDH, CRP, NLR, PLR, and LMR have significant prognostic value in patients with WT independently of age and stage. It supports the importance of systemic inflammation in paediatric solid tumours, including WT. In our cohort, combined low CRP and high LMR identified the group of patients with excellent prognosis in terms of OS. On the other hand, patients with high LDH were characterized by the highest risk of relapse. Moreover, patients
with WT displayed higher levels of systemic inflammatory markers than children without any malignancy.

**Figures’ legends:**

Figure 1. Correlation of NLR (A) and NLR compared to norm (B) with age in days. The Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated to measure the strength of association.

Figure 2. Receiver operating curves for each parameter plotted for relapse (A), death (B) and differentiation between WT and controls.

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curves for relapse-free survival plotted for patients with low or high level of the selected biomarkers.

Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival plotted for patients with low or high level of the selected biomarkers.

**Tables’ legends:**

Table 1. Areas under curves for investigated markers. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio

Table 2. Comparison of survival between groups with low and high expression of investigated markers. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio

Table 3. Uni- and multivariate Cox regression analysis for relapse-free survival in patients with Wilms tumour. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR:
neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio

Table 4. Uni- and multivariate Cox regression analysis for overall survival in patients with Wilms tumour. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AUC (death)</th>
<th>Cut-off (death)</th>
<th>AUC (relapse)</th>
<th>Cut-off (relapse)</th>
<th>AUC (vs controls)</th>
<th>Cut-off (vs controls)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDH [U/l]</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP [mg/dl]</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>25.02</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMR</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.611</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3.293</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Areas under curves for investigated markers. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>5 year RFS [%]</th>
<th></th>
<th>5 year OS [%]</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>95.23</td>
<td>69.75</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>98.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>75.36</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>98.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>94.42</td>
<td>76.69</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>68.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>84.72</td>
<td>87.50</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>96.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMR</td>
<td>82.22</td>
<td>89.08</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>77.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of survival between groups with low and high expression of investigated markers. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;4 y vs &gt;4 y)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.28-3.03)</td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (4-5 vs 1-3)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.37-4.32)</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (H vs L)</td>
<td>7.75 (1.67-35.87)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (H vs L)</td>
<td>3.73 (0.99-14.08)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR (H vs L)</td>
<td>4.25 (0.91-19.71)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR (H vs L)</td>
<td>1.57 (0.20-12.30)</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMR (L vs H)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.43-6.14)</td>
<td>0.472</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Uni- and multivariate Cox regression analysis for relapse-free survival in patients with Wilms tumour. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;4 y vs &gt;4 y)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.20-7.07)</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (4-5 vs 1-3)</td>
<td>9.38 (1.05-83.98)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (H vs L)</td>
<td>12.72 (1.42-113.81)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (H vs L)</td>
<td>19.29 (2.15-172.78)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>13.40 (1.46-123.36)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR (H vs L)</td>
<td>13.11 (2.17-79.08)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR (H vs L)</td>
<td>23.60 (3.92-142.07)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMR (L vs H)</td>
<td>14.41 (1.61-129.29)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>20.02 (2.12-189.05)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Uni- and multivariate Cox regression analysis for overall survival in patients with Wilms tumour. Abbreviations: LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, CRP: C-reactive protein; NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR: lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio
Correlation of NLR (A) and NLR compared to norm (B) with age in days. The Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated to measure the strength of association.
Receiver operating curves for each parameter plotted for relapse (A), death (B) and differentiation between WT and controls (C).
Kaplan-Meier curves for relapse plotted for patients with low or high levels of the selected biomarkers.
Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival plotted for patients with low or high levels of the selected biomarkers.