Newborn infant parasympathetic evaluation for the assessment of analgosedation adequacy in infants treated by mechanical ventilation – a multicenter pilot study
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Abstract

Introduction: Adequate analgosedation is important in infants treated in pediatric/neonatal intensive care units (P/NICUs), because both too deep and insufficient analgosedation is disadvantageous. To assess the severity of pain, several behavioral and behavioral-physiological scales are used, but their usefulness is limited. It is therefore justified to search for additional methods to assess the adequacy of analgosedation in these patients. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the usefulness of Newborn Infant Parasympathetic Evaluation (NIPE) in the assessment of analgosedation quality in infants requiring mechanical ventilation, who are treated in P/NICUs.

Material and methods: We performed simultaneously 180 COMFORT-B assessments and heart rate variability measurements using a NIPE monitor in 30 mechanically ventilated infants receiving analgosedation. A generalized linear mixed model with the logit link function was used in order to perform logistic regression analysis to assess the relationship between NIPEi/NIPEm and deep sedation.

Results: The multivariable logistic regression model showed that NIPEi and NIPEm values were higher when analgosedation was deep as compared to when it was moderate or insufficient (OR (95% CI): NIPEm – 1.065 (1.007–1.126), \( p = 0.03 \); NIPEi – 1.068 (1.016–1.123), \( p = 0.01 \)).

Conclusions: The NIPE indexes are significantly higher in patients whose assessment on the behavioral scale indicates deep analgosedation as compared to those in whom it indicates moderate or insufficient analgosedation. Allowing continuous monitoring, the NIPE device may be a valuable assisting tool in the assessment of analgosedation quality in mechanically ventilated newborns and infants.
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Introduction

Pain relief is one of the basic elements in the treatment of newborns and children in the intensive care unit. Inadequate pain management is a cause of suffering. It may also cause complications and, moreover, in neonates and infants can produce long-term consequences, especially regarding the anatomical and functional development of the brain [1–10]. On the other hand, overuse of painkillers can also cause side effects [11–13]. The selection of appropriate hypnotics and painkillers as well as their dosage must be individually tailored and should take into account the type of disease and the type of medical procedures applied. In addition, the need for these drugs may vary from patient to patient [14–18]. This requires an appropriate assessment of the severity of pain, which should be perceived, monitored and documented as the “fifth vital sign”. The literature shows that many newborns and infants treated in intensive care units experience pain [14–19]. In these patients, as in intubated and mechanically ventilated adults, the assessment of pain intensity is difficult due to the lack of verbal contact, and pain monitoring is performed using behavioral and behavioral-physiological scales based on the assessment of various behavioral elements and basic vital signs. These scales serve as the only validated method of pain assessment in newborns and infants so far, but they have many limitations [19, 21–23]. Their use is time-consuming, requires experience, and the evaluation is not continuous, which may result in missing pain incidents. In addition, different scales are designed for different patients depending on maturity and clinical situation, which in practice makes the interpretation of the results difficult. In neonates and infants receiving analgosedation, pain assessment is essential, as these patients usually undergo invasive procedures and are often subject to post-operative care. Furthermore, in these patients use of behavioral scales may be unreliable because administration of anesthetic drugs and hypnotic agents affects the assessed parameters. Therefore, the search for instrumental methods supporting the evaluation of pain intensity in these patients is of particular importance. One of these is the assessment of the balance between the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems based on the analysis of heart rate variability. The aim of the study is to evaluate the usefulness of the Newborn Infant Parasympathetic Evaluation (NIPE) monitor (MdolorisMedical Systems, Loos, France) for pain assessment in newborns and infants treated in the intensive care unit, based on the comparison of the NIPE index value and simultaneous assessment using a behavioral (COMFORT-B) scale.

This was a prospective, observational study which did not require any changes in regard to the standard treatment of patients included. The acceptance of the local Ethical Committee was granted (270: 11.10.2018).

Material and methods

Patients

We enrolled 30 neonates and infants: 17 males (57%) and 13 females (43%) treated in pediatric/neonatal intensive care units (P/NICUs) due to respiratory failure caused by respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) or pneumonia. Inclusion criteria were as follows: gestational age at birth over 26 weeks and chronological age less than 1 year, mechanical ventilation through an endotracheal tube, analgosedation (fentanyl: 1–2 μg/kg/h; midazolam: 0.1–0.2 mg/kg/h). Exclusion criteria included bradycardia < 80 beats per minute (bpm), tachycardia > 250 bpm, any cardiac rhythm other than sinus one, use of medications that have effects on sympathetic and parasympathetic activity (e.g. beta blockers) during a period of seven days before the study, intraventricular hemorrhage of grade IV, severe perinatal asphyxia treated by therapeutic hypothermia, central nervous system congenital malformations, seizures within seven days preceding the study, neuromuscular diseases and analgosedation other than fentanyl/midazolam. Legal guardians of all subjects were given informed consent forms and agreed to participation in the study.

Protocol

Fentanyl and midazolam were administered by continuous infusion in accordance with the treatment schemes of the participating departments and the study did not affect it. Patients were constantly monitored using a NIPE monitor. They were also periodically evaluated using the COMFORT-B scale. The intervals between the COMFORT-B scorings were at least 1 hour long. Patients were not disturbed by any additional procedures during the 30-minute period before this assessment.

Pain assessment

The COMFORT-B scale is designed for mechanically ventilated patients and is used to assess the degree of analgosedation [24]. The scale consists of six behavioral items: alertness, calminess, respiratory response, body movements, facial tension and muscle tone. Each variable may be assigned a score from 1 (the highest sedation level) to 5 (the lowest sedation level). The minimum and maximum total score is 6 and 30 respectively. Scores 6–10 indicate deep analgosedation, scores 11–23
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Results

Thirty patients were included, in whom an assessment using the COMFORT-B scale was performed 200 times. Twenty NIPE recordings (10% of all) were ineligible for assessment due to artifacts and were excluded. In the final analysis, 180 simultaneous assessments of the COMFORT-B score and NIPE level in 30 patients were analyzed. The characteristics of the study group are shown in Table I.

We distinguished two subgroups depending on the COMFORT-B score: deep analgosedation (COMFORT-B ≤ 10) was observed in 117 measurements (65%), and moderate/insufficient analgosedation (COMFORT-B > 10) was observed in 63 measurements (35%). Although medians in both subgroups varied only a little, the differences were statistically significant. When comparing obtained NIPE results in these two subgroups, the multivariable logistic regression model showed that NIPEi and NIPEm values were higher in the deep analgosedation subgroup and the increase in NIPEi and NIPEm values by 1 unit raises the odds of deep analgosedation approximately by 7%. Furthermore, we found that lower postmenstrual age is also associated with excessive sedation. Gender, type of delivery, birth weight as well as gestational age had no influence on the sedation level. Our results are presented in Table II and in Figure 1.

### Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) and R version 3.5.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Descriptive statistics were expressed as median and the lower and the upper quartile. Due to multiple measures per patient, we used a generalized linear mixed model with the logit link function to perform logistic regression analysis in order to assess the relationship between NIPEi/NIPEm and deep sedation. We began with univariable logistic regression models, then to adjust for gender, postmenstrual age (PMA), and weight during assessment, we calculated the multivariable logistic regression model. Only statistically significant variables were left in the final model. Because of the strong correlation between NIPEi and NIPEm we decided to compute two separate models for these variables. Results were presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). We assumed the significance level \( p < 0.05 \).

### Table I. Characteristics of the studied group (n = 30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Male (n=17)</th>
<th>Female (n=13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at the time of the study [days]</td>
<td>14 (4–36)</td>
<td>14 (4–36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight [g]</td>
<td>2775 (1840–3500)</td>
<td>2760 (2020–3860)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age [weeks]</td>
<td>37 (33–38)</td>
<td>37 (33–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenstrual age at the time of the study [weeks]</td>
<td>41 (36–42)</td>
<td>41 (36–42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight at the time of the study [g]</td>
<td>3200 (2410–3800)</td>
<td>3200 (2410–3800)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are shown as n (%) or median (I^th–3^rd quartile).

### Table II. Newborn Infant Parasympathetic Evaluation (NIPE) indexes as well as postmenstrual age (PMA) and weight measurements during assessment (N = 180) with univariable logistic regression odds ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Deep analgosedation</th>
<th>Moderate/insufficient analgosedation</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMA [weeks]</td>
<td>43 (38–60)</td>
<td>76 (52–97)</td>
<td>0.932 (0.896–0.969)(^a)</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight [g]</td>
<td>3100 (1935–3600)</td>
<td>3660 (3200–4340)</td>
<td>0.824 (0.727–0.935)(^b)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPEm</td>
<td>62 (56–71)</td>
<td>61 (57–66)</td>
<td>1.075 (1.012–1.141)(^b)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPEi</td>
<td>62 (55–76)</td>
<td>61 (53–67)</td>
<td>1.078 (1.023–1.137)(^b)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) OR calculated for deep analgosedation per \(\) one week increase in PMA, \(^b\) 100 g increase in weight, and \(^b\) one unit increase in NIPE.
Discussion
Our study demonstrated that NIPE indexes are related to the levels of analgosedation. In the case of deep analgosedation the NIPE indexes are higher than in the case of moderate or insufficient analgosedation. Identification of deep analgosedation seems to be of particular practical importance in intubated and mechanically ventilated newborns and infants treated in P/NICUs. While the detection of insufficient analgosedation is usually easier due to the behavioral-physiological manifestation of pain, it can be difficult to distinguish between deep and too deep analgosedation. A COMFORT-B score ≤ 10 is regarded in the literature as ‘deep sedation’ or ‘oversedation’ and no threshold has been established for drug overdose. In clinical practice, the line between deep and excessive analgosedation may be blurred. Furthermore, the assessment using the COMFORT-B score is periodical and a situation threatening the evolution of deep analgosedation to oversedation may be overlooked. Therefore, early identification of any situation where sedation is deep is essential. From this point of view, NIPE indexes may be helpful in identifying situations in which the patient may be at risk of oversedation.

The ability to detect deep analgosedation applies to both NIPE indexes, i.e. NIPEi and NIPEm. This is understandable taking into consideration the fact that during the study the patients received continuous infusions of the hypnotic drug and opioid and were not disturbed by additional stimuli during the measurements.

Most studies on the usefulness of HRV analysis in detecting and monitoring pain in newborns and infants address procedural pain. Lindh et al. found in term and preterm neonates HRV analysis detects pain and enables evaluation of the effectiveness of EMLA (Eutectic Mixture of Local Anaesthetics) cream and oral glucose [28–31]. The usefulness of HRV analysis in detecting procedural pain in newborns and infants was also confirmed by Oberlander et al. [32, 33]. Weissmann et al. as well as Cong et al. noted the usefulness of HRV analysis in assessing the usefulness of non-pharmacological methods of alleviating procedural pain in neonates [34, 35]. The meta-analysis by Zhang et al. showed that HRV analysis was useful in studies of the effect of mother’s milk odor on alleviating procedural pain in newborns [36]. In contrast, Cremillieux et al. obtained opposite results. They did not find any correlation between NIPE monitor measurements and assessments based on behavioral scales and came to the conclusion that the NIPE index is not a reliable tool for evaluation of acute pain in preterm infants [37]. From observations made by Walas et al. it follows that the time points selected by Cremillieux et al. may not be optimal to objectively assess the NIPE monitor [38].

While the usefulness of HRV analysis in detecting procedural pain in newborns and infants is beyond doubt, there are only very few papers on the application of this method in the assessment of analgosedation in patients from this age group treated in P/NICUs. Studies in adult patients show that HRV analysis may be useful in the assessment of pain in the postoperative period and in the intensive care unit [39–42]. However, we found only a few papers relating to newborns and infants. The authors’ conclusions are similar to ours.

Research performed by Faye et al. in 28 newborns with gestational age > 34 weeks at 2–72 hours after major surgical procedures concentrated on pain intensity assessed by means of the Échelle Douleur Inconfort Nouveau-Né (EDIN) scale and the high frequency variability index (HFVI) measured simultaneously. They noted that HFVI was significantly lower in the group of newborns with an EDIN score ≥ 5 compared to newborns with an EDIN score < 5 and concluded that HRV may be used as an indicator to assess prolonged pain in newborn infants [26]. Buyuktiryaki et al. found a correlation between the NIPE index and the EDIN score after insertion of a chest tube.
in newborns of gestational age between 33 and 35 weeks. They concluded that prolonged pain can be accurately assessed using NIPE index [43]. Gall et al. evaluated postoperative pain in children, including infants. They concluded that the HRV analysis may provide an objective measurement of acute postoperative pain, which is correlated with that measured with the FLACC (Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, and Consolability) scale [44]. Infants older than six months of age were included in the group of children undergoing muscle biopsy under analgesia and light sedation examined by Avez-Coutu-rier et al. The pain was assessed using the FLACC scale and the HRV was analyzed simultaneously.

The authors noted that the HRV decreased in children both younger and older than 6 years [45]. Recher et al. performed research on newborns, infants and children ≤3 years of age treated in the PICU, who were intubated and under analgesedation, in which they compared changes in the NIPE index and the COMFORT score during various care procedures. They concluded that NIPEI is valid for assessing distress in sedated/intubated children in the PICU [46].

Our study has some limitations. The study group was small and diverse; therefore, we treat this study as a pilot study. The small number of cases in which the COMFORT score was > 10 made it impossible to distinguish situations in which analgesedation was moderate (COMFORT-B: 11–23) vs. insufficient (COMFORT-B: > 23). Continued research is necessary with larger and less diverse patient populations.

In conclusion, the results of our research indicate that NIPE indexes are significantly higher in patients whose assessment on the behavioral scale indicates deep analgesedation as compared to those in whom it indicates moderate or insufficient analgesedation. Allowing continuous monitoring, the NIPE device may be a valuable assisting tool in the assessment of analgesedation quality in mechanically ventilated newborns and infants. Further studies are needed to confirm the usefulness of this method in clinical practice. Especially the determination of measurement thresholds corresponding to different pain intensities would be very useful.
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