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Abstract
Introduction
Centromere protein K (CENPK) plays a key role in regulating the assembly and function of centromeres, which in turn can affect the occurrence and development of various tumors. However, little is known about the biological function of CENPK in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD).

Material and methods
We analyzed the relationship between CENPK expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients via bioinformatics methods. Then, the role of CENPK in LUAD was investigated in vitro by using the human LUAD cell line A549. The cell counting kit-8 and colony formation assays were used to detect the cell proliferation ability. The wound healing and transwell assays were used to detect the cell migration and invasion ability. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Snail and Vimentin) were measured by western blotting.

Results
CENPK is highly expressed in LUAD tissues and cell lines. Moreover, high expression of CENPK in LUAD is significantly associated with stage, lymph node involvement and poor survival. CENPK knockdown significantly decreases the proliferation, migration and invasion ability of A549 cells by regulating epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT).

Conclusions
CENPK is highly expressed in LUAD and leads to a poor prognosis. CENPK knockdown can suppress the proliferation, migration, and invasion of lung cancer cells via EMT, which may be a valid target for treatment.
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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** Centromere protein K (CENPK) plays a key role in regulating the assembly and function of centromeres, which in turn can affect the occurrence and development of various tumors. However, little is known about the biological function of CENPK in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD).

**Material and methods:** We evaluated the relationship between CENPK expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients via bioinformatics method based on the data taken from the TCGA database. Then, the role of CENPK in LUAD was investigated in vitro by using the human LUAD cell line A549. The cell counting kit-8 and colony formation assays were used to detect the cell proliferation ability. The wound healing and transwell assays were used to detect the cell migration and invasion ability. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Snail and Vimentin) were measured by western blotting. In addition, the experiment of Xenograft was used for exploring the function of CENPK in vivo.

**Results:** CENPK is highly expressed in LUAD tissues and cell lines. Moreover, high expression of CENPK in LUAD is significantly associated with stage, lymph node involvement and poor survival. CENPK knockdown significantly decreases the proliferation, migration and invasion ability of A549 cells by EMT both in vitro and in vivo.

**Conclusion:** CENPK is highly expressed in LUAD and leads to a poor prognosis. CENPK knockdown can suppress the proliferation, migration, and invasion of lung...
cancer cells via EMT, which may be a valid target for treatment.
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**Introduction**

Lung cancer is one of the most common tumors worldwide, accounting for approximately 22% of cancer-related deaths, which makes it first among cancers in terms of mortality. In the US, lung cancer accounts for 13% of all tumor types, and approximately 135,000 people die from the disease each year[1]. An epidemiological survey conducted in 2015 in China recorded 730,000 lung cancer cases and 610,000 related deaths[2]. According to the World Lung Cancer Association, lung cancer can be divided into small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). NSCLC is the most common pathological type encountered in the clinic, accounting for 85% of new cases each year[3]. With the promotion of smoking bans, the pathological spectrum of NSCLC has changed dramatically; approximately 40–60% of new NSCLC cases are lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), occurring especially among nonsmoking women in East Asia[4]. LUAD originates from small alveolar epithelial cells of the type II airways and is characterized by easy recurrence and metastasis[5]. The disease stages of LUAD play an important guiding role in formulating specific treatment plans for patients with LUAD. For the treatment of lung cancer, in addition to surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, targeted therapy and immunotherapy have become the new development direction of lung cancer treatment. For example, the third representative of epidermal growth factor receptor
(EGFR) mutation inhibitor osimertinib, studies show that the median progression free survival (PFS) of lung cancer patients treated with osimertinib is 10.1 months, which is significantly higher than that of patients treated with chemotherapy (median PFS is 4.4 months)[6, 7]. Immunotherapy was selected as the benchmark achievement of medical progress in 2016 by American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), which is the most cutting-edge in the field of cancer treatment[8]. At present, immunotherapy drugs for lung cancer are mainly immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as programmed cell death protein-1 / programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-1 / PD-L1)[9]. A number of large-scale clinical trials have shown that compared with chemotherapy patients, the overall survival of lung cancer patients treated with PD-1 / PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors is significantly longer[10, 11]. Although technological progress has improved the diagnosis and treatment of LUAD, the 5-year survival rate is only 10 – 15%[12]. Therefore, the identification of prognostic markers and therapeutic targets for LUAD is a topic of intense research in lung cancer research.

Centromere protein K (CENPK), belongs to the mitochondrial protein family and can be recruited to centromeres during cell division and participate in the processes of centromere assembly, mitosis and chromosome separation[13-15]. An increasing body of evidence has implicated dysregulation or dysfunction of CENPK in cancer progression. Research shows that CENPK is an oncogene that can promote cancer cell proliferation and metastasis in liver cancer and ovarian cancer[16-18]. Overexpression of CENPK was associated with poor outcomes in patients with triple-negative breast
cancer[19]. However, the biological functions of CENPK in LUAD still remain unclear.

Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) refers to the transformation of differentiated epithelial cells into mesenchymal cells under specific physiological and pathological conditions, and is an inherent biological process of cells existing in various tissue types and development stages[20]. EMT is closely related to a variety of biological processes, including wound healing, fibrosis and cancer development[21]. According to the different physiological environment, EMT can be divided into three subtypes, including type 1, type 2 and type 3[22]. Type 3 is the most studied type at present, which is closely related to the growth and metastasis of malignant tumor cells[22]. A number of studies have shown that EMT occurs during the invasion and metastasis of lung cancer, liver cancer, breast cancer, and other tumors[23-25]. The main functions of EMT include[26]: (1) the loss of polarity of epithelial cells, the decrease of the ability of tight connection between cells, the long spindle shape and the loose arrangement of cells, similar to the characteristics of mesenchymal cells. (2) The changes of protein expression, down regulation of the expression of E-cadherin, β - Catenin, cytokeratin 8 (CK8), cytokeratin 18 (CK18), up regulation of the expression of the mesenchymal marker protein, such as N-cadherin, Vimentin and fibronectin. (3) When the tight connection between epithelial cells decreases and transforms into stromal cells, their biological behaviors change when their invasion ability is improved. Proteolytic enzymes degrade the cell basement membrane, allowing cells to invade the extracellular matrix. Therefore, the cells have
a strong ability of invasion and metastasis. Once metastasis occurs, cancer cells can escape chemical treatment, which leads to the decrease of prognosis of cancer patients[26].

In this study, the relationship between the expression of CENPK and LUAD was investigated by using bioinformatics methods and in vitro/vivo experiments.

**Materials and methods**

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database analysis

The TCGA database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) is a research project jointly developed by the National Cancer Institute and the National Human Genome Research Institute that aims to discover gene mutations and signaling pathways related to tumor occurrence, development, and prognosis at the molecular level through genome-wide analysis of tumor specimens[27]. Transcript and clinical data from LUAD and normal tissue samples were obtained from the TCGA database, which is public and can be downloaded free of charge, with confirmation that all relevant informed consent has been supplied. Clinical information, including patient age and sex, disease stage, histological type, tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) classification, follow-up time, and survival status, was extracted from the data of 515 LUAD patients, from which 515 tumor tissues and 59 adjacent normal tissues were obtained.

Oncomine database analysis
The Oncomine database (https://www.oncomine.org/) is currently the world's largest tumor gene chip database and integrated data mining platform and can be used to mine cancer gene-related information[28]. The mRNA expression level of CENPK in LUAD and other cancers was detected through Oncomine database analysis. \(P\)-value<0.05, fold change>2 and top 10% gene rank were selected as thresholds for comparisons between tumor and normal samples.

**Prognoscan database analysis**

Prognoscan (http://www.prognoscan.org/) is a database used to explore the relationship between gene expression and the prognosis of patients across a large collection of publicly available cancer microarray datasets[29], and this database was applied to analyze the prognostic value of CENPK in LUAD patients. The threshold was adjusted to a Cox \(p\)-value<0.05.

**Cell culture**

The human LUAD cell lines A549, PC9, H1299, and H1975 and the normal human lung epithelial cell line BEAS-2B were purchased from the Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. They were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI, Thermo Scientific, USA) 1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, USA) and 1% antibiotics (penicillin-streptomycin, Sangon, China) at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 incubator. Both of cell lines were sub-passaged for fewer than 6 months.
Cell transfection

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting CENPK and a negative control (NC) siRNA were purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai). The oligonucleotides were transfected by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The A549 cells were seeded into six-well plates one day before transfection. After cell confluence reached 90–95%, 10 µl of DNA was diluted with 100 µl of serum-free medium, and then another 100 µl of serum-free medium was used to dilute 5 µl of Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent. Subsequently, the solution was mixed with the diluted DNA at room temperature for 20 min and added to each well. The sequences of siRNA-1, siRNA-2, siRNA-3 and NC were 5’-UCCCUUGACUGAAGACGUUTT-3’, 5’-GCUCAGCUAUCAUUGUUAATT-3’, 5’-CUGCCUGUAAGAUGUUAATT-3’, and 5’-UUCUCCGAACGUGUCAGCTT-3’, respectively. The transfection efficiency was detected by Western blotting.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from cells by using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the EasyScript First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix Kit (TransGen Biotech). QRT-PCR was performed with the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and Fast Start Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Takara). The relative quantification of CENPK to the internal control
(GAPDH) was calculated by using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. The primers for qPCR are listed in Table 1.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed according to a previous description[30]. Briefly, whole cell lysates were normalized by OD600. Total protein was extracted by using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The protein concentration was detected by using a BCA assay (Thermo Scientific, USA). After SDS-PAGE and blotting, the membrane was incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. Then, the membrane was incubated with the corresponding secondary antibodies for 2 hours. The following primary antibodies were used: CENPK (1:500, ProteinTech, Wuhan, China), GAPDH (1:1000, Goodhere, Hangzhou, China), E-cadherin (1:2000, ProteinTech, Wuhan, China), N-cadherin (1:2000, ProteinTech, Wuhan, China), Snail (1:500, ProteinTech, Wuhan, China), and vimentin (1:1000, ProteinTech, Wuhan, China). GAPDH was utilized as an internal control. The corresponding secondary antibodies were used: anti-rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase or anti-mouse IgG-HRP (HRP, 1:5,000; Boster, Wuhan, China). The signals were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Thermo Scientific, USA). The gray values of bands were measured by QuantityOne software (Bio-Rad), and the relative protein abundance was normalized to GAPDH expression.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay
Forty-eight hours after transfection, A549 cells were added to a 96-well plate (approximately 2000 per well) and incubated for 0, 24, 48, and 72 hours; then, 10 μL of CCK-8 reagent (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) were added to each well. The plate was incubated in a 37 °C incubator for 4 hours, and the absorbance value was detected at a wavelength of 450 nm with a microplate reader.

Colony formation assay

The transfected A549 cells were seeded in six-well plates at 300 cells per well for colony formation assays following the methods described previously[30]. Briefly, the A549 cells were seeded into 6-well plates (approximately 200 per well) 48 hours after transfection. After culture for another 2 weeks, the colonies were fixed with paraformaldehyde (4%, 30 min) and stained with crystal violet (0.5%, 30 min) at room temperature. The number of colonies was counted under the light microscope.

Wound healing assay

The transfected A549 cells were plated into a 6-well plate. When the cells were approximately 70% confluent, the petri dish was scratched with a 10-μL pipette tip, rinsed with PBS 3 times, serum-free medium was added, and the cells were cultured for 24 hours. The width of the scratch gap was observed and measured using an inverted microscope.

Transwell assay
For cell invasion detection, a Transwell assay was adopted according to a previous description[30]. In brief, the treated A549 cells were plated on the upper part of the Transwell chamber (precoated with Matrigel) in serum-free RPMI-1640, and medium with 10% FBS was added to the lower part of the chamber as a chemoattractant. After incubation for 24 h at 37°C, cells in the upper part of the chamber were removed. Migrated cells in the lower part of the chamber were analyzed by 0.2% crystal violet staining with an inverted microscope. The cell population was counted and calculated in five random visual fields of each chamber.

Construction of Lentiviral Vectors of CENPK shRNA
To obtain CENPK shRNA plasmid, the targeting sequences specific for CENPK (5’-GCGAGTTTCTAGAAGACC-3’) were constructed into a lentiviral vector and a scrambled shRNA lentiviral vector was constructed as a negative control. CENPK coding sequence was amplified using PCR and ligated into a lentiviral vector. Prepared lentiviral particles were transfected into A549 cells, and puromycin was used to selected positive cells.

Xenograft tumor in nude mice
The animal protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (Jiangxi, China). Ten five-week-old female nude mice were purchased from the animal house of the Faculty of Medicine, Nanchang University (Jiangxi, China), and were randomly
divided into two groups equally. 200 μl of A549 cell suspension (2×10^6/ml) which was transfected with shNC or shCENPK was subcutaneously injected into the right flank of mice in two groups. Tumor volumes were examined every 3 days using the formula: volume = 0.5 × length × width². At 30 days after the subcutaneous injection, mice were sacrificed and tumors were isolated and weighed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism 8.0 (Intuitive Software for Science, San Diego, CA, USA). Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Student’s t-test was employed for comparisons between two groups, while one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for multiple group comparisons. Each experiment was repeated thrice independently. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

CENPK is upregulated in LUAD samples and associated with poor prognosis

The study samples comprised 59 healthy patients and 515 tumor samples from the TCGA database. CENPK was significantly upregulated in LUAD samples compared with healthy patients (P < 0.001; Fig. 1). In addition, we further confirmed the high expression of CENPK in LUAD samples by using the Oncomine database (Fig. 2). Increased CENPK expression was significantly associated with disease stage (P < 0.017) and N (P = 0.024) classifications (Fig. 3). The Kaplan-Meier curves revealed a
worse prognosis for the high CENPK expression group than for the low expression group ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 4). In addition, the PrognoScan database also revealed that the high expression of CENPK was negatively correlated with overall survival and relapse-free survival in LUAD patients (Fig. 5). Moreover, the expression of CENPK was significantly associated with worse OS in the univariate Cox regression analysis [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.54; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.19–1.99; $P < 0.001$; Table 2]. Multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that CENPK is an independent predictor of prognosis for LUAD patients (HR = 1.60; 95% CI, 1.22–2.11; $P < 0.001$; Table 2).

High expression of CENPK is inhibited by siRNA QRT-PCR and western blotting results showed that the mRNA and protein expression levels of CENPK were all significantly increased in human LUAD cell lines (A549, PC9, H1299, H1975) compared with the normal human lung epithelial cell line (BEAS-2B) (Fig. 6). Among them, the expression of CENPK in the A549 cell line was higher than that in other human LUAD cell lines. Therefore, the A549 cell line was used for the following experiment. siRNAs (siRNA-1, siRNA-2, siRNA-3) were transfected into the A549 cell line, and the protein expression levels of CENPK were all decreased, as detected by western blotting; siRNA-2 showed greater silencing efficiency than the other siRNAs (Fig. 7). Hence, siRNA-2 was utilized for specific RNA interference in the following experiment.
CENPK silencing inhibits the proliferation of LUAD cells

The effect of CENPK silencing on the proliferation ability of LUAD cells was examined by CCK-8 and colony formation assays. The CCK-8 assay showed that after CENPK was silenced by siRNA (si-CENPK group), the proliferation ability of A549 cells decreased significantly compared with that of the NC group (Fig. 8A). The colony formation assay results were consistent with those of the CCK-8 assay, and the proliferation ability of the si-CENPK group was reduced significantly compared with that of the NC group (Fig. 8B.C).

CENPK silencing inhibits the migration and invasion of LUAD cells

The effect of CENPK silencing on the migration and invasion ability of LUAD cells was examined by wound healing and Transwell assays, following 24 hours of cell culture. The outcomes of the wound healing assay showed that CENPK silencing significantly restrained the migration of LUAD cells (Fig. 9A.B). The results of the Transwell assay showed that both the migration and invasion abilities of the si-CENPK group were inhibited compared with those of the NC group (Fig. 9C.D).

CENPK silencing attenuates epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in LUAD cells

EMT is crucial in the progression of tumor invasion and metastasis[31]. Therefore, the EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Snail and Vimentin) were measured by western blotting, which showed that the protein expression level of an epithelial
marker (E-cadherin) was significantly increased in the si-CENPK group, while the levels of mesenchymal markers (N-cadherin, vimentin) and transcription factor (Snail) were significantly decreased compared with those in the NC group (Fig. 10).

CENPK silencing inhibits the tumorigenicity of LUAD cells in vivo

We examined the effect of CENPK silencing in lung tumor growth in vivo. A549 cells stably infected with lentiviral shRNA-CENPK and implanted into flank of node mice. The tumor volume was measured every 3 days with the last measurement on day 30. We found that CENPK silencing in A549 cells significantly reduced tumor volume and tumor weight (Fig. 11). The result demonstrated that CENPK reducing could inhibit LUAD growth.

Discussion

Current research indicates that CENPK as an oncogene plays key roles in many cancers, such as liver cancer and ovarian cancer[16-18]. However, few reports describe the relationship between CENPK expression and LUAD, which is the main focus of this study.

At present, limited research showed that CENPK is upregulated in LUAD samples compared to adjacent normal samples and correlated to the poor prognosis of LUAD patients, which is consistent with our research results [32]. In our study, we also found that a high transcript level of CENPK is significantly associated with several clinicopathological features, such as advanced disease stage and N
classifications. Moreover, CENPK is an independent predictor of poor prognosis according to multivariate cox regression analysis result. In addition, we constructed an siRNA-mediated CENPK knockdown in vitro and vivo model to further explore the role of CENPK in LUAD, and we found that the proliferation, migration and invasion abilities of A549 cell line were significantly inhibited by CENPK knockdown.

To date, more than 80 kinds of centromere protein family members have been found, including centromere proteins A, B, C, H, K, I and M[33]. Studies have shown that in addition to CENPK, many other members of the centromeric protein family also play a key role in the occurrence and development of various human cancers. CENPF can promote breast cancer bone metastasis by activating the PI3K-AKT-mTORC1 signaling pathway[34]. Downregulation of CENPH can inhibit the proliferation of renal cell carcinoma and promote cell apoptosis[35]. CENPI is overexpressed in colorectal cancer and acts as an oncogene to promote colorectal cancer cell migration and invasion[36]. CENPA can be used as an independent prognostic factor for LUAD patients, and knocking down CENPA can inhibit the proliferation and invasion of LUAD cells[37, 38]. Research shows that CENPK is a subunit of a CENPH-CENPI-associated centromeric complex that targets CENPA to centromeres and is required for proper kinetochore function and mitotic progression[14]; therefore, CENPK has a strong correlation with CENPA. Based on the above research and the results of this study, we believe that CENPK plays a key role in the development of LUAD.
EMT plays a very important role in embryonic development, organogenesis, wound healing and other physiological processes [39]. In the process of tumor development, the activation of EMT increases the migration and invasion characteristics of cancer cells as they shift from the epithelial phenotype to the mesenchymal phenotype, thereby promoting metastasis[40-42]. During the EMT process, E-cadherin, which is one of the most critical cell surface epithelial markers, is downregulated, while mesenchymal markers (vimentin, N-cadherin), and the transcription factor Snail, which regulates EMT, are upregulated; these changes cause loss of cell polarity in epithelial cells, disruption of cell interconnections, and reorganization of the intracellular actin skeleton and also decrease the cell adhesion ability and increases the migration ability[43, 44]. In addition, studies have shown that vimentin is considered an independent prognostic factor for LUAD and that Snail is related to the clinical outcome of lung cancer[45, 46]. Therefore, these EMT markers were detected for further mechanistic exploration in this study. The results proved that CENPK silencing inhibits the proliferation, migration and invasion of A549 cell line via EMT, however, there are some limitations to this study. First, only one LUAD cell line (A549) was used for the experiment in this study, and other LUAD cell lines (such as PC-9) were not tested. Second, this study did not further study the role of CENPK by overexpressing CENPK. Last, the results of this study have not been verified by in vivo experiments. Therefore, more in-depth experiments are needed in the future.

In conclusion, CENPK is overexpressed in LUAD and could serve as an
independent prognostic factor in LUAD patients. Knockout of CENPK inhibits lung cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion by regulating EMT. These findings may provide a new perspective for the clinical treatment of LUAD patients.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the TCGA database contributors for the high-quality transcript and clinical data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
References


31. Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal...


Figure legends

Fig. 1. CENPK expression was significantly increased in LUAD samples compared with healthy patients from TCGA database (A, unpaired t-test, \( P < 0.001 \); B, paired t-test, \( P < 0.001 \)).

Fig. 2. The expression level of CENPK in LUAD (Oncomine database). (A) The expression level of CENPK in different tumors. The red left column shows the number of samples with CENPK upregulation, and the blue right column shows the number of samples with CENPK downregulation. (B-D) The box plot comparing CENPK expression in normal and LUAD tissues. (E) Meta-analysis of CENPK expression in 3 analyses.

Fig. 3. The associations between the expression level of CENPK and the
clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients (TCGA database) (A) Patients with more aggressive disease stage have significantly higher CENPK expression. (B) Patients with lymph node metastasis have higher CENPK expression. $P < 0.01$.

Fig. 4. Kaplan-Meier curves for survival probability in LUAD patients (TCGA database). (A) High CENPK expression was related to poor overall survival (B) High CENPK expression was related to poor disease-free survival. $P < 0.01$.

Fig. 5. Kaplan-Meier curves for survival probability in LUAD patient (PrognoScan database). (A) High CENPK expression was related to poor overall survival (B) High CENPK expression was related to poor relapse-free survival. $P < 0.05$.

Fig. 6. CENPK is upregulated in LUAD cells. (A) CENPK is upregulated in human LUAD cell lines (A549, PC9, H1299, H1975) at the mRNA level detected by qRT-PCR. (B), (C) CENPK is upregulated in human LUAD cell lines (A549, PC9, H1299, H1975) at the protein level detected by western blotting. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$.

Fig. 7. (A), (B) CENPK protein expression was significantly knocked down by siRNA in the A549 cell line detected by western blotting. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$.

Fig. 8. CENPK silencing inhibits the proliferation ability of the A549 cell line. (A)
CCK-8 assay revealed that CENPK knockdown inhibited the proliferation of the A549 cell line. (B) Colony formation assays also revealed that CENPK knockdown inhibited the proliferation of the A549 cell line. (C) The statistical analysis of colony formation assays is presented. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$.

**Fig. 9.** CENPK silencing inhibits the migration and invasion of A549 cells. (A) Would healing assay showing that CENPK knockdown decreased the migration ability of the A549 cell line. (B) The statistical analysis is presented. (C) Transwell assays showed that CENPK knockdown inhibited the migration and invasion of A549 cells. (D) The statistical analysis is presented. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$.

**Fig. 10.** CENPK silencing attenuates EMT-related markers in LUAD cells. (A), (B) The effect of CENPK silencing on the protein levels of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Snail, vimentin and CENPK in the A549 cell line detected by western blotting. **$P < 0.01$.

**Fig. 11.** The CENPK silencing inhibits tumorigenicity in vivo. (A) Subcutaneous tumor transplanted in nude mice after 30 days of inoculation. (B) Tumor exfoliated after the nude mouse was sacrificed. (C) Growth curve of transplanted tumor. (D) Comparison of the average weight of transplanted tumor in two groups of nude mice (*$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; NC: Negative Control).
Table I. Primer sequences used in the qRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENPK</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>CAGATGTGGGAGATGTTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CATTTGAATCGGTGAGTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>TCAAGAAGGTGGTGAAGCAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TCAAAGGTGGAGGAGTGGGT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Univariate analysis and multivariate analysis of the correlation of CENPK expression with OS among lung adenocarcinoma patients form TCGA database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;60 vs ≥60)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.68-1.56</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.76-1.77</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female vs male)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.72-1.49</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.63-1.32</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological stage (early stage vs late stage)</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.02-4.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.84-2.52</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (T1 + T2 vs T3 + T4)</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.69-4.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.13-3.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (M0 vs M1)</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.96-3.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.58-2.30</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (N0 vs N1 + N2 + N3)</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.97-4.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.42-3.50</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENPK expression (low vs high)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.19-1.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.22-2.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Early stage: stage I and stage II; late stage: stage III and stage IV.
Disease Summary for CENPK

A

Analysis Type by Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer vs. Normal</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bladder Cancer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain and CNS Cancer</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical Cancer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal Cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and Neck Cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ovarian Cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic Cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate Cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarccoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant Unique Analyses: 27
Total Unique Analyses: 298
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Comparison of CENPK Across 3 Analyses

Over-expression

Legend
1. Lung Adenocarcinoma vs. Normal
2. Glioblastoma vs. Normal
   Welling KJ, Cancer Res, 2010
3. Lung Adenocarcinoma vs. Normal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.0E-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Each box represents the median value for that gene across each of the analyses. The p-value for a gene is the p-value for the randomization analysis.