## Increased blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio is associated with poor prognosis in patients with acute pancreatitis: a retrospective cohort study

Yun Huang<sup>1</sup>, Chunyan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Meiqiu Li<sup>1</sup>, Jun Mei<sup>2</sup>, Yingxin Wu<sup>1</sup>, Xia Xiang<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of International Medical Center, The First People's Hospital of Foshan, Foshan, Guangdong, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nursing, The First People's Hospital of Foshan, Foshan, Guangdong, China

Submitted: 17 March 2025; Accepted: 25 April 2025 Online publication: 22 May 2025

Arch Med Sci DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms/204369 Copyright © 2025 Termedia & Banach

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** The blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio (BAR) may serve as a prognostic marker. This study evaluated its association with clinical outcomes in patients with acute pancreatitis (AP).

**Material and methods:** We performed a retrospective cohort analysis using data from the MIMIC-IV 2.2 database, including 650 patients diagnosed with AP. The primary outcomes were 90-day and 365-day mortality. Cox proportional hazards models assessed the relationship between BAR and mortality. Restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis examined the non-linear relationship. **Results:** Among the 650 patients, the mortality rates at 90 days and 365 days were 21.2% and 26.2%, respectively. Higher BAR levels correlated with increased 90-day and 365-day mortality (p < 0.001). BAR had hazard ratios (HR) of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.02–1.06) for 90-day and 1.04 (95% CI: 1.02–1.05) for 365-day mortality. ROC analysis revealed that BAR's AUC was 0.738 for 90-day and 0.714 for 365-day mortality. Subgroup and sensitivity analyses indicated stable results across various conditions.

**Conclusions:** Elevated BAR is significantly associated with increased mortality in AP patients, indicating its potential as a valuable prognostic marker in critical care settings.

**Key words:** blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio, acute pancreatitis, MIMIC-IV database, mortality, retrospective cohort study.

#### Introduction

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is an inflammatory disorder of the exocrine pancreas, characterized by tissue damage and necrosis. As the condition progresses, it can trigger systemic inflammatory response syndrome, which may ultimately result in organ failure [1]. Globally, the incidence of AP is approximately 34 cases per 100,000 people, and this rate has been steadily increasing [2]. The overall mortality rate for patients with AP varies between 3% and 10%, but in severe cases, it can increase dramatically, reaching as high as 36% to 50% [3]. Over the past decade, advances in the treatment and critical care of AP have improved patient outcomes; however, severe AP remains associated with a significantly high mortality rate [4].

#### \*Corresponding author:

Xia Xiang Department of Nursing The First People's Hospital of Foshan No. 81 Lingnan North Road 528000 Foshan Guangdong, China E-mail: xiangxiafoshan@163. com



Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

Identifying high-risk patients is crucial for enhancing outcomes in AP [5]. Current clinical management strategies rely on a combination of clinical indicators and biomarkers to assess disease severity and guide treatment decisions effectively. Traditional biomarkers, such as serum amylase and lipase, while useful in diagnosis, do not always provide a comprehensive understanding of the patient's overall condition [1]. Furthermore, emerging biomarkers, such as procalcitonin (PCT) and C-reactive protein (CRP), may lack the specificity needed to accurately distinguish the severity of AP [6, 7].

The blood urea nitrogen to albumin ratio (BAR) has recently garnered interest as a composite biomarker reflecting both renal function and nutritional status. BUN is a key indicator of the interaction between renal function and protein metabolism, with elevated levels frequently signaling impaired kidney function [8, 9]. Similarly, serum albumin is an essential marker of nutritional status, and hypoalbuminemia is associated with elevated morbidity and mortality [10, 11]. The combination of these two biomarkers in BAR offers a more comprehensive assessment, integrating both renal and nutritional aspects into a single ratio. Previous research has shown that BAR is associated with poor outcomes in various conditions, including sepsis, diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), and acute kidney injury (AKI) [12-14]. In the context of AP, BAR has been reported to correlate significantly with disease severity and adverse clinical outcomes. For instance, Efgan observed that BAR values are strongly associated with BISAP (Bedside Index of Severity in Acute Pancreatitis) scores and are similarly effective in predicting high-risk AP cases (AUC = 0.757, cut-off = 4.60), suggesting that BAR could serve as a rapid risk stratification tool in emergency departments [15]. Furthermore, Biyik et al. identified BAR as an independent predictor of both severe AP and AKI, establishing clinically meaningful cutoff values (e.g., BAR > 5.192 for SAP, AUC = 0.849) [16]. However, while previous studies have explored BAR's relationship with disease severity and organ failure, the prognostic utility of BAR in predicting mortality in AP patients remains underexplored.

Therefore, the present study aimed to fill this gap by evaluating the predictive value of BAR for in-hospital mortality among patients with AP, offering novel insight into its potential role as a practical, early prognostic biomarker.

#### Material and methods

#### Database introduction

The data for this study were obtained from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV

(MIMIC-IV 2.2) database. MIMIC-IV is a publicly accessible, anonymized clinical database that contains detailed electronic health records of patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs). The MIMIC-IV database holds patient records from admissions between 2008 and 2019, with data spanning approximately 60,000 ICU stays. The dataset provides detailed information, including patient demographics, laboratory results, vital signs, medication records, hospital stay summaries, and imaging reports. All data have been rigorously anonymized to ensure patient privacy while offering researchers access to a wide range of clinical variables. The first author, Yun Huang (certification number: 62970244), completed the required training and was granted access to the MIMIC-IV database. For this study, the database was downloaded and accessed on May 28, 2024. All analyses were performed using data available in this version.

#### Population selection criteria

This retrospective cohort study was based on data from the MIMIC-IV 2.2 database. We selected patients admitted to the ICU with a diagnosis of AP. A total of 50,920 first ICU admissions were recorded in the database, with 976 patients diagnosed with AP. The following exclusion criteria were applied: patients < 18 years (n = 0), those without serum albumin measurements (n = 323), and those missing BUN data (n = 3). Following the exclusions, a total of 650 patients were retained for the final analysis. Baseline clinical characteristics according to serum albumin availability are shown in Supplementary Table SI. To explore the association between BAR and clinical outcomes, patients were stratified into four groups based on interguartile ranges (IQRs) of the BAR distribution within the cohort. This data-driven approach enabled balanced subgroup sizes and facilitated the assessment of potential dose-response relationships. Specifically, quartile thresholds were used to define the following categories: Q1 (BAR < 4.25; n = 163), Q2 (4.25  $\leq$  BAR < 7.27; n = 161), Q3 (7.27  $\leq$  BAR < 12.73; n = 162), and Q4 (BAR  $\ge$  12.73; n = 164).

#### Data extraction and BAR calculation

The MIMIC-IV 2.2 database was used to extract clinical and demographic information for all included patients. Collected variables included age, gender, and race. Vital signs at ICU admission, such as heart rate, mean blood pressure (MBP), and oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>), were recorded. To assess illness severity, the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score and Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) were calculated. Comorbid conditions, including hypertension, obesity, diabetes, AKI, and sepsis, were documented. Laboratory parameters,

including white blood cells (WBC), hemoglobin, serum albumin, BUN, creatinine, glucose, and total bilirubin, were collected to assess the biochemical and hematologic profiles of the patients. Information on therapeutic interventions, including vasopressors, octreotide, statins, insulin, fibrates, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), ventilation, and continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), was also obtained. The BAR was calculated by dividing BUN (mg/dl) by serum albumin concentration (g/dl). All blood samples used in this study, including those for BUN and serum albumin, were collected within the first 24 h of ICU admission. These laboratory results represent the patient's baseline status at the time of critical care entry and are consistent with standard practices in retrospective analyses based on the MIMIC-IV database. However, because the exact onset time of AP symptoms is not recorded in MIMIC-IV, the number of days from disease onset to blood sample collection could not be determined.

### Outcomes

The primary outcomes were 90-day and 365day all-cause mortality. Secondary outcomes included length of hospital and ICU stay, ventilator-free days within 28 days (VFD-28), and hospital and ICU mortality. In the MIMIC-IV database, mortality status is captured through two complementary sources: in-hospital death is recorded directly in the hospital information system, while post-discharge mortality is obtained via linkage to the Social Security Death Index [17]. This approach allows for reliable ascertainment of vital status beyond hospital discharge. In the present study, complete follow-up data were available for all patients at both the 90-day and 365-day time points. As a result, no patients were lost to follow-up, and all primary outcome data reflect complete-case analyses.

## Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were analyzed across BAR quartiles using suitable statistical methods. Continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile ranges (IQRs), based on the distribution of the data. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Group comparisons were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables, and the chi-square test for categorical variables, as appropriate.

We employed least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression to identify variables associated with 90-day prognosis. Sub-

sequently, Cox proportional hazards models were constructed to evaluate the association between BAR and 90-day and 365-day mortality. To minimize potential confounding between BAR and outcomes, we developed three models to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), and conducted trend tests across quartiles. Model 1 was adjusted for age and gender. Model 2 included the variables in Model 1 plus obesity, diabetes, and AKI. Model 3 further adjusted for the variables in Model 2, along with SOFA score, CCI, WBC, creatinine, total bilirubin, vasopressor use, and CRRT. Restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis examined the non-linear relationship. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, along with the log-rank test, was applied to assess differences in primary outcomes across BAR quartiles. Additionally, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed.

Stratified and interaction analyses were conducted based on age, gender, race, SOFA score, diabetes, AKI, sepsis, vasopressor use, and CRRT. We conducted three separate sensitivity analyses. First, we excluded participants with missing data. Second, we performed analyses excluding patients with an ICU stay < 24 h. Finally, additional analyses were conducted excluding patients with end-stage renal disease and liver cirrhosis. In all analyses, the percentage of missing data for covariates was less than 10%. Details on missing variables are presented in Supplementary Table SII. Missing data were addressed using multiple imputation via the 'mice' package in R, with the random forest method employed for imputation.

The data analysis was conducted using R software version 4.4. *P*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## Results

## Patient characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the study population, stratified by BAR guartiles, are shown in Table I. The mean age was 59.2 ±17.2 years, with significant differences across quartiles (p < 0.001). Males comprised 57.1% of the cohort, with no significant gender differences between quartiles (p = 0.434). MBP decreased with higher BAR (p < 0.001), while AKI and sepsis were more prevalent in higher BAR quartiles (both p < 0.001). Significant differences were also observed in WBC, hemoglobin, serum albumin, BUN, and creatinine across quartiles (all p < 0.05). Patients with higher BAR were more likely to receive vasopressors, insulin, and CRRT (all p < 0.001). Hospital and ICU stavs were longer in higher BAR quartiles (both *p* < 0.001), with fewer VFD-28 (*p* < 0.001). Hospital mortality reached 31.1%, and ICU mortality was 21.3% in Quartile 4 (both *p* < 0.001).

<b>Table I.</b> Baseline characterist	cics of patients					
Variables	<b>Total (</b> <i>n</i> <b>= 650)</b>	Q1 (n = 163) BAR < 4.25	Q2 (n = 161) 4.25 ≤ BAR < 7.27	Q3 (n = 162) 7.27 ≤ BAR < 12.73	Q4 ( <i>n</i> = 164) 12.73 ≤ BAR	<i>P</i> -value
Age [years]	59.2 ±17.2	49.4 ±16.1	59.8±16.7	62.3 ±16.8	65.1±15.2	< 0.001
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)						0.434
Female	279 (42.9)	72 (44.2)	63 (39.1)	77 (47.5)	67 (40.9)	
Male	371 (57.1)	91 (55.8)	98 (60.9)	85 (52.5)	97 (59.1)	
Race, <i>n</i> (%)						0.513
Other	254 (39.1)	63 (38.7)	59 (36.6)	60 (37)	72 (43.9)	
White	396 (60.9)	100 (61.3)	102 (63.4)	102 (63)	92 (56.1)	
Vital signs						
Heart rate [bpm]	100 (85, 117)	102 (87, 117)	101 (84, 118)	98 (87, 117)	97 (82, 113)	0.195
MBP [mm Hg]	86 (73, 99)	93 (82, 102.5)	90 (77, 102)	85 (70, 96)	78 (69, 90)	< 0.001
SpO <sub>2</sub> (%)	96 (94, 99)	97 (95, 99)	96 (94, 99)	96 (93, 98)	97 (94, 99)	0.005
Score system [points]						
SOFA	5 (3, 9)	3 (1, 5)	4 (3, 7)	6 (4, 10)	9 (6, 12)	< 0.001
CCI	4 (2, 6)	2 (1, 4)	3 (2, 6)	4 (2, 6)	5 (3, 7)	< 0.001
Comorbidity disease, n (%)						
Hypertension	251 (38.6)	48 (29.4)	58 (36)	74 (45.7)	71 (43.3)	0.011
Obesity	72 (11.1)	17 (10.4)	19 (11.8)	22 (13.6)	14 (8.5)	0.52
Diabetes	195 (30.0)	38 (23.3)	48 (29.8)	50 (30.9)	59 (36)	0.097
AKI	478 (73.5)	90 (55.2)	112 (69.6)	126 (77.8)	150 (91.5)	< 0.001
Sepsis	452 (69.5)	79 (48.5)	106 (65.8)	125 (77.2)	142 (86.6)	< 0.001
Laboratory results						
WBC [k/µl]	12.6 (8.7, 18.1)	10.7 (7.1, 15.5)	12.8 (8.7, 18.7)	13.6 (9.5, 20.6)	13.8 (9.2, 19.8)	< 0.001
Hemoglobin [g/dl]	$11.3 \pm 2.5$	$11.6 \pm 2.2$	11.6 ±2.4	11.3 ±2.7	10.8 ±2.5	0.006
Albumin [g/dl]	2.9 (2.5, 3.4)	3.2 (2.8, 3.6)	2.9 (2.6, 3.4)	2.8 (2.4, 3.3)	2.7 (2.2, 3.1)	< 0.001
BUN [mg/dl]	20.0 (13.0, 36.0)	9.0 (6.0, 11.0)	16.0 (14.0, 19.0)	26.0 (23.0, 31.8)	55.0 (43.8, 77.0)	< 0.001
Creatinine [µmol/l]	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)	0.7 (0.5, 0.8)	0.9 (0.7, 1.1)	1.3 (0.9, 1.8)	2.8 (1.7, 4.8)	< 0.001
Glucose [mg/dl]	126 (103, 177)	118 (99, 153)	123 (103, 167)	133 (107, 183)	137 (102, 193)	0.02

Yun Huang, Chunyan Zhang, Meiqiu Li, Jun Mei, Yingxin Wu, Xia Xiang

Table I. Cont.	
Variables	
AST [U/I]	8(
ALT [U/I]	55
Total bilirubin [mg/dl]	

>	ariables	Total ( <i>n</i> = 650)	Q1 ( <i>n</i> = 163) BAR < 4.25	Q2 (n = 161) 4.25 ≤ BAR < 7.27	Q3 ( <i>n</i> = 162) 7.27 ≤ BAR < 12.73	Q4 (n = 164) 12.73 $\leq BAR$	<i>P</i> -value
	AST [U/I]	80.0 (38.2, 200.0)	63.0 (34.5, 140.0)	79.0 (37.0, 206.0)	90.5 (45.0, 256.0)	84.5 (41.0, 231.0)	0.049
	ALT [U/I]	55.0 (25.2, 170.8)	44.0 (24.0, 116.0)	60.0 (23.0, 204.0)	80.0 (29.0, 178.8)	49.5 (26.0, 127.5)	0.082
	Total bilirubin [mg/dl]	1.2 (0.6, 3.3)	0.9 (0.6, 1.9)	1.2 (0.7, 3.4)	1.5 (0.6, 3.6)	1.4 (0.6, 4.7)	0.008
<del>-</del>	nterventions, n (%)						
	Vasopressor	240 (36.9)	29 (17.8)	46 (28.6)	70 (43.2)	95 (57.9)	< 0.001
	Octreotide	68 (10.5)	15 (9.2)	11 (6.8)	15 (9.3)	27 (16.5)	0.028
	Statin	130 (20.0)	25 (15.3)	27 (16.8)	45 (27.8)	33 (20.1)	0.025
	Insulin	451 (69.4)	92 (56.4)	109 (67.7)	118 (72.8)	132 (80.5)	< 0.001
	Fibrate	84 (12.9)	21 (12.9)	22 (13.7)	22 (13.6)	19 (11.6)	0.94
	ERCP	34 (5.2)	8 (4.9)	8 (5)	11 (6.8)	7 (4.3)	0.763
	Ventilation	530 (81.5)	119 (73)	130 (80.7)	142 (87.7)	139 (84.8)	0.004
	CRRT	92 (14.2)	7 (4.3)	11 (6.8)	27 (16.7)	47 (28.7)	< 0.001
0	Jutcomes						
	Hospital stay [days]	12.9 (6.8, 23.6)	9.2 (5.8, 15.5)	11.7 (6.7, 22.7)	13.9 (7.8, 25.2)	16.9 (9.1, 30.1)	< 0.001
	ICU stay [days]	3.7 (1.8, 9.7)	2.9 (1.5, 5.1)	3.1 (1.7, 8.3)	4.4 (1.9, 11.1)	5.7 (2.2, 15.0)	< 0.001
	VFD-28 [days]	26.3 (22.2, 27.7)	27.2 (24.9, 28.0)	26.5 (22.4, 27.8)	25.3 (20.4, 27.3)	25.9 (19.5, 27.3)	< 0.001
	Hospital mortality, n (%)	96 (14.8)	4 (2.5)	16 (9.9)	25 (15.4)	51 (31.1)	< 0.001
	ICU mortality, n (%)	63 (9.7)	3 (1.8)	7 (4.3)	18 (11.1)	35 (21.3)	< 0.001
ΒA	R – blood urea nitrogen to serum a	albumin ratio, MBP – mean bloo	t pressure, SpO <sub>3</sub> – saturation of pe	ripheral oxygen, SOFA – sequentio	l organ failure assessment, CCI – Cl	narlson Comorbidity Index, AKI –	acute kidney i

WBC – white blood cells, BUN – blood urea nitrogen, AST – alanine aminotransferase, ALT – aspartate aminotransferase, ERCP – endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, CRRT – continuous renal replacement therapy, ICU – intensive care unit, VFD-28 – ventilator-free days at 28 days.

quartiles
AR
щ
the
between
compared
ΑP
with ,
n patients
.=
mortalit
ŝ
caus
<u> </u>
$\triangleleft$
÷
e
å
Ë

ality	$\chi^2$ <i>P</i> -value	64.988 < 0.001				
365-day morti	Non-survivors (n = 170)	12 (7.4)	35 (21.7)	48 (29.6)	75 (45.7)	
	Survivors ( <i>n</i> = 480)	151 (92.6)	126 (78.3)	114 (70.4)	89 (54.3)	
	<i>P</i> -value	< 0.001				
ortality	χ²	69.633				
90-day m	Non-survivors (n = 138)	7 (4.3)	25 (15.5)	39 (24.1)	67 (40.9)	
	Survivors (n = 512)	156 (95.7)	136 (84.5)	123 (75.9)	97 (59.1)	
Quartile	-	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	

BAR – blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio, AP – acute pancreatitis.

5

Arch Med Sci

# Association between BAR and all-cause mortality

Table II shows significant differences in 90day and 365-day mortality across BAR quartiles (p < 0.001), with survival rates decreasing as BAR levels increased at both time points. We employed LASSO regression to identify 13 relevant variables for the Cox regression analyses (Supplementary Figure S1). Table III indicates that BAR, both as a continuous and as a categorical variable, was significantly associated with 90day and 365-day mortality across all models. As a continuous variable, BAR was strongly associated with 90-day mortality, with an HR of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.02–1.06), and with 365-day mortality, where the HR was 1.04 (95% CI: 1.02–1.05), based on the results from model 3. When categorized into quartiles, higher BAR quartiles were

Table III. Cox proportional hazard model assessing all-cause mortality in patients with AP

Variables	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
90-day mortality						
Continuous	1.05 (1.04~1.06)	< 0.001	1.05 (1.03~1.06)	< 0.001	1.04 (1.02~1.06)	< 0.001
Quartile						
Q1	1 (ref)				1 (ref)	
Q2	3.08 (1.32~7.18)	0.009	2.91 (1.25~6.79)	0.013	2.33 (0.99~5.48)	0.052
Q3	4.67 (2.07~10.57)	< 0.001	4.32 (1.91~9.78)	< 0.001	2.77 (1.20~6.41)	0.017
Q4	8.77 (3.97~19.39)	< 0.001	7.03 (3.15~15.71)	< 0.001	3.76 (1.57~8.98)	0.003
P for trend		< 0.001		< 0.001		0.003
365-day mortality						
Continuous	1.04 (1.03~1.06)	< 0.001	1.04 (1.03~1.05)	< 0.001	1.04 (1.02~1.05)	< 0.001
Quartile						
Q1	1 (ref)				1 (ref)	
Q2	2.55 (1.31~4.94)	0.006	2.45 (1.26~4.76)	0.008	2.05 (1.05~4.00)	0.037
Q3	3.47 (1.82~6.61)	< 0.001	3.28 (1.72~6.26)	< 0.001	2.25 (1.15~4.38)	0.018
Q4	6.03 (3.23~11.27)	< 0.001	5.09 (2.69~9.65)	< 0.001	2.96 (1.45~6.01)	0.003
P for trend		< 0.001		< 0.001		0.005

Model 1: adjusted for age, gender. Model 2: adjusted for Model 1 plus obesity, diabetes, AKI. Model 3: adjusted for Model 2 plus SOFA, CCI, WBC, creatinine, total bilirubin, vasopressor, CRRT. AP – acute pancreatitis, AKI – acute kidney injury, SOFA – sequential organ failure assessment, CCI – Charlson Comorbidity Index, WBC – white blood cells, CRRT – continuous renal replacement therapy.



Figure 1. Flowchart of patient selection

MIMIC-IV – Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV, ICU – intensive care unit, AP – acute pancreatitis, BUN – blood urea nitrogen, BAR – blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio.

associated with an increased risk of mortality. In model 3, for 90-day mortality, compared to Q1 (reference), the HR for Q4 was 3.76 (95% CI: 1.57–8.98), and for 365-day mortality, Q4 had an HR of 2.96 (95% CI: 1.45–6.01). Figure 2 illustrates the RCS analysis of BAR and mortality. No non-linearity was observed (p = 0.176 for 90-day, p = 0.22 for 365-day), with mortality risk increasing as BAR rises.

#### Kaplan-Meier survival curve

Figure 3 shows that survival rates at 90 days and 365 days significantly decreased with increasing BAR quartiles. Patients in Quartile 4 exhibited the lowest survival, with significant differences observed across quartiles (p < 0.001).

#### Prediction of all-cause mortality by BAR

The ROC curves compare the predictive performance of BAR, BUN, albumin, and SOFA scores (Table IV and Figure 4). BAR had the highest AUC for both 90-day mortality (AUC = 0.738) and 365day mortality (AUC = 0.714), indicating superior predictive ability.

#### Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analysis indicated no significant interactions for age, gender, SOFA score, diabetes,



**Figure 2.** Restricted cubic spline analysis of the relationship between blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio (BAR) and the risk of (A) 90-day and (B) 365-day all-cause mortality



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for cumulative survival rates at 90 days (A) and 360 days (B) across different blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio (BAR) quartiles

Yun Huang, Chunyan Zhang, Meiqiu Li, Jun Mei, Yingxin Wu, Xia Xiang

Table IV. Prognostic accurac	y of markers for 90-da	y and 365-day mortality
------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------

Prognostic marker	Cut-off	Sensitivity	Specificity	AUC (95% CI)
90-day mortality				
BAR	9.37	0.667	0.705	0.738 (0.693~0.782)
BUN	23.5	0.717	0.641	0.720 (0.673~0.768)
Albumin	2.55	0.464	0.758	0.611 (0.554~0.668)
SOFA	7	0.616	0.748	0.720 (0.673~0.768)
365-day mortality				
BAR	9.37	0.624	0.715	0.714 (0.670~0.757)
BUN	26.5	0.606	0.723	0.701 (0.656~0.746)
Albumin	2.55	0.418	0.756	0.579 (0.527~0.632)
SOFA	7	0.559	0.752	0.694 (0.648~0.740)

BAR – blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio, BUN – blood urea nitrogen, SOFA – sequential organ failure assessment.



**Figure 4.** ROC curves of blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio (BAR) for predicting all-cause mortality. **A** – ROC curves of BAR for predicting 90-day mortality. **(B)** ROC curves of BAR for predicting 365-day mortality *BUN – blood urea nitrogen, SOFA – sequential organ failure assessment.* 

sepsis, vasopressor use, or ventilation (all p-values for interaction > 0.05) (Figure 5). The results remained stable across these subgroups.

#### Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the robustness of our results. Excluding participants with missing data resulted in a final cohort of 592 patients. Additional analyses were conducted after excluding those with an ICU stay < 24 h, leaving 584 patients. Finally, we excluded patients with end-stage renal disease and liver cirrhosis, leaving a total of 549 patients for further analysis. The results from all three sensitivity analyses were stable, as detailed in Supplementary Tables SIII–SV.

#### Discussion

This study investigated the association between the BAR and all-cause mortality in patients with AP. Our findings indicate a significant correlation between elevated BAR levels and increased mortality. Specifically, we observed HRs of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.02–1.06) for 90-day mortality and 1.04 (95% CI: 1.02–1.05) for 365-day mortality, suggesting that BAR may be a reliable prognostic marker. Furthermore, ROC analysis showed that BAR has strong predictive performance, with an AUC of 0.738 for 90-day mortality and 0.714 for 365-day mortality. These findings further support the potential of BAR as a valuable tool for risk stratification in AP patients. Given the simplicity of measuring BUN and albumin levels, BAR offers Increased blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio is associated with poor prognosis in patients with acute pancreatitis: a retrospective cohort study

Subgroup	Total	Event (%)	HR (95%C1)	P for interaction
Age				0.108
< 65	396	54 (13.6)	1.06 (1.02–1.1)	
≥ 65	254	84 (33.1)	1.04 (1.01–1.06)	-=-
Gender				0.425
Female	279	64 (22.9)	1.02 (1–1.05)	-
Male	371	74 (19.9)	1.05 (1.02–1.08)	
SOFA				0.799
< 5	284	29 (10.2)	1.18 (1.08–1.3)	
≥ 5	366	109 (29.8)	1.04 (1.01–1.06)	
Diabetes				0.708
No	455	101 (22.2)	1.04 (1.01–1.06)	
Yes	195	37 (19)	1.05 (1.01–1.08)	
ΑΚΙ				0.161
No	172	13 (7.6)	1.34 (1.14–1.58)	
Yes	478	125 (26.2)	1.03 (1.01–1.05)	-=-
Sepsis				0.602
No	198	23 (11.6)	1.03 (0.97–1.11)	
Yes	452	115 (25.4)	1.03 (1.01–1.06)	
Vasopressor				0.311
No	410	52 (12.7)	1.08 (1.04–1.12)	
Yes	240	86 (35.8)	1.03 (1.01–1.05)	-=-
Ventilation				0.555
No	120	18 (15)	1.06 (0.99–1.14)	<b>├ =</b>
Yes	530	120 (22.6)	1.04 (1.02–1.06)	-

HR (95% CI)

**Figure 5.** Association between BAR and 90-day mortality according to baseline characteristics. Each stratification was adjusted for all factors in Table III of Model 3 except for the stratification factor itself *SOFA – sequential organ failure assessment, AKI – acute kidney injury.* 

a convenient and accessible prognostic tool.

BUN and serum albumin are well-established biomarkers that influence the prognosis of AP. Elevated BUN levels often indicate renal impairment, which can result from decreased renal perfusion due to hypovolemia, a common complication in AP [18]. Renal dysfunction exacerbates disease severity and elevates mortality risk. A study found that a BUN level of  $\geq$  20 mg/dl at admission was significantly associated with a higher mortality risk, with an odds ratio of 4.3 (95% CI: 2.3–7.9) [19]. Additionally, a comparative study showed that elevated BUN levels were a significant indicator of both mortality and sustained multiorgan failure, with an AUC of 0.842, comparable to the BISAP score [20]. On the other hand, low serum albumin levels indicate malnutrition and impaired hepatic synthetic function, both of which portend poor outcomes in critically ill patients [21]. Hypoalbuminemia reduces oncotic pressure, contributing to fluid extravasation and organ dysfunction in the context of AP [22, 23].

BAR integrates these two markers to provide a composite reflection of both renal function and nutritional status. Its prognostic utility has been demonstrated across various critical illnesses. In patients with DKA, a study using data from the MIMIC-III database showed that higher BAR levels were strongly associated with increased mortality rates, both in-hospital and post-discharge. Specifically, patients with elevated BAR levels demonstrated a significantly reduced four-year survival

rate [24]. Similarly, in the context of COVID-19, elevated BAR was correlated with disease severity and 30-day mortality [25]. Research focusing on critically ill surgical patients also highlighted the relevance of BAR, demonstrating an independent association between elevated BAR levels and higher one-year post-hospital mortality. Using propensity score matching to confirm this association, the study emphasized BAR as a key factor influencing long-term outcomes in surgical ICU patients [26]. In patients with sepsis, higher BAR levels were associated with increased 30-day and 360-day mortality rates [12, 27]. Additionally, in patients experiencing acute pulmonary embolism, an elevated BAR was identified as an independent predictor of ICU and 28-day mortality, outperforming other scoring systems in mortality prediction [28]. Furthermore, in patients with coronary heart disease, a higher BAR was correlated with increased mortality rates, including in-hospital, 28-day, and 1-year mortality [29]. Emerging data support BAR's clinical utility in AP specifically. Efgan et al. reported that BAR values correlated with BISAP scores and predicted disease severity (AUC = 0.757, cutoff = 4.60). More recently, Bivik et al. found BAR to be an independent predictor of both severe AP and AKI, with an AUC of 0.849 at a cut-off of 5.192. Our findings align with and expand upon this body of evidence. In a large ICU cohort of AP patients, we found that elevated BAR was significantly associated with mortality.

Notably, approximately one-third of patients in the initial cohort lacked albumin measurements and were therefore excluded from the primary analysis. To explore the characteristics and potential implications of this missingness, we conducted a comparative analysis of patients with and without albumin data. The results showed that patients without albumin measurements were generally younger, had lower SOFA scores, and presented with lower rates of AKI, sepsis, and mortality. They also received fewer intensive interventions such as vasopressors, mechanical ventilation, and CRRT. These findings suggest that albumin testing was more likely to be ordered in patients with more severe illness, consistent with clinical decision-making practices in the ICU setting. Consequently, our final analytical cohort likely overrepresents patients with more critical illness. This introduces a selection bias, potentially limiting the generalizability of our findings to less severely ill AP patients. However, this also implies that the prognostic utility of BAR may be particularly robust in high-risk ICU populations, where early identification of patients at risk for deterioration is most valuable. Future prospective studies with systematic data collection are needed to validate these findings in broader clinical settings.

These findings have several important clinical implications. First, the BAR can be calculated from two inexpensive, routinely collected biomarkers, making it a practical option for widespread implementation. Second, its rapid availability at ICU admission enables early identification of highrisk patients, potentially prompting more timely interventions such as aggressive fluid resuscitation, nutritional support, or nephrology consultation. Third, BAR could serve as a complementary tool to existing severity scores such as APACHE II and Ranson criteria, particularly in time-constrained or resource-limited environments. Integrating BAR into clinical workflows or electronic medical record systems may further enhance early warning capabilities and improve triage decisions. Overall, its simplicity, objectivity, and strong prognostic value position BAR as a useful marker for guiding individualized care in critically ill AP patients.

This study provides novel evidence supporting the prognostic value of BAR in critically ill patients with AP. However, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the MIMIC-IV database lacks data on key etiological factors of AP, such as biliary pathology, alcohol use, and metabolic disorders, limiting the contextual interpretation of our findings. Second, important inflammatory biomarkers such as PCT and CRP were excluded due to high rates of missing data, which may have affected the comprehensiveness of our prognostic evaluation. Third, BUN levels can be influenced by dietary intake, potentially introducing confounding. Fourth, we relied solely on baseline BAR measurements and did not assess dynamic trends over time, which could have provided further insights into disease progression and treatment response. Fifth, although all laboratory values were collected within 24 h of ICU admission, the exact timing of symptom onset was not recorded in the MIMIC-IV database. Therefore, the interval between disease onset and blood sampling could not be determined. Finally, structured data on imaging findings - such as the presence of pancreatic necrosis - and clinical classification according to the revised Atlanta criteria were not available, precluding direct analysis of radiological severity. Future prospective studies incorporating standardized imaging, clinical assessments, and serial biomarker measurements are warranted to validate and extend our findings.

In conclusion, elevated BAR is significantly associated with increased mortality in patients with AP, highlighting its potential value as a prognostic marker in critical care settings. By incorporating BAR into routine clinical assessments, healthcare providers can enhance risk stratification and improve patient outcomes. Increased blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio is associated with poor prognosis in patients with acute pancreatitis: a retrospective cohort study

#### Data availability statement

The dataset used in this study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Acknowledgments

Yun Huang, Chunyan Zhang contributed to the manuscript equally.

#### Funding

No external funding.

#### Ethical approval

In this study, only retrospective patient data were collected for analysis, with no interventions or treatments involved. Additionally, all patient information in the MIMIC-IV database is anonymized. The database received approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Approval No.: 2001P-001699/14), and informed consent was waived.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Mederos MA, Reber HA, Girgis MD. Acute pancreatitis: a review. JAMA 2021; 325: 382-90.
- 2. Lee PJ, Papachristou GI. New insights into acute pancreatitis. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 16: 479-96.
- Silva-Vaz P, Abrantes AM, Castelo-Branco M, et al. Multifactorial scores and biomarkers of prognosis of acute pancreatitis: applications to research and practice. Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21: 338.
- 4. Lankisch PG, Apte M, Banks PA. Acute pancreatitis. Lancet 2015; 386: 85-96.
- 5. Tao H, Xu J, Li N, et al. Early identification of high-risk patients with recurrent acute pancreatitis progression to chronic pancreatitis. Arch Med Sci 2022; 18: 535-9.
- Tarján D, Szalai E, Lipp M, et al. Persistently high procalcitonin and c-reactive protein are good predictors of infection in acute necrotizing pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Mol Sci 2024; 25: 1273.
- 7. Simsek O, Kocael A, Kocael P, et al. Inflammatory mediators in the diagnosis and treatment of acute pancreatitis: pentraxin-3, procalcitonin and myeloperoxidase. Arch Med Sci 2018; 14: 288-96.
- 8. Arihan O, Wernly B, Lichtenauer M, et al. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) is independently associated with mortality in critically ill patients admitted to ICU. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0191697.
- 9. Checchio LM, Como AJ. Electrolytes, BUN, creatinine: who's at risk? Ann Emerg Med 1986; 15: 363-6.
- 10. Yamamoto M, Adachi H, Enomoto M, et al. Lower albumin levels are associated with frailty measures, trace elements, and an inflammation marker in a cross-sectional study in Tanushimaru. Environ Health Prev Med 2021; 26: 25.
- 11. Kaysen GA, Dubin JA, Müller HG, et al. Relationships among inflammation nutrition and physiologic mecha-

nisms establishing albumin levels in hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int 2002; 61: 2240-9.

- 12. Cai S, Wang Q, Chen C, et al. Association between blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio and in-hospital mortality of patients with sepsis in intensive care: a retrospective analysis of the fourth-generation Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care database. Front Nutr 2022; 9: 967332.
- 13. Chen H, Wang Y, Ji R, et al. Association between blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio and in-hospital mortality in critical patients with diabetic ketoacidosis: a retrospective analysis of the elCU database. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2024; 15: 1411891.
- 14. Shi Y, Duan H, Liu J, et al. Blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio is associated with all-cause mortality in patients with AKI: a cohort study. Front Nutr 2024; 11: 1353956.
- 15. Efgan MG. Comparison of the BUN/albumin ratio and BISAP score in predicting severity of acute pancreatitis. Cukurova Med J 2023; 48: 1096-05.
- Biyik Z, Biyik M, Yavuz YC, et al. The role of the BUN/ albumin ratio in predicting poor clinical outcomes in patients with acute pancreatitis. Niger J Clin Pract 2025; 28: 360-6.
- 17. Johnson AEW, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, et al. MIMIC-IV, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. Sci Data 2023; 10: 1.
- 18. Dai M, Fan Y, Pan P, et al. Blood urea nitrogen as a prognostic marker in severe acute pancreatitis. Dis Markers 2022; 2022: 7785497.
- 19. Wu BU, Bakker OJ, Papachristou GI, et al. Blood urea nitrogen in the early assessment of acute pancreatitis: an international validation study. Arch Intern Med 2011; 171: 669-76.
- 20. Pando E, Alberti P, Mata R, et al. Early changes in blood urea nitrogen (BUN) can predict mortality in acute pancreatitis: comparative study between BISAP Score, APACHE-II, and other laboratory markers-a prospective observational study. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 2021: 6643595.
- 21. Allison SP, Lobo DN. The clinical significance of hypoalbuminaemia. Clin Nutr 2024; 43: 909-14.
- 22. Hong W, Lin S, Zippi M, et al. Serum albumin is independently associated with persistent organ failure in acute pancreatitis. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 2017: 5297143.
- 23. Wu BU, Hwang JQ, Gardner TH, et al. Lactated Ringer's solution reduces systemic inflammation compared with saline in patients with acute pancreatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011; 9: 710-7.
- 24. Hang T, Huang J, He G, et al. Blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio as a new prognostic indicator in critically ill patients with diabetic ketoacidosis: a retrospective cohort study. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2024; 132: 249-59.
- 25. Alirezaei T, Hooshmand S, Irilouzadian R, et al. The role of blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio in the prediction of severity and 30-day mortality in patients with COVID-19. Health Sci Rep 2022; 5: e606.
- 26. Nguyen KN, Chuang TI, Wong LT, et al. Association between early blood urea nitrogen-to-albumin ratio and one-year post-hospital mortality in critically ill surgical patients: a propensity score-matched study. BMC Anesthesiol 2023; 23: 247.
- 27. Wang Y, Gao S, Hong L, et al. Prognostic impact of blood urea nitrogen to albumin ratio on patients with sepsis: a retrospective cohort study. Sci Rep 2023; 13: 10013.

Yun Huang, Chunyan Zhang, Meiqiu Li, Jun Mei, Yingxin Wu, Xia Xiang

- 28. Fang J, Xu B. Blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio independently predicts mortality in critically ill patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2021; 27: 10760296211010241.
- 29. Zhang L, Xing M, Yu Q, et al. Blood urea nitrogen to serum albumin ratio: a novel mortality indicator in intensive care unit patients with coronary heart disease. Sci Rep 2024; 14: 7466.