miRNA-mediated regulation of extracellular matrix dynamics across breast cancer subtypes

Keywords

breast cancer, extracellular matrix, micro RNA

Abstract

Introduction

Interactions between extracellular signals with the extracellular matrix (ECM) influence cellular phenotype and molecular functions, affecting proliferation, differentiation, adhesion, apoptosis, and migration. Deregulation of ECM remodeling contributes to the development of diseases, including breast cancer. The study aimed was to identify microRNAs (miRNAs) that may regulate the activity of genes involved in ECM remodeling and focal adhesion across five breast cancer subtypes in Polish women.

Material and methods

The study enrolled patients representing five breast cancer subtypes: 130 luminal A, 100 HER2-negative luminal B, 96 HER2-positive luminal B, 36 non-luminal HER2-positive, 43 triplenegative breast cancer (TNBC) cases. Cancer tissue samples were collected during surgery along with adjacent healthy tissue margins (control group). The expression profiles of genes associated with ECM remodeling and focal adhesion were evaluated with mRNA microarrays and reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Protein expression was assessed using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). miRNA detection and target prediction were performed using miRNA microarrays.

Results

Overexpression of COL1A1, FN1, ITGB1, and THBS1 may be associated with reduced levels of miR-129, miR-432, miR-124, miR-384, respectively. Decreased COL6A6 expression may result from increased activity of miR-1246. Additionally, the study revealed increased levels of COL1A2, COMP, SPP1 with reduced activity of RELN, across all five breast cancer subtypes.

Conclusions

This is the first study to comprehensively analyze miRNA-mediated regulation of ECM-related genes across five breast cancer subtypes in a Polish cohort. Overexpression of COL1A1, FN1, and ITGB1 is linked to reduced levels of specific miRNAs, while decreased COL6A6 expression is associated with increased miR-1246 activity.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in women and the leading cause of cancer-related deaths globally [1]. According to the National Cancer Registry in Poland, breast cancer accounted for 23.55% of all cancers among women in 2022 and was the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths. Notably, it accounted for 27.6% of both cancer cases and deaths among young women (aged 20–44) [2].

Breast cancer diagnosis involves classification based on the presence or absence of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) [3]. Luminal A is the most frequently diagnosed subtype, characterized by the presence of ER and PR, with HER2 being absent. It is considered non-aggressive and has a good prognosis[4]. Luminal B subtype is ER-positive and can be either HER2-negative or HER2-positive. It is associated with a worse prognosis due to its higher proliferative potential than luminal A [5]. In the case of the non-luminal HER2-positive subtype, HER2 is expressed, while ER and PR are absent on the cell surface [6]. Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) is defined by the absence of ER, PR, and HER2, and is considered the most aggressive subtype [7].

The main part of solid tumors is composed of cancer cells and the tumor microenvironment, which includes cancer-associated fibroblasts, immune cells, adipocytes, endothelial cells, and the extracellular matrix (ECM) [8]. Interactions of extracellular signals and the ECM influence cellular phenotype and molecular functions, affecting cell proliferation, differentiation, adhesion, apoptosis, and migration [9]. These interactions are primarily mediated by integrins under both physiological and pathological conditions [10]. The ECM mainly contains glycoproteins, proteoglycans, matricellular proteins, including secreted phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1) and thrombospondin (THBS), as well as structural proteins such as collagen (COL), laminins (LAM), and tenascin (TN) [9, 11]. Remodeling of the ECM basement membrane is required for cancer cells migration into the surrounding stroma and for tumor formation [12]. Depending on the extent of ECM proteins degradation, local invasion or intravasation into the vascular system may occur, leading to migration to distant sites and the formation of metastases [13].

The ECM also undergoes dynamic remodeling in breast cancer, contributing to tumor progression [14]. Type I collagen, encoded by *COL1A1* and *COL1A2*, is the most abundant and plays a major role in maintaining tissue integrity. Its accumulation stiffens the tumor stroma and facilitates invasion and metastasis [15]. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are known to mediate collagen remodeling, promoting cancer cell migration and progression [16].

Studies indicate that type I collagen stiffens the ECM, which causes the stroma of cancer tissue to appear stiffer than that of healthy tissue [17]. Acerbi et al. demonstrated that collagen deposition, linearization, and thickening are associated with the development and progression of breast cancer. They found that stromal stiffness and its heterogeneity were greater in aggressive breast cancer subtypes compared to less aggressive luminal A and B subtypes [18]. Cao et al. further highlighted that stiffer breast cancer tissue enhances cancer cell growth and survival, while also activating migration-related signaling pathways [19]. Interestingly, the ECM also plays a role in promoting resistance to breast cancer treatments, including endocrine-targeted therapy, chemotherapy, and radiation. Therefore, a deeper understanding of ECM structure and regulation may provide novel therapeutic targets for breast cancer [20]. Moreover, microRNAs (miRNAs) play a significant role in cancer by regulating the expression of target genes, adding another layer of complexity to tumor biology [21].

The aim of this study was to identify miRNAs that may potentially regulate the activity of genes involved in ECM remodeling and focal adhesion across five subtypes of breast cancer in Polish women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

The study enrolled 405 patients with various breast cancer subtypes. 130 samples were qualified as luminal A subtype, 100 samples as HER2-negative luminal B, 96 samples as HER2-positive luminal B, 36 samples as non-luminal HER2-positive, 43 samples as triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). The control group consisted of margin samples of healthy tissue collected during the surgery. Tissue samples were differentiated into neoplastic and non-neoplastic by pathological evaluation. All patients in the study were classified as T1N0M0. Patient characteristics are listed in **Table I**.

The study was carried out in accordance with the 2013 Helsinki Declaration. It was approved on March 10, 2023 by the Bioethical Committee of the Regional Medical Chamber in Krakow (81/KBL/OIL/2023). Informed consent was collected from all patients.

Total ribonucleic acid (RNA) extraction

TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA; cat. no. 15596026) was used to obtain total RNA. The RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany; cat. no. 74104) and DNase I (Fermentas International Inc., Burlington, ON, Canada; cat. no. 18047019) were used to purify the obtained extracts. To evaluate the quality and quantity of the extracts, electrophoresis was performed in a 1% agarose gel and absorbance was measured.

mRNA microarrays

Microarray analysis was performed using the HG-U133A 2_0 arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, USA) and the GeneChip[™] 3'IVT PLUS kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, USA; cat. no. 902416). The Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) pathway map was used to generate a gene list. From ECMreceptor interaction (hsa04512) and focal adhesion (hsa04510), overlapping genes were selected. A list of 65 genes was obtained, which corresponded to 187 mRNAs on the microarray.

Reverse Transcription Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR)

The next step was to validate the determined expression profile using RT-qPCR with the SensiFast SYBR No-ROX One-Step Kit (Bioline, London, UK). 9 genes whose activity significantly changed in each breast cancer subtype were selected for analysis: collagen type I alpha 1 (*COL1A1*), collagen type I alpha 2 (*COL1A2*), collagen type VI alpha 6 (*COL6A6*), cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (*COMP*), fibronectin 1 (*FN1*), integrin beta-1 (*ITGB1*), reelin (*RELN*), secreted phosphoprotein 1 (*SPP1*), thrombospondin 1 (*THBS1*) (**Table II**). Calculations were performed with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. β -actin (*ACTB*) was used as an endogenous control [22].

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

Protein expression profile was assessed with ELISA (Abbexa, Cambridge, UK) using the following kits: COL1A1 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS703198), COL1A2 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS036858), COL6A6 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS7269131), COMP kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS765927), FN1 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS765927), FN1 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS765285), ITGB1 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS761086), RELN kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS761086), RELN kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS761086), RELN kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS904954), SPP1 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS2880407), THBS1 kit (MyBioSource, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; cat. no. MBS701627).

miRNA profiling and prediction

Microarrays miRNA 2.0 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, USA), FlashTag Biotin HSR RNA Labeling Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, USA), Hybridization Wash and Stain Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, USA) were used to identify miRNAs differentiating breast cancer from the control. The mirDB tool (http://mirdb.org) was used to predict which miRNAs could regulate the expression of *COL1A1*, *COL1A2*, *COL6A6*, *COMP*, *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *RELN*, *SPP1*, *THBS1*. To increase the reliability of predictions, a target score of \geq 80 was set [23].

Statistical Analysis

The results of microarray experiments were analyzed using Transcriptome Analysis Console (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's post hoc test were carried out (p<0.05; FC>2 or FC<-2). The RT- qPCR and ELISA results were analyzed using Statistica 13.3 (StatSoft, Krakow, Poland). Data distribution was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The lack of normal distribution allowed the use of Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's tests.

Based on approximately 19,620 women diagnosed with breast cancer in Poland in 2019 [24], assuming a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error, the recommended group size was 377, as calculated using the sampling calculator [25].

Overall survival (OS) analysis for each breast cancer subtype was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier plotter (http://kmplot.com/; accessed: June 27, 2024) [26, 27]. The follow-up threshold was 60 months.

RESULTS

Gene Expression Profile Assessed with mRNA Microarrays

Among 187 mRNAs corresponding to 65 genes associated with the ECM remodeling and focal adhesion, one-way ANOVA revealed that 37 mRNAs significantly changed their expression in breast cancer compared to the control (p<0.05; FC>2 or <-2). Tukey's post-hoc test showed that 14 mRNAs significantly changed their expression in luminal A subtype, 20 mRNAs in HER2-negative luminal B subtype, 22 mRNAs in HER2-negative luminal B subtype, 32 mRNAs in non-luminal HER2-positive subtype, 34 mRNAs in TNBC. **Figure 1** shows a Venn diagram of characteristic and common genes.

The analysis showed that overexpression of *LAMA4* and *THBS2* significantly differentiated TNBC from the control. For the remaining subtypes, no characteristic genes meeting the conditions adopted in the study were recorded. *IBSP* overexpression was observed in luminal A and B subtypes. In addition, high levels of *LAMC2* with reduced activity of *ITGA7*, *TNXA*, *TNXB* were observed in all subtypes except luminal A.

Interestingly, significant overexpression was also noted for *VWF* in HER2-positive luminal B, non-luminal HER2-positive and TNBC. High levels of *COL6A1*, *COL6A2*, *COL6A3*, *ITGB4*, *ITGB6*, *LAMB1*, *TNC* common to non-luminal HER2-positive and TNBC were also reported. Furthermore, 9 genes significantly changed expression regardless of breast cancer subtype: COL1A1, COL1A2, COL6A6, COMP, FN1, ITGB1, RELN, SPP1, THBS1 (Table III).

Regardless of the cancer subtype, significant overexpression of *COL1A1*, *COL1A2*, *COMP*, *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *SPP1*, *THBS1* with reduced activity of *COL6A6* and *RELN* was reported in the study.

COL1A1, COL1A2, COL6A6, COMP, FN1, ITGB1, RELN, SPP1, THBS1 expression evaluated with RT-qPCR and ELISA

RT-qPCR was then used to assess the expression profile of *COL1A1*, *COL1A2*, *COL6A6*, *COMP*, *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *RELN*, *SPP1*, *THBS1* differentiating breast cancer regardless of its subtype (Figure 2).

The expression profile determined by RT-qPCR corresponded to the microarray results. The next step included assessing the concentration of the studied genes at the protein level (**Table IV**).

The level of COL1A1, COL1A2, COMP, FN1, ITGB1, SPP1, THBS1 proteins was significantly increased in all breast cancer subtypes compared to the control group, which was consistent with the microarray and RT-qPCR analysis. COL6A6 protein reached level below detection in all cancer samples, whereas the protein concentration of RELN was also decreased and possible to detect only in luminal A cancer.

miRNA Target Prediction

The last step of the study was to verify whether miRNAs differentiating breast cancer from the control could be involved in the regulation of the expression of *COL1A1*, *COL1A2*, *COL6A6*, *COMP*, *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *RELN*, *SPP1*, *THBS1* (**Table V**).

The analysis showed that the expression of *COL1A2*, *COMP*, *RELN*, *SPP1* is probably not regulated by miRNAs identified by microarrays and prediction criteria. Overexpression of *COL1A1*, *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *THBS1* may be associated with reduced levels of miR-129, miR-432, miR-124, miR-384, respectively. The analysis also showed that decreased *COL6A6* expression may be a consequence of increased activity of miR-1246.

Overall Survival (OS) Analysis

Overall survival analysis was carried out for 9 genes selected in the study: of *COL1A1, COL1A2, COL6A6, COMP, FN1, ITGB1, RELN, SPP1, THBS1*. For each cancer subtype, only graphs with p < 0.05 were presented (**Figure 3-7**).

In luminal A cancer, worse survival was associated with downregulated *COL1A1*, *COL6A6*, and overexpression of FN1 (**Figure 3**).

In HER2-negative luminal B cancer, reduced levels of *COL6A6* and *SPP1* negatively impacted overall survival (**Figure4**).

In HER2-positive luminal B cancer, decreased *COL1A2* activity and overexpressed *ITGB1* were associated with worse prognosis (**Figure 5**).

The analysis also revealed a negative impact of *COL1A2*, *COMP*, *ITGB1*, *RELN* overexpression on overall survival in non-luminal HER2-positive cancer. (Figure 6).

In TNBC, a high level of *COMP* was linked to worse overall survival. In addition, decreased activity of *SPP1* may also negatively affect the prognosis, although caution should be exercised due to the p-value close to 0.05 (**Figure 7**).

DISCUSSION

In our study, we analyzed the expression levels of ECM remodeling and focal adhesion-related genes across 5 molecular subtypes of breast cancer: luminal A, HER2negative luminal B, HER2-positive luminal B, non-luminal HER2-positive, TNBC. We identified 9 genes that were consistently dysregulated regardless of the subtype. These findings were confirmed at both the mRNA and protein levels. Overexpression was observed for *COL1A1*, *COL1A2*, *COMP*, *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *SPP1*, *THBS1*, while *COL6A6* and *RELN* were downregulated. Further miRNA target predictions revealed potential regulators contributing to these changes.

Recent studies have highlighted the diagnostic and prognostic relevance of miRNA– mRNA interactions in breast cancer. For instance, Kong et al. demonstrated that circPLK1 promotes TNBC progression by sponging miR-296-5p, thereby reducing its tumorsuppressive activity [28]. Similarly, Wu et al. identified a novel circKIF4A–miR-637– *STAT3* axis that facilitates brain metastasis in TNBC, emphasizing the clinical importance of miRNA-mediated regulatory networks [29]. Lu et al. further reported that miR-214-3p suppresses breast cancer cell proliferation and improves the tumor immune microenvironment by downregulating *B7H3*, revealing its dual role as a tumor suppressor and immune modulator [30]. These findings emphasize the emerging importance of noncoding RNA networks in breast cancer progression and treatment resistance. While earlier studies have primarily investigated individual miRNA–mRNA pairs, our integrated analysis across transcriptomic, proteomic, and predictive miRNA layers offers a broader, subtype-specific perspective on ECM remodeling in breast cancer.

COL1A1 and COL1A2, which encode the main chains of type I collagen, were consistently overexpressed across all subtypes in our study. Type I collagen accumulation, largely mediated by CAFs, increases ECM stiffness, thereby promoting cancer cell invasion and metastasis [16]. Liu et al. reported elevated COL1A1 levels in breast cancer, particularly in ER-positive tumors, and linked this to poor survival outcomes [31]. Ma et al. demonstrated that downregulation of COL1A1 inhibits tumor growth by inhibiting CAFs activation and ECM remodeling in the tumor microenvironment [32]. Yang et al. reported elevated COL1A2 expression across all breast cancer subtypes and linked it to poor overall survival and relapse-free survival in HER2-positive patients [33]. Our results support these findings and further demonstrate that *COL1A2* overexpression is significantly associated with reduced overall survival in non-luminal HER2-positive patients. In silico analysis also suggests that downregulation of miR-129 may contribute to the observed COL1A1 upregulation, while no miRNAs meeting our criteria were identified as regulators of COL1A2. Previous studies confirm reduced miR-129 levels in breast cancer and its tumorsuppressive role, including the inhibition of proliferation and chemoresistance [34–37]. However, Serijono et al. described miR-129 overexpression in TNBC, proposing a possible context-dependent oncogenic function [38].

We also observed downregulation of *COL6A6* and *RELN* in all breast cancer subtypes. Low *COL6A6* has been associated with reduced overall survival in luminal A and HER2-negative luminal B cancers. Known as a tumor suppressor, *COL6A6* has been reported to inhibit growth and metastasis in other cancer types [39, 40], and its loss has been described in breast cancer cohorts [41, 42]. Yeh et al. identified both *COL6A6* and

RELN as downregulated in breast cancer [42], consistent with our observations across all subtypes. Our analysis showed that miR-1246 overexpression may contribute to *COL6A6* downregulation. Multiple studies highlight miR-1246 as a biomarker of breast cancer [43] and a promoter of a drug resistance and invasiveness [44], though conflicting evidence suggests a suppressive role in TNBC metastasis via epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [45]. Notably, miR-1246 derived from TNBC exosomes can activate stromal fibroblasts, enhancing invasion and migration [46].

COMP and *SPP1* were overexpressed across all subtypes and have been linked to breast cancer aggressiveness. Hanitrarimalala et al. showed that *COMP*, a member of the thrombospondin family, has been implicated in promoting breast cancer stemness and invasiveness [47]. It is considered a potential predictive marker of metastasis, particularly in ER+ and HER2-positive tumors [48, 49]. In our cohort, high *COMP* expression was associated with reduced survival in non-luminal HER2-positive and TNBC patients. *SPP1* is similarly implicated in invasion and metastasis [50, 51]. Interestingly, reduced *SPP1* levels were associated with poorer survival in HER2-negative luminal B and marginally in TNBC, suggesting a dual role that may depend on tumor context. Our findings extend previous reports by demonstrating that *COMP* overexpression is not only relevant in ER+ or HER2+ tumors but also carries prognostic significance in TNBC. Neither gene was predicted to be regulated by the miRNAs selected in our analysis.

miRNA predictions for *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *THBS1* suggest that their overexpression may result from the downregulation of miR-432, miR-124, miR-384, respectively. *FN1* is involved in host defense, adhesion, proliferation, wound healing, metastasis [52], with elevated expression linked to poor prognosis [53]. Notably, *FN1* correlates with immune cell infiltration and immune checkpoint activity, offering potential for immunotherapy

stratification [54]. LINC02381 and miR-1271 interactions [55], as well as miR-200b suppression in doxorubicin-resistant breast cancer cells [56], have been implicated in *FN* regulation. In our analysis, we found a potential link between *FN1* and miR-432, whose low activity promotes proliferation, invasion, and migration of breast cancer cells [57].

ITGB1, which connects ECM to the cytoskeleton and mediates signal transduction, plays a central role in metastasis and therapy resistance [58, 59]. Rana et al. identified WAVE2/miR-29/*ITGB1* signaling axis, critical for tumor growth regulation and metastasis in TNBC. It was also confirmed that increased *ITGB1* expression is associated with worse survival [60]. Our analysis showed that *ITGB1* overexpression negatively affected overall survival in luminal and non-luminal HER2-positive subtypes. We also found potential regulation by miR-124, whose loss has been widely linked to increased proliferation and chemoresistance [61–63].

THBS1 presents a dual role in cancer. While it inhibits angiogenesis and may suppress tumor growth, its expression in stromal cells may facilitate metastasis [64]. Li et al. reported that overexpression of serum *THBS1* in HER2-positive patients was linked to brain metastases [65]. Furthermore, *THBS1* inhibition could interfere with metastasis and improve the efficacy of TNBC immunotherapy [66]. Our findings suggest that miR-384 downregulation may contribute to *THBS1* overexpression. Low miR-384 levels promote the proliferation and invasion of breast cancer cells [67, 68].

Taken together, our findings highlight a consistent ECM-related signature across all breast cancer subtypes, with subtype-specific survival associations and potential miRNA regulators. In summary, our findings complement and expand the existing literature by identifying both shared and subtype-specific ECM gene dysregulation patterns, linking them with predicted miRNA regulators and clinical outcomes. These insights may support

the development of personalized therapeutic strategies, particularly for aggressive or treatment-resistant subtypes.

The limitation of our study may be the different group sizes, with the smallest number of non-luminal HER-positive and TNBC patients. The material collected for the study comes from Polish women, which may potentially reduce the applicability of the presented results.

CONCLUSIONS

This study comprehensively characterized the expression of ECM remodeling and focal adhesion-related genes across five molecular subtypes of breast cancer in Polish patients. Nine genes (*COL1A1*, *COL1A2*, *COMP*, *FN1*, *ITGB1*, *SPP1*, *THBS1*, *COL6A6*, *RELN*) were consistently dysregulated regardless of subtype, with expression patterns correlating with patient survival. Bioinformatic miRNA prediction identified five miRNAs (miR-129, miR-1246, miR-432, miR-124, miR-384) potentially responsible for modulating these genes.

The observed changes highlight a common ECM-related signature in breast cancer that intensifies with tumor aggressiveness. These findings provide new insight into the molecular landscape of breast cancer and suggest that targeting ECM–miRNA interactions could offer novel therapeutic opportunities, particularly in subtypes characterized by poor prognosis or treatment resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.S. and A.S.; methodology, D.B. and M.O.; software, B.O.G. and P.B.; validation, D.S. and K.K.-J.; investigation, T.S.; resources,

M.C., P.O., K.D., J.S.; J.G., G.W. and K.B.; data curation, B.O.G. and N.Z.; writing-

original draft preparation, T.S., A.S. and B.O.G.; writing-review and editing, T.S., A.S.

and B.O.G.; supervision, T.S. and B.O.G.; project administration, B.O.G. All authors have

read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: Ethical considerations were paramount throughout

this study, adhering to the principles outlined in the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki

concerning human experimentation. Approval was obtained from the Bioethical

Committee of the Regional Medical Chamber in Krakow, under reference number

81/KBL/OIL/2023, dated 10 March 2023.

Informed consent statement: Not applicable.

Data availability: All data was included in the paper.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, et al. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2024; 74: 229–263.
- [2] Raporty | Krajowy Rejestr Nowotworów, http://onkologia.org.pl/pl/raporty (accessed 17 May 2025).
- [3] Yang X, Smirnov A, Buonomo OC, et al. A primary luminal/HER2 negative breast cancer patient with mismatch repair deficiency. *Cell Death Discov* 2023; 9: 365.
- [4] Łukasiewicz S, Czeczelewski M, Forma A, et al. Breast Cancer-Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Classification, Prognostic Markers, and Current Treatment Strategies-An Updated Review. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021; 13: 4287.
- [5] Yang Z-J, Liu Y-X, Huang Y, et al. The regrouping of Luminal B (HER2 negative), a better discriminator of outcome and recurrence score. *Cancer Med* 2023; 12: 2493– 2504.

- [6] Falato C, Schettini F, Pascual T, et al. Clinical implications of the intrinsic molecular subtypes in hormone receptor-positive and HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2023; 112: 102496.
- [7] Thomas A, Reis-Filho JS, Geyer CE, et al. Rare subtypes of triple negative breast cancer: Current understanding and future directions. *NPJ Breast Cancer* 2023; 9: 55.
- [8] Poltavets V, Kochetkova M, Pitson SM, et al. The Role of the Extracellular Matrix and Its Molecular and Cellular Regulators in Cancer Cell Plasticity. *Front Oncol* 2018; 8: 431.
- [9] Winkler J, Abisoye-Ogunniyan A, Metcalf KJ, et al. Concepts of extracellular matrix remodelling in tumour progression and metastasis. *Nat Commun* 2020; 11: 5120.
- [10] Sainio A, Järveläinen H. Extracellular matrix-cell interactions: Focus on therapeutic applications. *Cell Signal* 2020; 66: 109487.
- [11] Mouw JK, Ou G, Weaver VM. Extracellular matrix assembly: a multiscale deconstruction. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2014; 15: 771–785.
- [12] Siddhartha R, Garg M. Interplay Between Extracellular Matrix Remodeling and Angiogenesis in Tumor Ecosystem. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2023; 22: 291–305.
- [13] Pramanik D, Jolly MK, Bhat R. Matrix adhesion and remodeling diversifies modes of cancer invasion across spatial scales. *J Theor Biol* 2021; 524: 110733.
- [14] Mohan V, Das A, Sagi I. Emerging roles of ECM remodeling processes in cancer. Seminars in Cancer Biology 2020; 62: 192–200.
- [15] Shi R, Zhang Z, Zhu A, et al. Targeting type I collagen for cancer treatment. *Int J Cancer* 2022; 151: 665–683.
- [16] Li X, Sun X, Kan C, et al. COL1A1: A novel oncogenic gene and therapeutic target in malignancies. *Pathology Research and Practice* 2022; 236: 154013.
- [17] Jena MK, Janjanam J. Role of extracellular matrix in breast cancer development: a brief update. *F1000Res* 2018; 7: 274.
- [18] Acerbi I, Cassereau L, Dean I, et al. Human breast cancer invasion and aggression correlates with ECM stiffening and immune cell infiltration. *Integr Biol (Camb)* 2015; 7: 1120–1134.
- [19] Cao H, Lee MKH, Yang H, et al. Mechanoregulation of Cancer-Associated Fibroblast Phenotype in Three-Dimensional Interpenetrating Hydrogel Networks. *Langmuir* 2019; 35: 7487–7495.
- [20] Zhao Y, Zheng X, Zheng Y, et al. Extracellular Matrix: Emerging Roles and Potential Therapeutic Targets for Breast Cancer. *Front Oncol*; 11. Epub ahead of print 22 April 2021. DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2021.650453.
- [21] Ghafouri-Fard S, Khanbabapour Sasi A, Abak A, et al. Contribution of miRNAs in the Pathogenesis of Breast Cancer. *Front Oncol* 2021; 11: 768949.

- [22] Liu L-L, Zhao H, Ma T-F, et al. Identification of valid reference genes for the normalization of RT-qPCR expression studies in human breast cancer cell lines treated with and without transient transfection. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0117058.
- [23] Chen Y, Wang X. miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional microRNA targets. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2020; 48: D127–D131.
- [24] Krajowy Rejestr Nowotworów. Nowotwór piersi -2019., https://onkologia.org.pl/sites/default/files/Pier%C5%9B.pdf.
- [25] Kalkulator doboru próby, https://www.naukowiec.org/dobor.html (accessed 19 April 2022).
- [26] Győrffy B. Integrated analysis of public datasets for the discovery and validation of survival-associated genes in solid tumors. *Innovation (Camb)* 2024; 5: 100625.
- [27] Győrffy B. Transcriptome-level discovery of survival-associated biomarkers and therapy targets in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Pharmacol* 2024; 181: 362–374.
- [28] Kong Y, Yang L, Wei W, et al. CircPLK1 sponges miR-296-5p to facilitate triplenegative breast cancer progression. *Epigenomics* 2019; 11: 1163–1176.
- [29] Wu S, Lu J, Zhu H, et al. A novel axis of circKIF4A-miR-637-STAT3 promotes brain metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Lett* 2024; 581: 216508.
- [30] Lu Y, Wang K, Peng Y, et al. Hsa-miR-214-3p inhibits breast cancer cell growth and improves the tumor immune microenvironment by downregulating B7H3. *Oncol Res* 2025; 33: 103–121.
- [31] Liu J, Shen J-X, Wu H-T, et al. Collagen 1A1 (COL1A1) promotes metastasis of breast cancer and is a potential therapeutic target. *Discov Med* 2018; 25: 211–223.
- [32] Ma B, Li F, Ma B. Down-regulation of COL1A1 inhibits tumor-associated fibroblast activation and mediates matrix remodeling in the tumor microenvironment of breast cancer. *Open Life Sci* 2023; 18: 20220776.
- [33] Yang Z, Sun R, Qu G, et al. Identification of key genes in HER2-positive breast cancer with brain metastasis via bioinformatics methods. *Transl Cancer Res* 2023; 12: 1112–1127.
- [34] Meng R, Fang J, Yu Y, et al. miR-129-5p suppresses breast cancer proliferation by targeting CBX4. *Neoplasma* 2018; 65: 572–578.
- [35] Zeng H, Wang L, Wang J, et al. microRNA-129-5p suppresses Adriamycin resistance in breast cancer by targeting SOX2. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 2018; 651: 52–60.
- [36] Tang Q, Zhou F, Yang C, et al. CircINTS4 Facilitates Chemoresistance of TNBC by Competitively Binding miR-129-5p/POM121 Axis. *Journal of Oncology* 2022; 2022: 2630864.

- [37] Li Q, Gu Z, Tan Q, et al. MicroRNA-129-1-3p Represses the Progression of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer by Targeting the GRIN2D Gene. *Biomed Res Int* 2022; 2022: 1549357.
- [38] Setijono SR, Park M, Kim G, et al. miR-218 and miR-129 regulate breast cancer progression by targeting Lamins. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2018; 496: 826– 833.
- [39] Long R, Liu Z, Li J, et al. COL6A6 interacted with P4HA3 to suppress the growth and metastasis of pituitary adenoma via blocking PI3K-Akt pathway. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2019; 11: 8845–8859.
- [40] Ma Y, Qiu M, Guo H, et al. Comprehensive Analysis of the Immune and Prognostic Implication of COL6A6 in Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Front Oncol* 2021; 11: 633420.
- [41] Srour MK, Gao B, Dadmanesh F, et al. Gene expression comparison between primary triple-negative breast cancer and paired axillary and sentinel lymph node metastasis. *Breast J* 2020; 26: 904–910.
- [42] Yeh M-H, Tzeng Y-J, Fu T-Y, et al. Extracellular Matrix-receptor Interaction Signaling Genes Associated with Inferior Breast Cancer Survival. *Anticancer Res* 2018; 38: 4593–4605.
- [43] Huynh KQ, Le AT, Phan TT, et al. The Diagnostic Power of Circulating miR-1246 in Screening Cancer: An Updated Meta-analysis. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2023; 2023: 8379231.
- [44] Dai Y, Pan Y, Quan M, et al. MicroRNA-1246 Mediates Drug Resistance and Metastasis in Breast Cancer by Targeting NFE2L3. *Front Oncol* 2021; 11: 677168.
- [45] Wang P, Chen W, Zhang Y, et al. MicroRNA-1246 suppresses the metastasis of breast cancer cells by targeting the DYRK1A/PGRN axis to prevent the epithelialmesenchymal transition. *Mol Biol Rep* 2022; 49: 2711–2721.
- [46] Scognamiglio I, Cocca L, Puoti I, et al. Exosomal microRNAs synergistically trigger stromal fibroblasts in breast cancer. *Molecular Therapy - Nucleic Acids* 2022; 28: 17–31.
- [47] Hanitrarimalala V, Bednarska I, Murakami T, et al. Intracellular cartilage oligomeric matrix protein augments breast cancer resistance to chemotherapy. *Cell Death Dis* 2024; 15: 1–14.
- [48] Papadakos KS, Darlix A, Jacot W, et al. High Levels of Cartilage Oligomeric Matrix Protein in the Serum of Breast Cancer Patients Can Serve as an Independent Prognostic Marker. *Front Oncol* 2019; 9: 1141.
- [49] Papadakos KS, Hagerling C, Rydén L, et al. High Levels of Expression of Cartilage Oligomeric Matrix Protein in Lymph Node Metastases in Breast Cancer Are Associated with Reduced Survival. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021; 13: 5876.
- [50] Li Y, Hua K, Jin J, et al. miR-497 inhibits proliferation and invasion in triple-negative breast cancer cells via YAP1. *Oncol Lett* 2021; 22: 580.

- [51] Göthlin Eremo A, Lagergren K, Othman L, et al. Evaluation of SPP1/osteopontin expression as predictor of recurrence in tamoxifen treated breast cancer. *Sci Rep* 2020; 10: 1451.
- [52] Pan H, Luo Z, Lin F, et al. FN1, a reliable prognostic biomarker for thyroid cancer, is associated with tumor immunity and an unfavorable prognosis. *Oncol Lett* 2024; 28: 510.
- [53] Chen C, Ye L, Yi J, et al. Correction: FN1-mediated activation of aspartate metabolism promotes the progression of triple-negative and luminal a breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2024; 204: 425–427.
- [54] Zhang X-X, Luo J-H, Wu L-Q. FN1 overexpression is correlated with unfavorable prognosis and immune infiltrates in breast cancer. *Front Genet* 2022; 13: 913659.
- [55] Huang S, Huang P, Wu H, et al. LINC02381 aggravates breast cancer through the miR-1271-5p/FN1 axis to activate PI3K/AKT pathway. *Mol Carcinog* 2022; 61: 346– 358.
- [56] Yang X, Hu Q, Hu L-X, et al. miR-200b regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition of chemo-resistant breast cancer cells by targeting FN1. *Discov Med* 2017; 24: 75– 85.
- [57] Wu J, Zhou Z. MicroRNA-432 Acts as a Prognostic Biomarker and an Inhibitor of Cell Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion in Breast Cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2021; 21: e462–e470.
- [58] Su C, Mo J, Dong S, et al. Integrinβ-1 in disorders and cancers: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic targets. *Cell Communication and Signaling* 2024; 22: 71.
- [59] Dittmer A, Dittmer J. A CAF-Fueled TIMP-1/CD63/ITGB1/STAT3 Feedback Loop Promotes Migration and Growth of Breast Cancer Cells. *Cancers* 2022; 14: 4983.
- [60] Rana PS, Wang W, Markovic V, et al. The WAVE2/miR-29/Integrin-β1 Oncogenic Signaling Axis Promotes Tumor Growth and Metastasis in Triple-negative Breast Cancer. *Cancer Res Commun* 2023; 3: 160–174.
- [61] Pang Y, Wu J, Li X, et al. NEAT1/miR-124/STAT3 feedback loop promotes breast cancer progression. *Int J Oncol* 2019; 55: 745–754.
- [62] Cha N, Jia B, He Y, et al. MicroRNA-124 suppresses the invasion and proliferation of breast cancer cells by targeting TFAP4. *Oncol Lett* 2021; 21: 271.
- [63] Liu C, Xing H, Guo C, et al. MiR-124 reversed the doxorubicin resistance of breast cancer stem cells through STAT3/HIF-1 signaling pathways. *Cell Cycle* 2019; 18: 2215–2227.
- [64] Kaur S, Bronson SM, Pal-Nath D, et al. Functions of Thrombospondin-1 in the Tumor Microenvironment. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2021; 22: 4570.

- [65] Li Y, Qin J, Chen G, et al. Plasma THBS1 as a predictive biomarker for poor prognosis and brain metastasis in patients with HER2-enriched breast cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2024; 29: 427–441.
- [66] Marcheteau E, Farge T, Pérès M, et al. Thrombospondin-1 Silencing Improves Lymphocyte Infiltration in Tumors and Response to Anti-PD-1 in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021; 13: 4059.
- [67] Ma Q, Qi X, Lin X, et al. LncRNA SNHG3 promotes cell proliferation and invasion through the miR-384/hepatoma-derived growth factor axis in breast cancer. *Hum Cell* 2020; 33: 232–242.
- [68] Wang Q, Liang D, Shen P, et al. Hsa_circ_0092276 promotes doxorubicin resistance in breast cancer cells by regulating autophagy via miR-348/ATG7 axis. *Transl Oncol* 2021; 14: 101045.



miRNA regulation of extracellular matrix in breast cancer

	Grade			Age			
Subtype						BMI [kg/m ²]	
	G1	G2	G3	< 50 years	> 50 years		
Luminal A	23 (18%)	48 (37%)	59 (45%)	43 (33%)	87 (67%)	30.78 ± 2.76	
HER2-negative luminal B	31 (31%)	57 (57%)	12 (12%)	32 (32%)	68 (68%)	30.18 ± 4.56	
HER2-positive luminal B	23 (24%)	57 (59%)	16 (17%)	19 (20%)	77 (80%)	32.09 ± 6.19	
Non-luminal HER2-positive	9 (25%)	12 (33%)	15 (42%)	9 (25%)	27 (75%)	33.18 ± 5.67	
TNBC	14 (32%)	21 (49%)	8 (19%)	10 (23%)	33 (77%)	$3\overline{4.67 \pm 2.98}$	

Table 1. Characteristics of patients included in the study.

HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; BMI, body mass index

Table 2. RT-qPCR primers.

mRNA	RT-qPCR Primers (5'-3')	Melting temperature (°C)
COLIAI	Forward: GAGGGCCAAGACGAAGACATC	58
	Reverse: CAGATCACGTCATCGCACAAC	58
COL1A2	Forward: CCTGGTGCTAAAGGAGAAAGAGG	59
	Reverse: ATCACCACGACTTCCAGCAGGA	60
COI 646	Forward: GGATCGTTCGCAACATCTGTACC	59
COLONO	Reverse: GCTGACCTTCAAGCAAAGTCTGC	61
COMP	Forward: GGAGATGCTTGTGACAGCGATC	60
COMI	Reverse: TGAGTCCTCCTGGGCACTGTTA	59
	Forward: ACAACACCGAGGTGACTGAGAC	58
1.1.1.1	Reverse: GGACACAACGATGCTTCCTGAG	59
ITGRI	Forward: GGATTCTCCAGAAGGTGGTTTCG	58
11001	Reverse: TGCCACCAAGTTTCCCATCTCC	58
DEIN	Forward: GTCTACCTTCCACTCTCCACCA	57
NELIV	Reverse: GTCCAGCATCACAAATCCCTCG	59
SPP1	Forward: CGAGGTGATAGTGTGGTTTATGG	57
SEFT	Reverse: GCACCATTCAACTCCTCGCTTTC	60
THRSI	Forward: GCTGGAAATGTGGTGCTTGTCC	59
111D51	Reverse: CTCCATTGTGGTTGAAGCAGGC	59
ACTR	Forward: TCACCCACACTGTGCCCATCTACGA	63
ACTD	Reverse: CAGCGGAACCGCTCATTGCCAATGG	66

COL1A1, collagen type I alpha 1; COL1A2, collagen type I alpha 2; COL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein; FN1, fibronectin 1; ITGB1, integrin beta-1; RELN, reelin; SPP1, secreted phosphoprotein 1; THBS1, thrombospondin 1; ACTB, β -actin.

Table 3. List of mRNAs representing ECM remodeling and focal adhesion-related genes differentiating breast cancer from the control regardless of its subtype determined with RTqPCR (p<0.05; FC >2 or <-2).

	mRNA	Fold change					
ID		LumA vs. C	HER2- HER2-		HER2-	TNDC	
			negative	positive	positive vs.		
			LumB vs. C	LumB vs. C	С	v3. C	
202310_s_at		3.41	3.84	5.11	6.9	7.51	
202311_s_at	COLIAI	3.77	4.12	5.38	5.68	6.56	
217430_x_at		2.98	3.55	4.89	5.17	6.11	
202404_s_at	COL1A2	3.83	4.06	5.09	5.63	7.74	
230867_at	COL6A6	-4.67	-6.06	-6.35	-7.43	-8.72	
205713_s_at	COMP	2.23	2.88	3.06	5.5	8.39	
1558199_at		2.59	3.11	4.01	4.96	6.68	
210495_x_at	FNI	3.08	4.58	5.6	7.1	8.73	
211719_x_at		3.1	4.76	6.08	7.01	7.62	
212464_s_at		3.26	4.01	5.31	6.17	6.79	
1553678_a_at	ITGB1	5.71	6.33	6.78	9.12	10.73	
205923_at	RELN	-2.47	-3.14	-4.04	-4.99	-5.11	
209875_s_at	SPP1	3.99	5.09	6.43	7.67	9.11	
201108_s_at		2.84	3.51	4.23	5.93	7.08	
201109_s_at	THBS1	2.55	3.13	4.02	5.1	6.71	
201110_s_at		3.64	4.96	5.27	5.86	6.24	

ID, number of the probe; LumA, luminal A; LumB, luminal B; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; C, control; COL1A1, collagen type I alpha 1; COL1A2, collagen type I alpha 2; COL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein; FN1, fibronectin 1; ITGB1, integrin beta-1; RELN, reelin; SPP1, secreted phosphoprotein 1; THBS1, thrombospondin 1.

Protein	Control	LumA	HER2-	HER2-	HER2-	
[ng/mL]			negative	positive	nositive	TNBC
			LumB	LumB	positive	
COL1A1	3.7 ±	7.91 ±	11.98 ±	14.42 ±	19.38 ±	24.33 ±
	0.31	0.19*	0.27*	0.27*	0.34*	0.31*
COL 1 A 2	7.19 ±	17.41 ±	21.04 ±	25.32 ±	$31.49 \pm$	$35.49 \pm$
COLIAZ	0.19	0.17*	0.21*	0.26*	0.34*	0.23*
	2.05 +	below	below	below	below	below
COL6A6	$2.03 \pm$	detection	detection	detection	detection	detection
	0.2	threshold*	threshold*	threshold*	threshold*	threshold*
COMP	3.08 ±	$6.61 \pm 0.2*$	6.86 ±	7.14 ±	10.71 ±	16.64 ±
	0.15	0.01 ± 0.2	0.19*	0.18*	0.25*	0.16*
FN1	6.23 ±	$10.93 \pm$	11.19 ±	12.01 ±	16.2 ±	25.19±
	0.13	0.22*	0.24*	0.19*	0.24*	0.19*
ITGB1	0.07 ±	0.21 ±	0.26 ±	0.27 ±	$0.4 \pm 0.01*$	0.52 ±
	0.01	0.01*	0.01*	0.01*	0.4 ± 0.01	0.01*
RELN	1 52 +		below	below	below	below
	$1.52 \pm$	$0.65\pm0.1*$	detection	detection	detection	detection
	0.11		threshold*	threshold*	threshold*	threshold*
SPP1	0.84 ±	1.87 ±	3.01 ±	3.43 ±	4.44 ±	6.13 ±
	0.08	0.09*	0.18*	0.17*	0.19*	0.17*
THRS1	7.42 ±	12.12 ±	16.61 ±	18.64 ±	24.04 ±	27.82 ±
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.18	0.13*	0.19*	0.16*	0.16*	0.21*

Table 4. Concentration of COL1A1, COL1A2, COL6A6, COMP, FN1, ITGB1, RELN, SPP1, THBS proteins in breast cancer subtypes and control group determined by ELISA (p<0.05).

LumA, luminal A; LumB, luminal B; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC,triple-negative breast cancer; C, control; COL1A1, collagen type I alpha 1; COL1A2, collagentype I alpha 2; COL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein;FN1, fibronectin 1; ITGB1, integrin beta-1; RELN, reelin; SPP1, secreted phosphoprotein 1;THBS1, thrombospondin 1. * p < 0.05 vs. control.

	miRNA	Target score	Fold change					
mRNA			LumA vs. C	HER2- negative LumB vs. C	HER2- positive LumB vs. C	HER2- positive vs. C	TNBC vs. C	
COLIAI	miR- 129	90	-2.04	-2.22	-2.25	-2.98	-3.31	
COL6A6	miR- 1246	87	2.11	2.48	2.56	3.44	6.79	
FNI	miR- 432	82	-2.02	-2.18	-2.63	-2.82	-3.13	
ITGB1	miR- 124	100	-2.36	-2.73	-2.71	-3.66	-4.14	
THBS1	miR- 384	86	-2.19	-2.62	-3.03	-3.87	-4.55	

Table 5. Expression of miRNAs determined by miRNA microarray (p<0.05; FC >2 or <-2) and their potential mRNA targets identified using mirDB tool (target score \geq 80).

LumA, luminal A; LumB, luminal B; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; C, control; COL1A1, collagen type I alpha 1; COL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; FN1, fibronectin 1; ITGB1, integrin beta-1; THBS1, thrombospondin 1.



Figure 1. Venn diagram showing differentially expressed mRNAs (p<0.05; FC>2 or <-2) associated with ECM remodeling and focal adhesion across five breast cancer subtypes compared to control samples determined using mRNA microarray. LumA, luminal A; LumB, luminal B; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; C, control; COL1A1, collagen type I alpha 1; COL1A2, collagen type I alpha 2; COL6A1, collagen type VI alpha 1; COL6A2, collagen type VI alpha 2; COL6A3, collagen type VI alpha 3; COL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein; FN1, fibronectin 1; IBSP, integrin binding sialoprotein; ITGA7, integrin alpha-7; ITGB1, integrin beta-1; ITGB4, integrin beta-4; ITGB6, integrin beta-6; LAMB1, laminin subunit beta 1; LAMC2, laminin subunit gamma 2; RELN, reelin; SPP1, secreted phosphoprotein 1; THBS1, thrombospondin 1; TNC, tenascin c; TNXA, tenascin XA; tenascin XB; VWF, von Willebrand factor.



Figure 2. Expression profile of COL1A1, COL1A2, COL6A6, COMP, FN1, ITGB1, RELN, SPP1, THBS1 determined by RT-qPCR. LumA, luminal A; LumB, luminal B; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; C, control; COL1A1, collagen type I alpha 1; COL1A2, collagen type I alpha 2; COL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein; FN1, fibronectin 1; ITGB1, integrin beta-1; RELN, reelin; SPP1, secreted phosphoprotein 1; THBS1, thrombospondin 1. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.



Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier overall survival analysis for selected genes in luminal A subtype, based on publicly available data (kmplot.com). Only statistically significant associations (p<0.05) are presented. COL1A1, collagen type I alpha 1; COCOL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; FN1, fibronectin 1.



Figure 4. Kaplan–Meier overall survival analysis for selected genes in HER2-negative luminal B subtype, based on publicly available data (kmplot.com). Only statistically significant associations (p<0.05) are presented. COL6A6, collagen type VI alpha 6; SPP1, secreted phosphoprotein 1.



Figure 5. Kaplan–Meier overall survival analysis for selected genes in HER2-positive luminal B subtype, based on publicly available data (kmplot.com). Only statistically significant associations (p<0.05) are presented. COL1A2, collagen type I alpha 2; ITGB1, integrin beta-1.



Figure 6. Kaplan–Meier overall survival analysis for selected genes in non-luminal HER2-positive subtypem based on publicly available data (kmplot.com). Only statistically significant associations (p<0.05) are presented. COL1A2, collagen type I alpha 2; COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein; ITGB1, integrin beta-1; RELN, reelin.



Figure 7. Kaplan–Meier overall survival analysis for selected genes in triple-negative breast cancer, based on publicly available data (kmplot.com). Only statistically significant associations (p<0.05) are presented. COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein; SPP1, secreted phosphoprotein 1.