Key technical aspects and vascular access safety in membrane-based therapeutic plasma exchange for the pediatric population

Keywords

children, filter, central catheter, Therapeutic plasma exchange, technical adverse effects, clinical adverse effects

Abstract

Introduction

Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) is a widely used extracorporeal blood purification procedure. While its principles are similar across age groups, the technical complexity and risks are heightened in pediatric patients due to unique technical considerations. This study assesses the safety regarding both technical and clinical complications of vascular access and filters of membrane technique TPE performed in a pediatric population at a single center.

Material and methods

This retrospective cohort study reviewed charts of patients undergoing TPE at a level 3 referral center over 25 years.

Results

The study involved 178 patients undergoing a total of 740 procedures during 214 sessions, predominantly using femoral vascular access. The median duration of the entire TPE sessions (treated as a surrogate for catheter lifespan) was 118.5 hours. Technical complications occurred in 20.8% of procedures (31.6% of events), while clinical complications occurred in 4.2% (4.7% of events). Technical complications were nearly five times more frequent than clinical complications, with technical events being 6.7 times more common. The proportion of patients experiencing clinical complications amounted to 15.2%. Logistic regression demonstrated that each additional day of catheter placement increased the probability of technical complications by 3%. Additionally, each year of patient age decreased the likelihood of clinical complications by 8.9%, while Fresh Frozen Plasma (FFP) supplementation within a session increased the probability of clinical complications by 21.6%.

Conclusions

Prolonged catheter use increases technical events, while FFP supplementation elevates catheter-related clinical complication risk. Advancing patient age reduces the likelihood of clinical complications, underscoring age-specific safety considerations in pediatric TPE.

Introduction

1

- 2 Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) is an extracorporeal blood purification procedure employed in
- 3 clinical settings. It functions on the principle of eliminating dissolved pathogenic entities, such as
- 4 autoantibodies or immune complexes, from the blood plasma while simultaneously replenishing
- 5 essential plasma components. This technique is applied across a broad spectrum of medical
- 6 disciplines, particularly in neurology, hematology, rheumatology, metabolic disorders, nephrology,
- 7 and selected aspects of toxicology [1].
- 8 The fundamental principles of TPE are consistent between adults and children; however, several
- 9 technical distinctions exist, including the establishment of vascular access, differences in volume of
- 10 distribution, and increased vascular complications associated with smaller blood vessels. Additionally,
- the lack of patient cooperation during the procedure contributes to the overall risk and technical
- complexity, making this form of therapy more challenging in children than in adults [2]. Although
- 13 there are studies on the clinical indications and complications associated with this technique in the
- 14 pediatric population, research focusing solely on technical issues in this field remains somewhat
- scarce. Hence, we decided to analyze our 25-year experience with TPE in children, with a particular
- 16 emphasis on vascular access, filter issues, and anticoagulation challenges in this heterogeneous
- 17 patient population.

18

23

Aim of the study

- 19 The objective of this study was to assess the safety of vascular access central venous catheters
- 20 (CVC), filters, anticoagulation, and the performance of membrane technique components in TPE
- 21 conducted over a 25-year period in a pediatric population with neurological and non-neurological
- conditions at a single tertiary referral hospital.

Material and Methods

- 24 This study is a retrospective chart review of patients who were qualified for the TPE procedure due to
- 25 neurological and non-neurological conditions, performed at the Pediatric Nephrology and

26 Hypertension Clinic in (blinded for review) from January 1998 to December 2022. The non-27 neurological group included patients from the intensive care, pediatric nephrology, and pediatric 28 hematology departments. 29 The local ethics committee approved the study (consent reference number 118.6120.187.2023) and 30 informed consent was waived due to its retrospective nature. The study conducted a detailed analysis 31 of data from the medical histories and TPE charts of each patient included in the study. A TPE session 32 was defined as a series of TPE procedures performed on a patient with less than a four-week interval between each procedure. If the interval between TPE procedures was four or more weeks, then the 33 34 TPE sessions were considered separate. This is related to the maximum duration of use for an acute 35 dual-lumen catheter. 36 Due to the inability to obtain precise data regarding the time of implantation of the dual-lumen CVC 37 for TPE, for statistical purposes in this review, the duration of the session has been adopted as a 38 surrogate for the lifespan of the given catheter. If a single TPE procedure was conducted within a 39 given session, the duration of that procedure equated to the CVC's utilization time. 40 For statistical calculations, anthropometric data (age, body mass, height and corresponding percentile 41 values) recorded at the beginning of the given TPE session were considered. The categorization of 42 indication for performing TPE for each patient was determined according to the most recent 43 guidelines of The American Society for Apheresis (ASFA) [3]. 44 The decision to qualify a patient for treatment using the TPE method was made based on the primary 45 diagnosis by the specialist neurologist, nephrologist, intensivist, or pediatric hematologist, while the 46 decision regarding the placement of an acute dual-lumen catheter and its size was made after 47 consultation between the nephrologist and an experienced surgeon. Dual-lumen catheters ranging 48 from 8F to 12.5F were individually adapted to the child's morphology and body weight according to 49 literature recommendations [4,5].

50 TPE procedures were carried out using the filtration method in accordance with the prevailing guidelines, utilizing the following types of machines and filters: 1) Hospal machine with filters: PSN1, 51 52 Hemaflex BT 900, PF1000N (years: 1998 - 2008); 2) Prisma machine with filters: PF1000N, PF2000N 53 (years: 2009-2015); and 3) Prisma Flex machine with filters: PF1000N (from 2016 onwards). The sizes 54 of the specific filters were chosen according to the child's body mass in line with the medical 55 product's characteristics. 56 The TPE procedures were performed according to our center's protocol, which included, among 57 others: the establishment of appropriate vascular access for age (i.e., catheter size and location), 58 priming and anticoagulation of the circuit, laboratory tests, and continuous monitoring of the 59 patients (including hemoglobin oxygen saturation, heart rate, and blood pressure values) during the 60 TPE procedure [5,6]. 61 As supplements, fresh frozen plasma (FFP), 5% human albumin (HA) solution, 6% hydroxyethyl starch 62 (HES) solution, and crystalloids were used. The type and configuration of supplements used 63 depended on both the clinical condition of the patient and the timeline in which the treatment was 64 administered [5,6,7]. The nephrology specialist planned and supervised the course of the TPE 65 procedures in coordination with the team of specialists managing the patient's care. 66 The initial total plasma volume (TPV) to be exchanged (Estimated Plasma Volume status - EPV) was 67 calculated based on the patient's hematocrit (Hct) level and body weight using the Kaplan formula: 68 EPV = [0.065 × body weight (kg)] × [1 - Hct] [3,5]. Unfractionated heparin was used for 69 anticoagulation, with an initial dose of 50-70 IU/kg body weight followed by a continuous intravenous 70 infusion (and/or boluses) of unfractionated heparin at a dose of 10-30 IU/kg/h, with dosing adjusted 71 to achieve a therapeutic activated clotting time (ACT) between 180 - 240 seconds [6]. The analysis 72 included, among other things: the number of performed plasmapheresis procedures and technical 73 aspects of the TPE process such as: blood flow rate, duration of each procedure, size of the dual-74 lumen catheter, type of filter, initial dose of anticoagulant, ACT times values, as well as clinical and

technical complications resulting from the used vascular access and membrane technique, and the actions taken in response to the observed complications.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed utilizing MATLAB software (The MathWorks Inc., 2022b; MATLAB version 9.13.0 (R2022b), Natick, Massachusetts, USA). Data were articulated as means ± standard deviations (SD) or medians with interquartile ranges (IQR). Distribution normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Dependent upon the distribution of the variables, the following tests were employed: Student's t-test, rank-sum Wilcoxon test, Fisher's exact test or McNemar's test for matched samples, and Pearson's linear correlation. For multivariate analysis, a generalized multivariate linear model (GLM) with backward elimination was utilized. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was also implemented providing the relevant parameters. A p-value of less than 0.05 was pre-determined as the threshold for statistical significance.

Results

The study cohort contained of 178 patients who collectively underwent 740 procedures during 214 sessions.

The neuroimmunological population (NE) consisted of 4 subgroups: 1) Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (AIDP; Guillain- Barré syndrome, GBS): 65 children, 65 sessions, 247 TPE procedures, averaging 3.8 procedures per patient; 2) Polyneuropathy (PN): 5 children, 5 sessions, 19 TPE procedures, averaging 3.8 procedures per patient; 3) Myasthenia gravis (MG): 8 patients, 13 sessions, 56 TPE procedures, averaging 1.63 sessions per patient and 7 procedures per patient; 4) Multiple sclerosis (MS): 3 children, 24 sessions, 38 TPE procedures, averaging 8 sessions per patient and 12.67 procedures per patient. In total, the neuroimmunological group included 81 patients; 360 therapeutic plasma exchanges were performed during 107 sessions. The non-neuroimmunological population (non-NE) consisted of patients from the 7 main diagnostic fields: 1) pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) patients: 13 children, 13 sessions, 30 TPE procedures,

100 averaging 2.3 procedures per patient; 2) toxicological patients: 21 children, 21 sessions, 39 TPE 101 procedures, 3.3 procedures per patient; 3) hematology: 12 children, 13 sessions, 42 TPE procedures, 102 3.5 procedures per patient; 4) nephrology – Rapid progressive glomerulonephritis: 11 children, 13 103 sessions, 71 TPE procedures, 6.5 procedures per patient; 5) nephrology - Systemic lupus 104 erythematosus: 13 children, 15 sessions, 70 TPE procedures, 5.4 procedures per patient; 6) 105 nephrology - Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: 4 children, 6 sessions, 28 TPE procedures, 7 106 procedures per patient; 7) nephrology - Thrombotic microangiopathy: 23 children, 26 sessions, 100 107 TPE procedures, 4.4 procedures per patient. In total, the non-neuroimmunological group included 97 108 patients; 380 therapeutic plasma exchanges were performed during 107 sessions. 109 Detailed epidemiological data on the studied population of NE and non-NE patients, as well as the 110 composition and doses of used supplements, are included in Tables 1 and Supplementary Table 1. 111 Regarding epidemiological data - only body mass in the NE group was significantly higher. In the non-112 NE group, procedures using FFP were performed significantly more often (88.2% vs 76.1%), but the 113 dose was significantly smaller (30 vs 33.6 ml/kg) than in the NE group. Similarly, the duration of TPE 114 procedures and the rate of supplement exchanges did not differ. 115 In both patient groups, the femoral vascular access was predominant (in the NE group 86.9% vs 116 60.7% in the non-NE group). Due to potential complications (including pneumothorax, vascular 117 narrowing) – at our center, the use of short-term subclavian vein access is avoided. CVCs were mostly 118 inserted in the femoral vein, which is the preferred site of insertion in acute hemodialysis/TPE due to 119 a smaller number of complications [8]. Detailed data regarding vascular access, its location, and size 120 are included in Supplementary Table 2. 121 Dysfunction of the catheter was defined as a failure to attain sufficient extracorporeal blood flow for 122 an efficient procedure. An exit site infection (ESI) was defined as signs of inflammation in the area 123 surrounding the catheter exit site and/or the presence of exudate that proves to be culture-positive. 124 Detailed information regarding catheter-related technical and clinical complications, interventions

conducted in response to them, and issues related to the filter, machine, and reasons for premature 126 termination of the TPE procedures are included in Tables 2, 3, and 4. 127 Comparing the studied populations - in the NE group, a statistically significant 2.3-fold higher 128 incidence of TPE with technical complications (29.4% vs 12.6%) and a 2.4-fold higher rate of technical 129 complication events (45.3% vs 18.7%) were noted (including a 2-fold higher rate of procedures on 130 reversed lines and a 3.4-fold greater incidence of malfunctions in the arterial part of the catheter) 131 compared to the non-NE population. However, the incidence of TPE with clinical complications (3.9% vs 4.5%), and the percentage of 132 133 patients with clinical complications (13.6% vs 16.5%) were comparable between the groups. 134 Interestingly, it was found that in the NE group there was a statistically significant 1.7-fold higher 135 percentage of patients requiring intervention due to technical and clinical complications related to 136 vascular access (29.6% vs 17.5%); 1.8-fold higher rate of TPE with technical complications related to 137 the filter (6.7% vs 3.7%); 1.8-fold higher rate of adverse events (AEs) related to the filter (11.7% vs 138 6.6%); 2.75-fold higher rate of TPE with premature termination (4.4% vs 1.6%) and a 3.8-fold higher 139 rate of AEs related to premature termination of TPE (6.1% vs 1.6%) compared to the non-NE group. 140 In the analysis of the study population concerning the administration of FFP during TPE, it was found 141 that the group receiving FFP (FFP1) exhibited a significantly higher incidence of TPE with technical 142 events (23% vs 10.7%; a 2.1-fold increase) and clinical events (4.9% vs 0.8%; a 6.1-fold increase). 143 Additionally, the occurrence of clinical complications was 7- times higher in the FFP1 group 144 compared to those not receiving FFP (FFP0, 5.6% vs 0.8%). 145 In the FFP1 group, a statistically significant 2.4-fold increase in the incidence of TPE with any event 146 (clinical and technical) related to vascular access was also observed (27.9%) compared to the FFPO 147 group (11.5%; p <0.05; one-sided Fisher's test). The analyzed data therefore indicate that the use of 148 FFP contributes to a higher percentage of clinical AEs in this group of patients. 149 Detailed information on catheter-related technical and clinical complications, challenges related to

the filter, machine, and causes of premature termination of the TPE procedure in the FFP1 and FFP0 subgroups are included in Tables 5 and 6. In the entire studied population, the incidence of TPE with technical complications was 20.8% (154/740), and the rate of all technical complication events was 31.6% (234/740); this equates to 0.9 TPEs with a technical complication and 1.3 technical complication events per patient. Meanwhile, the incidence of TPE with clinical complications was 4.2% (31/740), and the rate of all clinical complication events was 4.7% (35/740); the percentage of patients with clinical complications was 15.2% (27/178) and the rate of all clinical complication events in the studied population was 19.7% (35/178). Therefore, in the studied population, the frequency of TPE with technical complications is nearly 5 times greater than with clinical complications (20.8% vs 4.2%; p<0.05); similarly, the frequency of technical events is 6.7 times greater than that of clinical events (31.6% vs 4.7%; p<0.05). The median duration of entire TPE sessions (which in this study serves as a surrogate for catheter lifespan) was nearly three times longer in the NE group compared to the non-NE group (145 vs 49 hours). Across the entire studied population, it averaged 118.5 hours. It's important to underline, that in cases where a patient was diagnosed with severe kidney injury or end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) (7 nephrological patients, in 7 sessions) or when longer period of TPE was planned in advance (2 neurological patients in 2 sessions) - a permanent catheter was electively used in such cases. Nevertheless, narrowing the studied population to acute catheters only, their usage time was also 3.1 times longer in the NE population compared to the non-NE population, with the median usage time for these catheters in the entire population being 99 hours. Furthermore, the incidence of TPE with any clinical and technical event related to vascular access was significantly higher, by 1.9 times in the NE group (33.3%) compared to the non-NE group (17.1%). Across the whole study population, this rate was 25%. Thus, the significantly longer duration of catheter use in the NE group may influence the higher number of technical AEs and the proportion of events related to the filter in this group of patients.

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

Furthermore, a multivariate logistic regression analysis of the entire sessions on the occurrence of catheter-related technical complications in a given TPE session showed that belonging to the NE group increases the probability of a technical complication by 2.4 times (2.1 for acute catheters only), and each day the catheter is in place increases the probability of a technical complication by 3% (6% for acute catheters only). Moreover, a multivariate logistic regression analysis of the entire sessions on the occurrence of catheter-related clinical complications in a given TPE session indicated that each additional year of the patient's life decreases the probability of a clinical complication by 8.9% (9.1% for acute catheters only), and each additional FFP procedure during the session increases the probability of a clinical complication by 21.6% (20.8% for acute catheters only) (Table 7). Additionally, an analysis of AUROCs conducted for entire TPE sessions (summing the number of AEs occurring in each session) revealed that the AUROC for the selected cut-off value of 5 days of catheter lifespan for the occurrence of any catheter-related technical AEs was 71% (likelihood ratio (LR): 2.16; sensitivity: 76.5%; specificity: 64.7%; CI 95%: 65.26-76.65; p-value <0.05), indicating good predictive value. This model suggests a 71% probability that the model will correctly distinguish between a technical AEs and no event. Similarly, an analysis of AUROCs conducted for entire TPE sessions (summing the number of AEs occurring in each session) showed that the AUROC for the selected cut-off value of 5 days of catheter lifespan for the occurrence of TPE with any vascular access-related technical event was 71.3% (LR: 2.15; sensitivity: 79.9%; specificity: 62.9%; CI 95%: 65.29-77.34; p-value <0.05), also indicating good predictive value. This model suggests a 71.3% probability that the model will correctly distinguish between a technical AEs and no event. The AUROC values for the above data, extracted only for the subpopulation of acute catheters, were below 70%; therefore, they were not included in this paper. Discussion Therapeutic plasma exchange remains a widely used treatment modality for various diseases in

children by removing plasma containing pathogenic agents. While the principles of TPE are similar in

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

200 adults and children, there are several technical differences that may affect the overall efficacy and 201 safety of this treatment in the pediatric population. 202 One of these factors is CVC, which in the pediatric population is usually performed by interventional 203 radiologist under direct visualization and often under general anesthesia. 204 In this study, technical AEs related to CVC were analyzed, including: the necessity of conducting the 205 procedure using reversed lines (which affects the procedure's efficacy), incidents involving difficulties 206 in blood withdrawal and return (indicating malfunction of the arterial and venous parts of the 207 catheter, respectively), thrombosis within the catheter, spontaneous catheter repositioning, and 208 catheter leakage. 209 AEs of a clinical nature related to the CVC were also scrutinized, including: thrombosis in the vessel 210 with the catheter in place, edema of the extremity with the catheter, catheter ESI, isolated pain at the 211 catheter implantation site, and bleeding at the implantation site of the CVC. Additionally, AEs related 212 to the filters themselves were analyzed, such as episodes of clotting, ruptures, the necessity for 213 replacement, and increased pressure on the filter without clotting. The severity of these AEs was 214 indirectly assessed by analyzing the type and number of interventions required for the 215 aforementioned AEs. Furthermore, the frequency and causes of premature termination of the TPE 216 procedure were evaluated. 217 In this population, due to the specific characteristics of the pediatric population, the femoral access 218 was the most commonly selected access site (73.8%). In the literature, femoral catheter placement is 219 reported at levels ranging from 5.9% to 80% [8-14]. 220 In this study, catheter-related technical malfunctions were recorded in 230 out of 740 TPE sessions 221 (31.1%), with the two most frequently reported catheter-related technical complications being 222 arterial catheter malfunction (15.8%) and the necessity to conduct the procedure using reversed lines 223 (13.9%). Other noted complications were very rare (0.1–1.4% per TPE).

224 Additionally, after excluding AE related to procedures performed on reversed lines, the rate of 225 technical events was reduced to 17.7% (131/740) per TPE. In the literature, reports on access 226 malfunction vary widely, ranging from 1.2% to 38.8% per TPE [13,15–21]. This discrepancy may result 227 from differences in the definition of catheter malfunction used in different centers and statistical 228 calculation methods. 229 In this study, each type of AE was recorded separately; thus, during a single TPE session, both an 230 arterial catheter malfunction and a procedure conducted on reversed lines could be documented. 231 Catheter leakage is reported in the literature at a level of 2% per TPE [20] and 5% per patient [22], 232 while catheter thrombosis is reported at 1.6% per TPE [15] and at levels ranging from 10% [14] to 233 17.4% [10] per patient. In the studied population, catheter leakage was observed in 1 case (0.1% per 234 TPE), while catheter thrombosis occurred in only 2 patients (1.1%) and in 0.3% per procedure. 235 The two most frequently documented clinical complications were thrombosis in the catheterized 236 vessel (1.5%) and bleeding at the implantation site of a double-lumen catheter (1.4%). Other recorded clinical complications were even rarer (0.3–0.8% per TPE). In the literature, catheter-related 237 238 thrombosis is noted at levels of 0.08% [1] to 0.4% [16] per TPE and at levels of 1.7-6.25% per patient 239 [8,16,22,23]. 240 In contrast, bleeding at the implantation site of a double-lumen catheter aligns with data from the 241 literature, which describes it at levels of 0.25% to 3% per TPE [1,8,17,24]. 242 Pain at the catheter implantation site was observed in 6 cases (0.8% per TPE) in this study, whereas 243 the literature notes 'abnormal sensation' in 0.25% per TPE [1]. 244 In the literature, the rate of catheter-related infections (bacteremia/catheter-related sepsis) is 245 documented at 4.8–17% of patients [8,16,25-27] and at 0.25–2.1% per procedure [1,16,26]. In this 246 study, no AEs in the form of bacteremia or catheter-related sepsis were recorded. This could be 247 attributed to the fact that children at risk of systemic infection (e.g., PICU patients, nephrological, or

hematological patients) were treated with systemic antimicrobial therapy due to their underlying 249 disease, which a priori complicates the analysis of such data. 250 In this study, complications such as pneumothorax (reported in the literature at 0.9% of patients [25]) 251 and premature catheter disconnection (reported in the literature at 1.8% per TPE [20]) were not 252 observed. However, one case of spontaneous catheter repositioning was documented (0.1% per TPE). 253 The two most common medical interventions related to catheter-associated technical and clinical 254 complications in the studied population were the systemic administration of low-molecular-weight 255 heparin (1.8%) and the application of a surgical compression dressing (1.2%). The rate of other 256 interventions did not exceed 1% per TPE. 257 The two most frequently documented technical complications related to the filter were clotting 258 within the filter (3.9%) (reported in the literature at 19.6% per TPE [25]) and the need for filter 259 replacement (3.2%). 260 The rate of prematurely terminated TPE sessions due to various causes in this study was 3% (filter-261 related issues: 2.4%; vascular access-related technical issues: 1%). Data reported in the literature 262 range from 4.4 to 7% [22,28]. 263 Machine-related problems over a 25-year period were recorded at a rate of 0.3% per TPE, whereas 264 the literature reports this complication at a level of 18.6% per TPE [29]. 265 The median duration of entire TPE sessions (which, in this study, serves as a surrogate for catheter 266 lifespan) in the studied population was 118.5 hours (equivalent to 4.9 days), with a range from 0.75 267 hours to 1732 hours (including permanent catheters), and 100 hours (4.2 days) with the range to 767 268 hours (32 days) - excluding them. In the literature, the mean catheter lifespan is reported as ranging 269 from 1 to 27 days, with an average of 8.1 ± 6.4 days [8]. 270 Analysis of the studied population revealed that a longer duration of catheter lifespan (in the NE 271 group) may influence a higher number of catheter-related technical AEs and an increased percentage 272 of filter-related AEs, as each additional day of catheter lifespan increases the odds of a technical

273 complication by 3%. Furthermore, it was observed that after five days of catheter lifespan, the 274 probability of experiencing any catheter-related technical AE or a TPE session with any technical 275 event rises to 71%. 276 The use of FFP was found to contribute to a higher percentage of catheter-related clinical AEs, as 277 each additional FFP procedure during a session increases the odds of a clinical complication by 21.6%. 278 Additionally, it was observed that each additional year of the patient's age reduces the odds of a 279 clinical complication by 8.9%, which is consistent with reports in the literature stating that catheter-280 related problems are significantly associated with younger age [15]. 281 Comparing technical complications of TPE in the literature is challenging due to differences in analysis 282 methods depending on the author. Percentages are calculated relative to the total number of TPEs, 283 the number of patients, or the total number of recorded complications. Therefore, in this study, the 284 focus was placed on comparing selected groups of complications, presenting them in a systematic 285 manner as the number of TPEs with a given complication and as the number of AEs relative to the total number of TPEs. For selected clinical complications, they were also presented relative to the 286 287 number of patients. 288 Additionally, the study examined the influence of factors such as patient age, body weight, duration 289 of the treatment session (used as a surrogate for CVC lifespan), the use (or non-use) of FFP during 290 TPE, and group belonging (NE or Non-NE) on the analyzed complications. 291 For the evaluation of procedural and patient safety, we primarily recorded technical and clinical 292 access-related and filter-related problems. In the studied population, the percentage of patients with 293 clinical complications was 15.2%, which is consistent with data reported in the literature [26]. All of 294 these AEs were mild in severity and could be managed with standard methods. It is also noteworthy 295 that no severe AEs related to the TPE procedure or CVC was recorded that resulted in patient death. 296 The obtained data indicate a very good safety profile for the TPE procedure at our center.

The membrane-based technique might also play a role in the incidence of technical complications during TPE. Webb et al. compared membrane TPE (mTPE) and centrifugal TPE (cTPE) in 105 patients under 21 years of age and reported higher machine-related complications (17.4%) in mTPE compered to 7.1% in cTPE, as well as higher rates of circus clotting (6.7%) vs. none in cTPE. Although they found no significant differences in patient complications between the techniques [30]. Similar findings regarding lower clotting rates in cTPE were described by Kielstein et al [31]. An important limitation of the obtained results is the fact that this study is retrospective and single center, spanning 25 years, during which the technical conditions of the procedures evolved, including changes in machines, filters, supplements, and CVCs. However, complications during TPE sessions are typically well-documented in our center, as they often require procedural adjustments or additional medication. We are also aware of the bias affecting the results of catheter-related complications, stemming from the fact that 4.2% of sessions underwent TPE procedures on a permanent catheter. Nevertheless, as we did not want to exclude these TPEs from the database due to other valuable data subjected to analysis, we assumed that these proportions were relatively small in the whole dataset that they would not have a significant impact on the overall results. Furthermore, in the multivariate logistic regression analyses – data for alike permanent and separately for acute catheters was calculated. Nonetheless, to the best of our knowledge, this study represents the most extensive patient database from a single tertiary referral center in Central and Eastern Europe, spanning such a prolonged period, and meticulously evaluating the technical safety of the TPE membrane procedures in children. As Meyer and Wong stated, there are striking differences in the rates of complications between published research on the safety of TPE in children. They also suggested that the inclusion criteria and analytical approaches might significantly differ, emphasizing the need for prospective, collaborative clinical trials, which could portray a true incidence of AE in pediatric TPE procedures [32].

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

322 The obtained data provide a basis for concluding that in the membrane TPE technique, technical 323 complications predominate, while clinical complications are relatively rare and mild, and in our study, 324 complications were manageable with standard interventions, with their likelihood decreasing with 325 the patient's age. 326 Importantly, the data highlight key factors that can further enhance safety: awareness of potential 327 complications allows for better preparation and response; careful selection of candidates— 328 particularly those with known risk factors—may help avoid unnecessary risk by incorporating 329 alternative therapies. Additionally, a longer duration of catheter lifespan is associated with a higher number of catheter-related technical AEs and an increased percentage of filter-related AEs, whereas 330 331 the use of FFP contributes to a higher percentage of catheter-related clinical AEs. 332 References 333 [1] Lu J, Zhang L, Xia C, Tao Y. Complications of therapeutic plasma exchange: A retrospective study of 334 1201 procedures in 435 children. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(50): e18308. [2] Goldstein SL. Therapeutic apheresis in children: special considerations. Semin Dial. 2012;25(2): 335 336 165-170. 337 [3] Connelly-Smith L, Alquist CR, Aqui NA, et al. Guidelines on the Use of Therapeutic Apheresis in 338 Clinical Practice - Evidence-Based Approach from the Writing Committee of the American Society for 339 Apheresis: The Ninth Special Issue. J Clin Apher. 2023;38(2): 77-278. 340 [4] Zachwieja K. Ciągłe Metody Leczenia Nerkozastępczego (continuous renal replacement therapy, 341 CRRT). In: Pietrzyk J.J, Kwinta P, editors. Pediatria, Wydawnictwo UJ; 2018. vol. 2, p. 135-139. 342 [5] Ismail N., Kiprov D.D., Hakim R. M. In: Daugirdas J.T., Blake P.G., Ing T.S, editors. Podręcznik 343 dializoterapii, 2nd edn. Czelej; 2008. p. 176-190. 344 [6] Zachwieja K. Plazmafareza. In: Pietrzyk J.J, Kwinta P., editors. Pediatria, Wydawnictwo UJ; 2018. 345 vol. 2, p. 140-142.

- 346 [7] Levy J. Plasma Exchange. In: Johson J.R, Feehallt J., Floege J., editors. Comprehensive Clinical
- Nephrology, 5th edn. Elsevier Saunders; 2010. p. 1122-1130.
- [8] Rus RR, Premru V, Novljan G, Grošelj-Grenc M, Ponikvar R. Fate of Central Venous Catheters Used
- 349 for Acute Extracorporeal Treatment in Critically III Pediatric Patients: A Single Center Experience. Ther
- 350 *Apher Dial.* 2016;20(3): 308-311.
- 351 [9] Öztürk AG, Küçük ZE, Özcan S, et al. Use of Therapeutic Plasma Exchange in the Pediatric Intensive
- 352 Care Unit. Turk Arch Pediatr. 2022;57(2): 186-192.
- 353 [10] Oto A, Kilic N, Kazanci EG, Akaci O, Ekici A. Therapeutic Plasma Exchange in Critically ill Pediatric
- Patients. International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Invention. 2022;9(11): 6333–6342.
- 355 [11] Özsoylu S, Dursun A, Çelik B. Therapeutic Plasma Exchange in Pediatric Intensive Care Unit: A
- 356 Single-center Experience. *Indian J Crit Care Med*. 2021;25(10): 1189-1192.
- 357 [12] Aygün F, Varol F, Durak C, et al. Evaluation of Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy and
- 358 Therapeutic Plasma Exchange, in Severe Sepsis or Septic Shock in Critically III Children. Medicina
- 359 (Kaunas). 2019;55(7): 350.
- 360 [13] Sık G, Demirbuga A, Annayev A, Akcay A, Çıtak A, Öztürk G. Therapeutic plasma exchange in
- pediatric intensive care: Indications, results and complications. *Ther Apher Dial*. 2020;24(2): 221-229.
- 362 [14] Atay G, Yazar H, Erdoğan S, Tuğrul HC, İşcan H, Kutlubay B. Therapeutic Plasma Exchange for
- 363 Treating Pediatric Neurological Diseases. Trends in Pediatrics. 2022;3(2): 47-50.
- 364 [15] Taylan C, Schaaf A, Dorn C, et al. Safety of Therapeutic Apheresis in Children and
- 365 Adolescents. Front Pediatr. 2022;10: 850819. Published 2022 Apr 12.
- 366 [16] Savransky A, Rubstein A, Rios MH, et al. Prognostic indicators of improvement with therapeutic
- plasma exchange in pediatric demyelination. *Neurology*. 2019;93(22): e2065-e2073.
- 368 [17] Mörtzell Henriksson M, Newman E, Witt V, et al. Adverse events in apheresis: An update of the
- 369 WAA registry data. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2016;54(1): 2-15.

- 370 [18] Gala-Błądzińska A, Mazur K, Dębiec A, Gargasz K, Bartosik-Psujek H. Safety and tolerability of
- 371 therapeutic plasma exchange in autoimmune neurological diseases a retrospective single-centre
- analysis. *Neurol Neurochir Pol.* 2020;54(4): 344-349.
- 373 [19] Duyu M, Turkozkan C. Therapeutic plasma exchange in the pediatric intensive care unit: A single-
- 374 center 5-Year experience. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020;59(5): 102959.
- 375 [20] Cortina G, Ojinaga V, Giner T, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange in children: One center's
- 376 experience. J Clin Apher. 2017;32(6): 494-500.
- 377 [21] Lemaire A, Parquet N, Galicier L, et al. Plasma exchange in the intensive care unit: Technical
- aspects and complications. *J Clin Apher*. 2017;32(6):405-412.
- 379 [22] Kalenderoğlu MD, Çomak E, Aksoy GK, et al. Therapeutic apheresis: is it safe in children with
- 380 kidney disease? *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2024;39(8): 2451-2457.
- 381 [23] Reddy SK, Jahan A, Chaturvedi S, Agarwal I. Plasma exchange for paediatric kidney disease-
- indications and outcomes: a single-centre experience. *Clin Kidney J.* 2015;8(6): 702-707.
- 383 [24] Mokrzycki MH, Balogun RA. Therapeutic apheresis: a review of complications and
- recommendations for prevention and management. *J Clin Apher*. 2011;26(5): 243-248.
- 385 [25] Gafoor VA, Jose J, Saifudheen K, Musthafa M. Plasmapheresis in neurological disorders:
- Experience from a tertiary care hospital in South India. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol.* 2015;18(1): 15-19.
- 387 [26] Eyre M, Hacohen Y, Barton C, Hemingway C, Lim M. Therapeutic plasma exchange in paediatric
- 388 neurology: a critical review and proposed treatment algorithm. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2018;60(8):
- 389 765-779.
- 390 [27] Michon B, Moghrabi A, Winikoff R, et al. Complications of apheresis in children. *Transfusion*.
- 391 2007;47(10): 1837-1842.
- 392 [28] Runowski D, Prokurat S, Rubik J, Grenda R. Therapeutic Plasma Exchange in Pediatric Renal
- 393 Transplantation Experience of One Decade and 389 Sessions. Transplant Proc. 2018;50(10): 3483-
- 394 3486.

395	[29] Bobati SS, Naik KR. Therapeutic Plasma Exchange - An Emerging Treatment Modality in Patients
396	with Neurologic and Non-Neurologic Diseases. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11(8): EC35-EC37.
397	[30] Webb TN, Bell J, Griffin R, Dill L, Gurosky C, Askenazi D. Retrospective analysis comparing
398	complication rates of centrifuge vs membrane-based therapeutic plasma exchange in the pediatric
399	population. <i>J Clin Apher</i> . 2022;37(3):263-272. doi:10.1002/jca.21969.
400	[31] Kielstein JT, Hafer C, Zimbudzi E, Hawes S. A Change for Better Exchange – From Membrane
401	Therapeutic Plasma Exchange to Centrifugal Therapeutic Plasma Exchange. European Medical
402	Journal. 2020 Mar;8(Suppl 1):2-10.
403	[32] Meyer EK, Wong EC. Pediatric Therapeutic Apheresis: A Critical Appraisal of Evidence.

Transfusion Medicine Reviews. 2016 Oct;30(4): 217-222.

Therapeutic Plasma Exchange in **Pediatrics**



178 patients 740 procedures

Predominanty femoral access

118.5 hours Median catheter duration

Complication rates



20.8% Technical complications



4.2% Clinical complications

Technical complications were **5**× more frequent than clinical

Risk factors



Each day of catheter use +3 % risk



Lower age +8.9% risk of clinical

complications per year



clinical complication risk

Tables

Table 1. Epidemiological data of the studied population, doses of supplements used, and technical data of TPE procedures: NE subgroup (81 patients; 107 sessions; 360 TPEs); non-NE subgroup (97 patients, 107 sessions, 380 TPEs); entire studied population (178 patients, 214 sessions; 740 TPEs). Data are presented as medians with interquartile ranges (IQR); p-value – Wilcoxon test. *Data pertain to the first TPE in a given session **surrogate for catheter usage time; for single TPE sessions, the time corresponds to the duration of the specific TPE. ACT – activated clotting time; QB – blood flow velocity; HES - Hydroxyethyl Starch; FFP – Fresh Frozen Plasma.

Characteristics of studied population and TPE procedures	NE subgroup	Non-NE subgroup	p - value	All studied population
Age [months]	166.0 (104.0)	120.00 (104.38)	NS	138.00 (105.00)
Body mass [kg]	44.5 (30.0)	32.00 (32.40)	NS	38.00 (32.00)
Body mass [percentiles]	29.0 (58.0)	50.00 (58.00)	NS	39.00 (60.00)
Height [cm]	160.00 (43.00)	138.25 (45.00)	0.043	146.00 (47.50)
Height [percentiles]	45.00 (42.00)	40.00 (60.75)	NS	40.50 (52.00)
Dosages of supplements				
FFP [ml/kg]	33.6 (17.7)	30.00 (24.88)	0.008	32.00 (20.20)
5% Albumin [ml/kg]	38.5 (28.2)	33.33 (23.52)	0.012	36.23 (26.72)
6% HES [ml/kg]	25.6 (15.6)	25.42 (13.34)	NS	25.53 (15.67)
Crystalloid fluids/ Ringer [ml/kg]	12.5 (8.3)	10.42 (7.55)	0.009	11.63 (8.99)
Total exchanged plasma volume [ml/kg]	73.1 (23.1)	70.16 (28.31)	0.025	72.12 (25.81)
FFP / total exchanged plasma volume	0.5 (0.1)	0.44 (0.22)	NS	0.46 (0.17)
FFP /5% Albumin	1.1 (0.8)	1.00 (0.85)	0.002	1.00 (0.86)
FFP/ 6% HES	1.1 (0.7)	1.08 (0.80)	NS	1.10 (0.70)
20% Albumin [ml/kg]	2.1 (0.9)	1.75 (1.35)	0.056	2.13 (1.23)
Technical aspects of procedures				
QB [ml/kg/min]	2.00 (1.3)	2.17 (1.52)	0.022	2.12 (1.48)
Duration of TPE procedure [min]	155.00 (90.00)	150.00 (80.00)	NS	155.00 (90.00)
Duration of TPE session [hours]** (all catheters)	145.00 (184.50)	49.00 (224.44)	0.027	118.50 (215.00)
Duration of TPE session [hours]** (excluding permanent catheters)	143 [168.8]	46.5 [188.7]	0.003	99 [192.9]
Supplement exchange flow rate [ml/kg/h]	28.3 (21.6)	28.49 (20.68)	NS	28.37 (21.11)
Heparin initial dose [mg/kg]	0.30 (0.29)	0.27 (0.25)	<0.001	0.29 (0.29)
Dosage of calcium supplementation [ml/kg] (only for TPE with FFP)	1.64 (0.81)	1.45 (1.04)	0.003	1.54 (0.92)

Table 2. Catheter-related technical and clinical complications in NE and Non-NE populations. NE subgroup (81 patients; 107 sessions; 360 TPEs); non-NE subgroup (97 patients, 107 sessions, 380 TPEs); entire studied population (178 patients, 214 sessions; 740 TPEs). Data are presented as the number of events and percentages; p-value – one-sided Fisher's exact test; AEs – adverse events.

Catheter-related technical complications	NE subgroup	Non-NE subgroup	p - value	All studied population
No. of TPE with technical complication (% vs No. of TPE)	106 (29.4%)	48 (12.6%)	<0.001	154 (20.8%)
No. of particular technical AE (% vs No. of TPE)				
Insufficient blood intake – malfunction in the arterial part of the catheter	89 (24.7%)	28 (7.3%)	<0.001	117 (15.8%)
Reversed lines	68 (18.9%)	35 (9.2%)	<0.001	103 (13.9%)
Insufficient blood return – malfunction in the venous part of the catheter	5 (1.4%)	5 (1.3%)	NS	10 (1.4%)
Thrombosis within the catheter	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	NS	2 (0.3%)
Spontaneous catheter repositioning	0	1 (0.3%)	NS	1 (0.1%)
Catheter leakage	0	1 (0.3%)	NS	1 (0.1%)

No. of all technical AEs (% vs No. of TPE)	163 (45.3%)	71 (18.7%)	<0.001	234 (31.6%)
Catheter-related clinical complications				
No. of TPE with clinical complication (% vs No. of TPE)	14 (3.9%)	17 (4.5%)	NS	31 (4.2%)
No. of particular clinical AE (% vs No. of TPE)				
Thrombosis in the vessel with the catheter in place	6 (1.7%)	5 (1.3%)	NS	11 (1.5%)
Bleeding at the implantation site	2 (0.6%)	8 (2.1%)	NS	10 (1.4%)
Cather's exit site infection	3 (0.8%)	3 (0.8%)	NS	6 (0.8%)
Pain at the catheter implantation site	4 (1.1%)	2 (0.5%)	NS	6 (0.8%)
Edema of the extremity with the catheter	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	NS	2 (0.3%)
No. of all catheter-related clinical AEs (% vs No. of TPE)	16 (4.4%)	19 (5%)	NS	35 (4.7%)

Table 3. Medical interventions required to catheter-related technical and clinical complications in NE and Non-NE Populations. NE subgroup (81 patients; 107 sessions; 360 TPEs); non-NE subgroup (97 patients, 107 sessions, 380 TPEs); entire studied population (178 patients, 214 sessions; 740 TPEs). Data are presented as the number of events and percentages; p-value – one-sided Fisher's exact test; AEs – adverse events; rTPA – recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator.

Type of intervention (% vs No. of TPE)	NE subgroup	Non-NE subgroup	p - value	All studied population
Low Molecular Weight Heparin	8 (2.2%)	5 (1.3%)	NS	13 (1.8%)
Surgical pressure dressing	1 (0.3%)	8 (2.1%)	0.023	9 (1.2%)
Topical antibiotic	3 (0.8%)	3 (0.8%)	NS	6 (0.8%)
Additional peripheral vascular access	0	5 (1.3%)	0.035	5 (0.7%)
Urokinase / Taurolock (administered via catheter)	3 (0.8%)	1 (0.3%)	NS	4 (0.5%)
rTPA / Actylise (intravenous)	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.3%)	NS	4 (0.5%)
Flushing the catheter with saline	3 (0.8%)	1 (0.3%)	NS	4 (0.5%)
Administration of analgesic medication	2 (0.6%)	2 (0.5%)	NS	4 (0.5%)
Changing the position of the extremity	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.3%)	NS	3 (0.4%)
Removal of a single suture and adjustment of the catheter	2 (0.6%)	0	NS	2 (0.3%)
Cooling dressing	2 (0.6%)	0	NS	2 (0.3%)
Transfer of the patient to the ICU	1 (0.3%)	0	NS	1 (0.1%)
Catheter replacement	1 (0.3%)	0	NS	1 (0.1%)
Surgical vessel suturing under general anesthesia	1 (0,3%)	0	NS	1 (0.1%)
Total No. of interventions (% vs. No. of TPEs)	31 (8.6%)	27 (7.1%)	NS	58 (7.8%)
Total No. of patients with catheter-related interventions (% vs. No. of patients)	24 (29.6%)	17 (17.5%)	0.019	41 (23%)

Table 4. Technical difficulties related to the filter, machine, and causes of premature termination of the TPE in NE and non-NE populations. NE subgroup (81 patients; 107 sessions; 360 TPEs); non-NE subgroup (97 patients, 107 sessions, 380 TPEs); entire studied population (178 patients, 214 sessions; 740 TPEs). Data are presented as the number of events and percentages; p-value – one-sided Fisher's exact test; AEs – adverse events.

Technical AEs related to filters and machine	NE subgroup	Non-NE subgroup	p - value	All studied population
No. of TPE with technical AEs related to filters (% vs No. of TPE)	24 (6.7%)	14 (3.7%)	0.047	38 (5.1%)
No. of particular AE related to filters (% vs No. of TPE)				
Filter clotting	16 (4.4%)	13 (3.4%)	NS	29 (3.9%)
Filter replacement	16 (4.4%)	8 (2.1%)	0.056	24 (3.2%)
Increased pressure on the filter without clotting	6 (1.6%)	4 (1.1%)	NS	10 (1.3%)

Capillary rupture in the filter	4 (1.1%)	0	0.056	4 (0.5%)
Total No. of filter-related AEs (% vs No. of TPE)	42 (11.7%)	25 (6.6%)	0.011	67 (9.1%)
No. of TPE with technical AEs related to machines (% vs No. of TPE)	3 (0.8%)	0	NS	3 (0.3%)
No. of TPE prematurely terminated (% vs No. of TPE)	16 (4.4%)	6 (1.6%)	0.018	22 (3%)
No. of particular AEs related to prematurely terminated TPE (% vs No. of TPE)				
Filter malfunction	12 (3.3%)	6 (1.6%)	NS	18 (2.4%)
CVC malfunction	7 (1.9%)	0	0.006	7 (1%)
CVC-related clinical complications	1 (0.3%)	0	NS	1 (0.1%)
Machine failure	1 (0.3%)	0	NS	1 (0.1%)
Damaged FFP bag	1 (0.3%)	0	NS	1 (0.1%)
Total No. of AEs related to prematurely terminated TPE (% vs No. of TPE)	22 (6.1%)	6 (1.6%)	0.001	28 (3.8%)

Table 5. Catheter-related technical and clinical complications in FFP1 and FFP0 populations. FFP1 population: 609 TPEs; FFP0 population: 131 TPEs. Data are presented as the number of events and percentages; p-value – one-sided Fisher's exact test; AEs – adverse events.

Catheter-related technical complications	FFP1 subgroup	FFP0 subgroup	p value
No. of TPE with technical complication (% vs No. of TPE)	140 (23%)	14 (10.7%)	0.001
No. of particular technical AE (% vs No. of TPE)			
Insufficient blood intake – malfunction in the arterial part of the catheter	89 (14.6%)	28 (21.4%)	0.040
Reversed lines	81 (13.3%)	22 (16.8%)	NS
Insufficient blood return – malfunction in the venous part of the catheter	8 (1.3%)	2 (1.5%)	NS
Thrombosis within the catheter	2 (0.3%)	0	NS
Spontaneous catheter repositioning	1 (0.2%)	0	NS
Catheter leakage	1 (0.2%)	0	NS
No. of all technical AEs (% vs No. of TPE)	182 (29.9%)	52 (39.7%)	0.020
Catheter-related clinical complications			
No. of TPE with clinical complication (% vs No. of TPE)	30 (4.9%)	1 (0.8%)	0.017
No. of particular clinical AE (% vs No. of TPE)			
Thrombosis in the vessel with the catheter in place	10 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)	NS
Bleeding at the CVC implantation site	10 (1.6%)	0	NS
Cather's exit site infection	6 (1%)	0	NS
Pain at the catheter implantation site	6 (1%)	0	NS
Edema of the extremity with the catheter	2 (0.3%)	0	NS
No. of all catheter-related clinical AEs (% vs No. of TPE)	34 (5.6%)	1 (0.8%)	0.008

Table 6. Technical difficulties related to the filter, machine, and causes of premature termination of the TPE in FFP1 and FFP0 populations. FFP1 population: 609 TPEs; FFP0 population: 131 TPEs. Data are presented as the number of events and percentages; p-value – one-sided Fisher's exact test; AEs – adverse events.

Technical AEs related to filters and machine	FFP1 subgroup	FFP0 subgroup	p value
No. of TPE with technical AEs related to filters (% vs No. of TPE)	20 (3.3%)	18 (13.7%)	<0.001
No. of particular AE related to filters (% vs No. of TPE)			
Filter clotting	14 (2.3%)	15 (11.4%)	<0.001

Filter replacement	12 (2%)	12 (9.2%)	<0.001
Increased pressure on the filter without clotting	7 (1.1%)	3 (2.3%)	NS
Capillary rupture in the filter	3 (0.5%)	1 (0.8%)	NS
Total No. of filter-related AEs (% vs No. of TPE)	36 (5.9%)	31 (23.7%)	<0.001
No. of TPE with technical AEs related to machines (% vs No. of TPE)	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)	NS
No. of TPE prematurely terminated (% vs No. of TPE)	12 (2%)	10 (7.6%)	0.002
No. of particular AEs related to prematurely terminated TPE (% vs No. of TPE)			
Filter malfunction	11 (1.7%)	7 (5.3%)	0.026
CVC malfunction	3 (0.5%)	4 (3.1%)	0.021
CVC-related clinical complications of TPE	1 (0.2%)	0	NS
Machine failure	0	1 (0.8%)	NS
Damaged FFP bag	1 (0.2%)	0	NS
Total No. of AEs related to prematurely terminated TPE (% vs No. of TPE)	16 (2.6%)	12 (9.2%)	0.001

Table 7. Multivariable logistic regression evaluating the influence of selected variables on occurrence of a technical and clinical complication during a given TPE divided into all and only acute catheters; OR values for selected factors; CI95 intervals for OR values; p-value. OR - odds ratio; CI – confidence interval; independent variables: *presented as the number of FFP1 TPEs within a given session;

	Age [yea	ars]	Use of FFP	(1/0) *	Affiliation with subgroup	-	Days since placer	
All catheters	OR (CI95)	p-value	OR (CI95)	p-value	OR (CI95)	p-value	OR (CI95)	p-value
Technical Complication					2.396 (1.347-4.263)	0.003	1.030 (1.003-1.057)	0.029
Clinical Complication	0.911 (0.840-0.988)	0.024	1.216 (1.016-1.456)	0.033				
Acute catheters only	OR (CI95)	p-value	OR (CI95)	p-value	OR (CI95)	p-value	OR (CI95)	p-value
Technical Complication					2.090 (1.175-3.718	0.012	1.060 (1.015-1.108)	0.008
Clinical Complication	0.909 (0.839-0.986)	0.021	1.208 (1.012-1.442)	0.037				