Apolipoprotein A1 polymorphisms and risk of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis

Lang-Biao Xu, Ya-Feng Zhou, Jia-Lu Yao, Si-Jia Sun, Qing Rui, Xiang-Jun Yang, Xiao-Bo Li

Department of Cardiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China

Submitted: 14 July 2016 Accepted: 1 October 2016

Arch Med Sci 2017; 13, 4: 813–819 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2017.65233 Copyright © 2017 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: It has been reported that APOA1 –75G/A polymorphism might be associated with susceptibility to coronary artery disease (CAD). Owing to mixed and inconclusive results, we conducted a meta-analysis to systematically summarize and clarify the association between APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and the risk of CAD.

Material and methods: A systematic search of studies on the association of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) with susceptibility to CAD was conducted. A total of 9 case-control studies (1864 cases and 1196 controls) on the APOA1-75G/A polymorphism were included.

Results: We observed no statistically significant association between APOA1 –75G/A polymorphism and risk of CAD under the dominant genetic model (AA + AG vs. GG: OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 0.65–1.66), allelic contrast (A vs. G: OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.58–1.32), heterozygote model (AG vs. GG: OR = 1.24, 95% CI: 0.81–1.89) or homozygote model (AA vs. GG: OR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.26–1.05). Significant heterogeneity between individual studies appears in all five models, but a strong association under the recessive genetic model (AA vs. AG + GG: OR = 0.51, 95% CI: 0.28–0.92). In the subgroup analysis by Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE; the presence or absence of HWE in controls), significantly decreased CAD risk and no significant heterogeneity were observed among controls consistent with HWE. Overall, the APOA1 A allele is one of the protective factors of CAD. A stronger association between APOA1-75G/A polymorphisms and CAD risk was present in the studies consistent with HWE.

Conclusions: The minor allele of the APOA1-75G/A polymorphism is a protective factor for CAD, especially in the studies consistent with HWE.

Key words: apolipoprotein A1, odds ratio, gene polymorphism, confidence interval, coronary artery disease, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in developed and developing countries [1]. The precise mechanisms responsible for the incidence of CAD are still unknown, which is affected by interacting internal and external factors [2, 3]. The chief risk factors of CAD are hypertension, diabetes mellitus, abnormal serum cholesterol (low-density lipoprotein – LDL and high-density lipoprotein – HDL), cigarette smoking, high alcohol consumption, age, stress, family history of CAD and obesity [4–8]. Lipid metabolism disorder and genetic predisposition are major risk factors for CAD [9–11].

Corresponding author:

Ya-Feng Zhou PhD Department of Cardiology First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University Shizi Road No. 188 215006 Suzhou, China Phone: +86 512 67781726 E-mail: zhouyafeng@ medmail.com.cn

Lipoproteins are involved in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Epidemiologic studies have established an inverse relationship between plasma levels of HDL cholesterol and the occurrence of CAD, while low-density lipoprotein has been established as an atherogenic factor [12-15]. There is more to HDL than just reverse cholesterol transport. Also quality (function) of HDL, not only quantity, may be very important [16, 17]. Apolipoprotein A-I (APOA1 gene, ApoA-I protein) is the major protein of HDL. It is a 243 amino acid long peptide, synthesized mainly in the liver and to some extent in the small intestine. ApoA-I has the ability to reverse cholesterol transport (RCT), to bind lipids, and to activate lecithin cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) to form mature HDL [18].

Genes influencing quantitative variation in plasma lipoproteins are being studied widely. The APOA1 gene is present along with APOC3 and APOA4 genes on chromosome 11 [19]. Variations in the APOA1-C3-A4 genes have been associated with dyslipidemia and CAD [20]. An association between the G to A substitution at -75 bp in the APOA1 gene and CAD has received most of the attention [21]. An Australian CAD study described a positive relationship between the A allele and severe forms of CAD [22]. However, studies on the Chinese population revealed that APOA1-75G/A polymorphism results in increased levels of apoA1 and HDL-C and is associated with a reduced risk of CAD [23]. Therefore, the relation between APOA1 G-75A polymorphisms and risk of CAD remains controversial. To elucidate this discrepancy, we performed a meta-analysis of all available case-control studies to explore the association between the APOA1 polymorphisms and risk of CAD.

Material and methods

Study selection

To identify all the articles that examined the association of APOA1 polymorphisms with coronary artery disease, we conducted a comprehensive search of PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane library and CNKI (China National Knowledge Infrastructure) and EMBASE (the last search update was May 28, 2015). Search terms included apolipoprotein A-I or apolipoprotein AI or apolipoprotein A1 or APOAI or APOA-I or APO A1; gene polymorphism, or genetic mutation and myocardial infarct, myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, coronary heart disease, myocardial ischemia, ischemic heart disease, ischemic cardiomyopathy, angina, angina pectoris, acute coronary syndrome, acute coronary syndrome (ACS), coronary calcification, coronary flow reserve, ischemic heart failure, heart failure. We also screened references of the retrieved articles and review articles by a hand search. Studies in this meta-analysis had to meet the following inclusion criteria: (1) evaluation of the association between APOA1-75G/A polymorphisms and CAD; (2) case-control study; (3) studies focusing on humans; (4) detailed genotype data could be acquired to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Exclusion criteria: (1) duplication of previous publications; (2) comment, review and editorial; (3) family-based studies of pedigrees; (4) study with no detailed genotype data. When there were multiple publications from the same population, only the largest study was included. Study selection was performed by two investigators independently, according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria by screening the title, abstract and full text. Any dispute was solved by discussion.

Data extraction

For each study that met our criteria, the following information was collected: first author, year of publication, country of origin, ethnicity, criteria of diagnosis, number of cases and controls, genotype distribution, genotyping methods and allele frequency, the criteria of CAD, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, number of cases and controls, and genotype frequency in cases and controls for APOA1-75G/A. All the searching work and data extraction work were conducted by two independent investigators (Xu and Sun). If dissent existed, they would recheck the original data of the included studies and have a discussion to reach a consensus. If the dissent still existed, the third investigator would be involved to adjudicate the disagreements (Zhou).

Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was assessed by two authors separately according to the methodological quality assessment scale. In this scale, five items – representativeness of cases, source of controls, sample size, quality control of genotyping methods, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) – were carefully checked. The quality score ranges from 0 to 10, and a high score means good quality of the study. Two investigators scored the studies independently and solved disagreement through discussion (Table I) [24–30].

Statistical analysis

The strength of association between APOA1 polymorphisms and CAD was measured by the odds ratio (OR) corresponding to a 95% confidence interval (CI) according to the method of Woolf [31]. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed by Cochran's χ^2 -based Q statistic test [32]. Where the *p*-value for heterogeneity was less

Author	Year	Ethnicity	Source	Case/control (n)	Cases			Controls			
					GG	GA	AA	GG	GA	AA	<i>P</i> -value for HWE
Wang [22]	1996	Australian	HB	462/182	306	133	23	125	55	2	0.129
Reguero [24]	1998	Spanish	PB	176/200	117	50	9	152	44	4	0.6983
Shengli [25]	2001	Chinese	PB	107/50	51	7	49	34	2	14	< 0.01
Zou [23]	2003	Chinese	HB	92/45	78	8	6	26	12	7	0.0186
Chhabra [26]	2005	Indian	HB	164/36	102	51	11	29	7	0	0.5182
Rai [27]	2008	Indian	PB	140/100	45	44	51	51	39	10	0.5327
Dawar [28]	2010	Indian	PB	50/50	29	21	0	8	42	0	< 0.01
Ding [29]	2012	Chinese	PB	229/254	94	95	40	108	124	22	0.1013
Xuebiao [30]	2014	Chinese	HB	444/279	250	165	29	121	137	21	0.0341

Table I. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

HWE – Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

than 0.1, a random-effects model using the DerSimonian and Laird method [33] was used to pool the results; otherwise, a fixed-effects model using the Mantel-Haenszel method was adopted [34]. In order to better evaluate the extent of heterogeneity between studies, the l^2 test was also used. This statistic yields results ranging from 0 to 100% $(l^2 = 0-25\%$, no heterogeneity; $l^2 = 25-50\%$, moderate heterogeneity; $l^2 = 50-75\%$, large heterogeneity; $l^2 = 75-100\%$, extreme heterogeneity) [35].

For the APOA1 -75G>A promoter polymorphism, we investigated associations between the genetic variant and coronary artery disease risk in allelic contrast (A vs. G), homozygote comparison (AA vs. GG), heterozygote comparison (GA vs. GG), dominant (GA/AA vs. GG) and recessive (AA vs. GA/GG) models, respectively. The significance of the pooled OR was determined by the Z-test (p <0.05 suggests a significant association). Subgroup analyses were also conducted to explore the effects of confounding factors: HWE (the presence or absence of HWE in controls). HWE was tested by the χ^2 test at a significant level of p < 0.05 [36]. Publication bias was investigated by funnel plots [37] and by Egger's linear regression test [38]. All analyses were performed using STATA version 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas).

Results

Study characteristics

In total, 9 articles were identified according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. The detailed screening process is shown in Figure 1. For APOA1-75G/A polymorphisms, 9 studies involved a total of 1864 cases and 1196 controls. HWE of genotype distribution in the controls was tested in those studies and 5 studies were consistent with HWE [22, 24, 26, 27, 29]. Four studies were deviating from HWE [23, 25, 28, 30].

Meta-analysis results

For the APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and its relationship to CAD, significant heterogeneity between individual studies appears obvious in all five models. Therefore, the random-effect model (DerSimonian and Laird) was applied in all five models. There was a statistically significant association between APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and CAD risk under the recessive model (OR = 0.51, 95% CI: 0.28–0.92, $P_{\rm H}$ = 0.001) (Figure 2). Though there was no significant association between APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and CAD under the allele model (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.55–1.32, $P_{\rm H}$ < 0.01) (Figure 3), dominant model (OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 0.65–1.66, $P_{\rm H}$ < 0.01), heterozygote model



Figure 1. Study selection process

Lang-Biao Xu, Ya-Feng Zhou, Jia-Lu Yao, Si-Jia Sun, Qing Rui, Xiang-Jun Yang, Xiao-Bo Li

Author	Year		OR (95% CI)	Weight (%
2				
Wang	1996		0.21 (0.05–0.91)	9.19
Reguero	1998 -		0.38 (0.11–1.25)	11.14
Chhabra	2005 ┥		0.18 (0.01–3.17)	3.63
Rai	2008 —	- -	0.19 (0.09–0.41)	15.31
Ding	2012		0.45 (0.26–0.78)	17.03
Subtotal (/² = 00	.0%, <i>p</i> = 0.439)	\diamond	0.32 (0.22–0.48)	56.31
1				
Shengli	2001		0.46 (0.22–0.95)	15.44
Zou	2003		2.64 (0.83–8.38)	11.48
Xuebiao	2014		1.16 (0.65–2.09)	16.77
Dawar	2010		Excluded	0.00
Subtotal (I² = 72	.7%, p = 0.026)		1.03 (0.43–2.47)	43.69
Overall (/² = 71.5	5%, <i>p</i> = 0.001)	\rightarrow	0.51 (0.28–0.92)	100.00
Note: Weights a	re from random effects analysis.			
	I 0.0105	1	95	

Figure 2. Forest plot of recessive model for overall comparison of APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and CAD (AA vs. AG + GG) (1 – controls deviating from HWE, 2 – controls consistent with HWE)

Author	Year		OR (95% CI)	Weight (%)
2				
Wang	1996		0.81 (0.58–1.11)	12.13
Reguero	1998		- 0.62 (0.42-0.93)	11.70
Chhabra	2005 —		0.38 (0.17–0.86)	8.56
Rai	2008	—	0.38 (0.26–0.56)	11.76
Ding	2012		0.80 (0.61–1.04)	12.44
Subtotal ($l^2 = 69$.2%, <i>p</i> = 0.011)	\bigcirc	0.61 (0.44–0.83)	56.60
1				
Shengli	2001		0.44 (0.27–0.74)	10.93
Zou	2003		3.33 (1.74–6.38)	9.84
Dawar	2010		2.72 (1.46–5.08)	10.04
Xuebiao	2014		1.41 (1.11–1.78)	12.59
Subtotal (I ² = 90	.3%, p < 0.001)	<	1.51 (0.71–3.22)	43.40
Overall (<i>I</i> ² = 89.6	5%, <i>p</i> < 0.001)	\langle	0.88 (0.58-1.32)	100.0
Note: Weights a	re from random effec	ts analysis.		
	I 0.157		1 I 1 6.38	

Figure 3. Forest plot of allelic model for overall comparison of APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and CAD (A vs. G) (1 – controls deviating from HWE, 2 – controls consistent with HWE)

 P_{H} < 0.01), a trend of reduced risk could be drawn. Subgroup analysis was conducted according to

 $(OR = 1.24, 95\% \text{ CI: } 0.81-1.89, P_H < 0.01) \text{ or ho-}$ mozygote model (OR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.26-1.05, In the presence of HWE in controls, significant heterogeneity was found under the allele model, so a random-effect model was used. A fixed-effect

Heterozygote code	ominant	Dominant m	odel	Recessive model			
OR (95% CI)	P _H	OR (95% CI)	P _H	OR (95% CI)	P _H		
1.24 (0.81–1.89)	< 0.01	1.03 (0.65–1.66)	< 0.01	0.51 (0.28–0.92)	0.001		
0.88 (0.68–1.13)	0.26	0.69 (0.51–0.94)	0.078	0.32 (0.22–0.48)	0.439		
2.49 (0.98–6.35)	0.003	2.06 (0.77–5.54)	< 0.01	1.03 (0.43–2.47)	0.026		
Allele contrast model			Homozygote codominant				
OR (95% CI)		<i>P</i> _{<i>H</i>} 0	R (95% CI)	P _H			
0.88 (0.58–1.32)	<	0.01 0.52	2 (0.26–1.05)	< 0.01			
0.64 (0.44–0.83)	0.	.011 0.30) (0.19–0.49)	0.314	ł		
1.51 (0.71–3.22)	<	0.01 1.23	3 (0.41–3.65)	0.004	ŀ		
	OR (95% CI) 1.24 (0.81–1.89) 0.88 (0.68–1.13) 2.49 (0.98–6.35) Allele con OR (95% CI) 0.88 (0.58–1.32) 0.64 (0.44–0.83)	1.24 (0.81–1.89) < 0.01	OR (95% Cl) P_H OR (95% Cl) 1.24 (0.81–1.89) < 0.01	OR (95% CI) P_H OR (95% CI) P_H 1.24 (0.81–1.89) < 0.01	OR (95% CI) P_H OR (95% CI) P_H OR (95% CI) 1.24 (0.81–1.89) < 0.01		

Table II. Results of meta-analysis for APOA1-75G/A polymorphisms and CAD risks

model was used in the other four genetic models. A statistically significant association was observed between APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and CAD risk under the recessive model (OR = 0.32, 95% CI: 0.22–0.48, $P_{\rm H}$ = 0.439), dominant model (OR = 0.69, 95% CI: 0.51–0.94, $P_{\rm H}$ = 0.078), allele model (OR = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.44–0.83, $P_{\rm H}$ = 0.011) and homozygote model (OR = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.19–0.49, $P_{\rm H}$ = 0.314), but there was no significant association between APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and CAD under the heterozygote model (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.68–1.13, $P_{\rm H}$ = 0.26).

After restricting our analysis to the absence of HWE in controls, significant heterogeneity was found under all five models; therefore, the random-effect model was applied. We observed no significant association under any of the five models: recessive model (OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 0.43–2.47, $P_{\mu} = 0.026$), dominant model (OR = 2.06, 95% CI: 0.77–5.54, $P_{\mu} < 0.01$), allele model (OR = 1.51, 95% CI: 0.71–3.22, $P_{\mu} < 0.01$), heterozygote model (OR = 2.49, 95% CI: 0.98–6.35, $P_{\mu} = 0.003$) or homozygote model (OR = 1.23, 95% CI: 0.41–3.65, $P_{\mu} = 0.004$) (Table II).

Publication bias

For the APOA1-75G/A polymorphisms, the shape of funnel plots showed no obvious asymmetry and the result of Egger's test did not show statistical evidence for bias either (Figure 4).

Discussion

This is the first meta-analysis investigating the association between the ApoA1 polymorphisms and CAD. In this meta-analysis, 9 eligible studies including 1864 cases and 1196 controls were identified and analyzed. A positive relationship between APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and the risk of CAD was identified in the recessive model. Additionally, in the subgroup analysis for controls consistent with HWE, this association was significant under all the models except the heterozygote model. In conclusion, the minor allele of the APOA1-75G/A polymorphism is a protective factor for CAD, especially in the studies consistent with HWE.

Coronary artery disease is a complicated, polygenic disease driven by the coactions of various environmental and genetic factors, of which hereditary factors probably play a key role in disease development. ApoA1 is a component of HDL. High-density lipoprotein is a molecule that transports cholesterol and certain fats called phospholipids through the bloodstream from the body's tissues to the liver. High-density lipoprotein is often referred to as "good cholesterol" because high levels of this substance reduce the chances of developing heart and blood vessel (cardiovascular) disease [39].

Up to now, several single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified in the *APOA1* gene located on the long arm of chromosome 11



Figure 4. Funnel plot for publication bias test of the APOA1-75G/A polymorphism and CAD

[40]. A common G-to-A transition located –75 base pairs (bp) upstream from the transcription start site of the *APOA1* gene has been studied extensively [41]. The gene mutation might influence the individual susceptibility to CAD. The base changes from G to A at the –75 bp site increase circulating levels of ApoA1 and HDL-C, and the individuals with these changes are likely to have a lower risk of developing CAD [42]. Thus, there is a potential mechanism by which the minor allele of the APOA1-75G/A polymorphism is a protective factor for CAD.

Significant heterogeneity was found for the association between the ApoA1 polymorphisms and CAD in all the models. However, when stratified by status of HWE, the positive association still existed, but heterogeneity losses were found in controls consistent with HWE. Four studies deviating from the HWE have been included in the present meta-analysis; after omitting these studies, the pooled ORs became significant in four genetic models without evidence of heterogeneity. These disqualified studies may be potential sources of heterogeneity across studies for such differences.

The present study has some limitations. First, though we collected all eligible studies, the number of qualified studies was not large. Second, four studies did not conform to HWE. Third, although we designed our study to evaluate the effects of environmental modification such as smoking, alcohol intake, physical activities, and diet, few investigators have reported the effects of these environmental factors, and the definition of each stratum varied too much among studies. We failed to analyze modification of the effects of this polymorphism by environment factors.

In spite of the limitations, our meta-analysis has some key advantages. First, the results should be more reliable than those from a single study, as cases and controls were pooled from different studies and statistical power of the analysis was significantly increased. Second, no publication bias was found. Sensitive analyses conducted by deselecting studies one by one in chronological order revealed no significant changes or reversal of results, which suggested that the result of the present meta-analysis was stable and reliable.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis suggests that the minor allele of the APOA1-75G/A polymorphism is a protective factor for CAD, especially in the studies consistent with HWE. Primary studies of a large population are required to further evaluate gene-gene and gene-environment interaction effects of this polymorphism on CAD risk in different ethnicities.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China

(81170174), Natural Scientific Fund of Jiangsu province (BK20161226) and Jiangsu Province's Key Provincial Talents Program (RC2011111). The funders had no roles in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. Lancet 2004; 364: 937-52.
- 2. Zou JG, Ma YT, Xie X, et al. The association between CYP1A1 genetic polymorphisms and coronary artery disease in the Uygur and Han of China. Lipids Health Dis 2014; 13: 145.
- Schaefer LE, Nechemias C. Endogenous hormones, lipid metabolism, and coronary artery disease. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 1965; 7: 449-64.
- 4. van Hateren KJ, Bilo HJ. Hypertension control and cardiovascular outcomes among patients with diabetes and coronary artery disease. JAMA 2010; 304: 1672.
- 5. Dunn JP, Ipsen J, Elsom KO, Ohtani M. Risk factors in coronary artery disease, hypertension and diabetes. Am J Med Sci 1970; 259: 309-22.
- 6. Turner RC, Millns H, Neil HA, et al. Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS: 23). BMJ 1998; 316: 823-8.
- Connelly PW, Stachenko S, MacLean DR, Petrasovits A, Little JA. The prevalence of hyperlipidemia in women and its association with use of oral contraceptives, sex hormone replacement therapy and nonlipid coronary artery disease risk factors. Canadian Heart Health Surveys Research Group. Can J Cardiol 1999; 15: 419-27.
- Li XL, Hong LF, Luo SH, et al. Impact of admission triglyceride for early outcome in diabetic patients with stable coronary artery disease. Lipids Health Dis 2014; 13: 73.
- 9. Morita H, Taguchi J, Kurihara H, et al. Genetic polymorphism of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) as a risk factor for coronary artery disease. Circulation 1997; 95: 2032-6.
- Neutze J, Cormack B, Kerr B, Pepper L, Ramage C. Contribution of a risk factor clinic to lipid management in patients with coronary artery disease. N Z Med J 1992; 105: 97-9.
- 11. Gruzdeva O, Uchasova E, Dyleva Y, et al. Multivessel coronary artery disease, free fatty acids, oxidized LDL and its antibody in myocardial infarction. Lipids Health Dis 2014; 13: 111.
- 12. Gordon DJ, Probstfield JL, Garrison RJ, et al. High density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular disease: four prospective American studies. Circulation 1999; 79: 8-15.
- 13. Wilson PW, Abbott RD, Castelli WP. High density lipoprotein cholesterol and mortality: the Framingham Heart Study. Arteriosclerosis 1998; 8: 737-41.
- Ballantyne CM, Hoogeven RC. Role of lipid and lipoprotein profiles in risk assessment and therapy. Am Heart J 2003; 146: 227-33.

- 15. Elshazly MB, Quispe R, Michos ED, et al. Patient-level discordance in population percentiles of the total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio in comparison with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: the Very Large Database of Lipids Study (VLDL-2B). Circulation 2015; 132: 667-76.
- Nikolic D, Rizzo M, Mikhailidis DP, Wong NC, Banach M. An evaluation of RVX-208 for the treatment of atherosclerosis. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2015; 24: 1389-98.
- 17. Otocka-Kmiecik A, Mikhailidis DP, Nicholls SJ, Davidson M, Rysz J, Banach M. Dysfunctional HDL: a novel important diagnostic and therapeutic target in cardiovascular disease? Prog Lipid Res 2012; 51: 314-24.
- Fielding CJ, Shore VG, Fielding PE. A protein co-factor of lecithin: cholesterol acyl transferase. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1972; 46: 1493-8.
- 19. Karathanasis SK. Apolipoprotein multigene family: tandem organization of human apolipoprotein AI, CIII, and AIV genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1985; 82: 6374-8.
- 20. Assmann G, von Eckardstein A, Funke H. High density lipoproteins, reverse transport of cholesterol, and coronary artery disease. Insights from mutations. Circulation 1993; 87 (Suppl III): III28-34.
- 21. Groenendijk M, Cantor RM, de Bruin TW, Dallinga-Thie GM. The ApoAl-CIII-AIV gene cluster. Atherosclerosis 2001; 157: 1-11.
- Wang XL, Liu SX, McCredie RM, Wilcken DE. Polymorphisms at the 5'-end of the apolipoprotein AI gene and severity of coronary artery disease. J Clin Invest 1996; 98: 372-7.
- Zou Y, Hu D, Yang X, et al. Relationships among apolipoprotein A1 gene polymorphisms, lipid levels and coronary atherosclerosis disease. Chin Med J (Engl) 2003; 116: 665-8.
- 24. Reguero JR, Cubero GI, Batalla A, et al. Apolipoprotein A1 gene polymorphisms and risk of early coronary disease. Cardiology 1998; 90: 231-5.
- 25. Shengli Y, Bingxian H, Xiufang H, Yangchun Z, Mingjun L Apolipoprotein AI gene polymorphisms and coronary artery disease in the Chinese Xinjiang Uygur and population. South Chin J Cardiol 2001; 2: 69-74.
- 26. Chhabra S, Narang R, Lakshmy R, et al. APOAI-75G to A substitution associated with severe forms of lower levels of HDL and apoA-I among northern Indians. Dis Markers 2005; 21: 169-74.
- 27. Rai TS, Khullar M, Sehrawat BS, et al. Synergistic effect between apolipoprotein E and apolipoprotein A1 gene polymorphisms in the risk for coronary artery disease. Mol Cell Biochem 2008; 313: 139-46.
- Dawar R, Gurtoo A, Singh R. Apolipoprotein A1 gene polymorphism (G-75A and C+83T) in patients with myocardial infarction: a pilot study in a north Indian population. Am J Clin Pathol 2010; 134: 249-55.
- Ding Y, Zhu MA, Wang ZX, Zhu J, Feng JB, Li DS. Associations of polymorphisms in the apolipoprotein APOA1-C3-A5 gene cluster with acute coronary syndrome. J Biomed Biotechnol 2012; 2012: 509420.
- 30. Xuebiao W, Yaowang L, Wing Y, Danqing Y. Association between apolipoprotein A1-75 bp gene polymorphisms and risk for dyslipdemia and coronary artery disease. Chin J Cardiol 2014: 42: 916-21.
- DerSimonian R, Kacker R. Random-effects model for meta-analysis of clinical trials: an update. Contemp Clin Trials 2007; 28: 105-14.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959; 22: 719-48.

- 33. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557-60.
- 34. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994; 50: 1088-101.
- 35. Egger M, Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-34.
- 36. Lindley D. Statistical inference concerning Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Bayesian Stat 1988; 3: 307-26.
- 37. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994; 50: 1088-101.
- Egger M, Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-34.
- 39. Toth PP, Barylski M, Nikolic D, Rizzo M, Montalto G, Banach M. Should low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) be treated? Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014; 28: 353-68.
- 40. Karathanasis SK. Apolipoprotein multigene family: tandem organization of human apolipoprotein AI, CIII, and AIV genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1985; 82: 6374-8.
- 41. Saha N, Tay JS, Low PS, Humphries SE. Guanidine to adenine (G/A) substitution in the promoter region of the apolipoprotein AI gene is associated with elevated serum apolipoprotein AI levels in Chinese non-smokers. Genet Epidemiol 1994; 11: 255-64.
- 42. Colantonio LD, Bittner V, Reynolds K, et al. Association of serum lipids and coronary heart disease in contemporary observational studies. Circulation 2016; 133: 256-64.