Increased carotid plaque burden in patients with family medical history of premature cardiovascular events in the absence of classical risk factors

Paul W. Atkins¹, Hernán A. Perez², J. David Spence³, Sonia E. Muñoz⁴, Luis J. Armando², Néstor H. García⁴

¹Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, ON, Canada ²Blossom DMO, Córdoba, Argentina

³Stroke Prevention and Atherosclerosis Research Centre, Robarts Research Institute, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

⁴Instituto de Investigaciones en Ciencias de la Salud FCM (INICSA-CONICET), Córdoba, Argentina

Submitted: 15 November 2017 Accepted: 3 April 2018

Arch Med Sci 2019; 15 (6): 1388–1396 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2019.84677 Copyright © 2019 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: The hypothesis that relates atherosclerosis to traditional risk factors (TRF) seems to be not as adequate as previously thought; other risk factors (RF) need to be considered to prevent atherosclerosis progression. Although a family medical history of premature cardiovascular events (FHx) has been considered the putative RF for decades, it has not been incorporated routinely into cardiovascular risk evaluation along with another RF. The objective of this study was to investigate whether FHx is associated with a higher atherosclerotic burden, measured as carotid total plaque area (TPA) in a population having no traditional RF.

Material and methods: The study included 4,351 primary care patients in Argentina. After excluding a personal history of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and TRF: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, smoking history, and body mass index (BMI) > 25 kg/cm², 34 patients with FHx were identified. Compared to 56 matched controls TPA was 86% higher in FHx patients (p < 0.05). A second analysis performed in hypertensive patients but no other TRF; 32 patients with FHx were identified.

Results: Compared with 44 matched controls, TPA was 77% higher in FHx patients (p < 0.05). A final analysis using a generalized linear model with TPA progression as the response variable suggests that TPA progresses more rapidly in FHx patients compared to controls.

Conclusions: The FHx was associated with increased TPA burden and progression in the absence of other TRF. This supports ultrasound screening in FHx patients in order to detect high-risk patients who may benefit from early intervention.

Key words: cardiovascular disease, atherosclerosis, plaque, risk factors, family history.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of morbidity and premature mortality in women and men in most of the industrialized world and many developing countries including Argentina [1]. Atherosclerosis

Corresponding author:

Néstor H. García MD, PhD INICSA CONICET Ciudad Universitaria Córdoba X5000ELE, Argentina Phone/fax: +54 (351) 576-0248 E-mail: garcia nestor@hotmail.com



Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

is well established as the principal etiology of CVD, and physicians focus their effort on optimizing only the traditional risk factors (TRF) such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, smoking habit, and body mass index, assuming they are the only factors that determine atherosclerosis progression.

Approximately, 50% of young patients with coronary heart disease do not have the established coronary risk factors detected in routine evaluation, and there is another category of patients in whom atherosclerosis is accelerated [2].

Serum cholesterol has been deemed a principal risk factor for atherosclerosis; this concept arose from the original Framingham Heart Study data, where the association between patients with cholesterol levels > 380 mg/dl and coronary heart disease (CHD) became clear. The same was observed when body mass index (BMI) was used in place of serum cholesterol. In 2008, it was reported that the Framingham score (FRS) based on BMI predicted cardiovascular events as effectively as FRS based on lipid profile. Many studies have confirmed this concept [3] and others have used this strategy for patient cardiovascular stratification [1, 4, 5]. This paradigm shift in cardiovascular risk relates to the potent impact of decreasing BMI in comparison to serum cholesterol levels.

The idea that lowering serum cholesterol decreases CHD was introduced by two randomized clinical trials published in *The Lancet*. This forever changed the course of medicine for patients with CHD. The 4S study reported a 30% mortality reduction mediated by simvastatin [6] and this reduction was associated with a 25% reduction of serum cholesterol. These beneficial effects mediated by statins were also observed with atorvastatin [7], rosuvastatin [8], and other lipid lowering drugs [9], but other reports suggest statin that benefit in CHD, does not appear to be limited to patients with marked hyperlipidemia [1].

On the contrary, the initial results from sponsored trials were not reproduced in other statin trials such as in the elderly [9, 10], in patients with heart failure [11, 12], or in patients with renal failure [13, 14]. Additionally, the Lyon Diet Heart study utilized a dietary strategy to decrease serum cholesterol and other cardiovascular risk factors. These investigators reported a 70% mortality reduction [15] without using a lipid lowering drug.

A Cochrane meta-analysis of 18 cholesterol lowering trials in patients with peripheral arterial disease also failed to demonstrate lower mortality rate [16]. The same was observed evaluating the mortality benefit in high-risk primary prevention patients [17], acute coronary syndrome patients [18, 19], or mortality outcome in the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists (CTT) evaluating low-risk patients [20].

The hypothesis that decreasing serum cholesterol would decrease atherosclerosis and cardiovascular events is very straightforward, but today, we clearly know that atherosclerosis growth is also regulated by hypertension, diabetes mellitus, BMI, smoking habits, and other non-classical risk factors [21]. This hypothesis has been recently evaluated using carotid total plaque area in patients from a vascular prevention clinic setting [22]. Spence and Solo studied the relationship of LDL cholesterol and atherosclerosis in 4,512 patients using carotid total plague area as the burden of atherosclerosis. They found that atherosclerotic plaque did not decrease despite low levels of LDL-C (69 mg/dl), and that changes in LDL-C were not correlated with plaque progression or regression.

This evidence indicates that risk factors beyond the classical risk factors contribute to atherosclerosis growth, such as genetics, diet, glucose, L-carnitine, renal failure and toxic compounds that are renally excreted, including homocysteine, asymmetric dimethylarginine, thiocyanate, and metabolic products of the intestinal microbiome, with trimethylamine n-oxide (TMAO) and p-cresyl sulfate [23]. A recent review suggests that combining various new risk markers, such as CT-1, leptin, and resistin could help to improve risk-based intervention and to achieve the optimal therapeutic approach, but these markers are not yet extensively used in clinical practice [24].

The Framingham risk score (FRS), PROCAM, EU-SCORE, and Reynolds algorithms are commonly used risk prediction tools to stratify the risk of CVD for asymptomatic subjects. In Europe, the Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) model is one of the most used [25], while in the Americas, the FRS that is based on a set of traditional risk factors including smoking, hypertension, diabetes, and lipid profile [26]. Many patients classified as low-risk by the Framingham risk score, however, still exhibit significant subclinical coronary artery disease [27], and a substantial number of patients experience CVD [27], pointing to the possibility that other characteristics not included in the FRS may help to identify high-risk patients. It has been previously shown that traditional risk algorithms underestimate cardiovascular risk in relatives of patients with premature CVD [28].

Although a family medical history of premature CVD (FHx) has been considered, the putative CVD risk factor for decades, it has not been incorporated into the most commonly used version of FRS or other widely applied multivariable risk algorithms [29–32]. This may be because the relative contribution of atherosclerosis to risk conferred by FHx has not yet been firmly established [33].

Previous studies have assessed the relationship between FHx and IMT [34] or CAC score, yet none has measured atherosclerosis burden. While IMT and CAC score are commonly used to estimate atherosclerosis, it is becoming increasingly clear that IMT does not truly represent atherosclerosis [35]. Carotid total plaque area (TPA) measured by duplex ultrasound is a highly sensitive measure of subclinical atherosclerosis and a strong predictor of cardiovascular risk [36]. Spence et al. [37] reported that in comparison to patients in the bottom guartile, patients in the top guartile of TPA had a 3.4 times higher 5-year risk of stroke, death, or myocardial infarction, after adjusting for age, sex, blood pressure, smoking (pack-years), cholesterol, diabetes, homocysteine, and treatment for blood pressure and cholesterol. They also reported that patients with plaque progression had twice the risk of those events after adjustment for the same panel of risk factors. Their findings were validated in the Tromsø study, a population-based study with over 6,000 persons; TPA, but not IMT in the distal common carotid, was a strong predictor of myocardial infarction [38] and stroke [39].

Furthermore, previous studies assessing the relationship of FHx to IMT or CAC score have included participants with traditional risk factors [40-43]. Because it is difficult to quantify risk factors such as smoking history or diabetes, multivariable adjustment for these risk factors poses a challenge, and can create poorly matched controls and unreliable results. In the current study, our objective was to eliminate the effect of these traditional risk factors and investigate the relationship between FHx and atherosclerotic burden in the absence of traditional risk factors (TRF). We then examined the same association in a hypertensive cohort with otherwise identical exclusion criteria. Finally, we used a generalized linear model to examine TPA progression with age in the setting of FHx.

Material and methods

Study participants

The study population was composed of 4,351 physician-referred individuals, participating in an atherosclerosis prevention program (LifeQualityA) conducted by Blossom DMO Argentina (2007–2014). The study was approved by the Blossom DMO Argentina ethics committee and the Western University Human Subjects Ethics Review Board (Protocol 105206). Each participant gave written consent. Exclusion criteria were based on TRF and defined as personal history of CVD, hypertension, blood pressure \geq 130/80 mm Hg, diabetes mellitus type I or II, hypercholesterolemia, smoking history, and body mass index > 25 kg/cm².

Following exclusion for these risk factors, 34 patients with FHx were identified. An age, sex, blood pressure, and BMI-matched control group of 56 participants was then generated by using the "hide" feature in Excel to conceal the column for TPA before systematically removing controls. The control group was sorted according to each factor, and controls were removed until the mean age, BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and percentage of males were similar to the FHx group.

To generate a second study population with hypertension as the sole TRF, we included only patients with hypertension and applied all other exclusion criteria as above. There were 32 participants with FHx identified, and an age, sex, blood pressure, and BMI-matched control group of 44 participants was created, using the same method as above.

Risk factor assessment

All individuals provided details of their demographics, medical history, and concomitant medication. A history of cigarette smoking was considered present if a subject was a current or former smoker. Patients were considered to have diabetes, hypertension, or hyperlipidemia on the basis of a self-reported diagnosis or previous use of oral hypoglycemic agents, insulin sensitizers, subcutaneous insulin, anti-hypertensive medication use, or lipid lowering drugs. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from height and weight measurements obtained in the clinic. Blood pressure was assessed as the mean of three measurements performed on the left arm in the sitting position, after a 5-minute period of rest, with the OMRON Hem 705 sphygmomanometer [44]. Personal history of CVD was defined by prior myocardial infarction, coronary/ peripheral revascularization, stroke, or any self-reports of chest pain, chest pressure, or chest tightness. Premature cardiovascular disease was defined as a cardiovascular event occurring before the age of 51 years in men and 56 years in women, consistent with the literature on identifying a genetic predisposition [45, 46]. A positive family medical history was defined as ≥ 1 first degree and/ or \geq 2 s degree family members with cardiovascular disease before the age of 55 years in men and 60 years in women [47]. Arrhythmias were not considered as part of the definition of premature cardiovascular disease.

Clinical risk scoring

The FRS sex-specific risk equations that substitute BMI for lipid profile (BMI-FRS) were used to predict the risk of developing CVD over the next 10 years, as previously described [44]. This traditional risk assessment score was estimated based on the subject's reported smoking, age, sex, diabetes status, BMI, systolic blood pressure, and use of antihypertensive therapy. A previously described [4] risk calculator for CVD was used that incorporates TPA measurements into FRS to produce 10-year risks for each of stroke, myocardial infarction (MI), and all-cause CVD. The predicted risk incorporating TPA is referred as the post-test risk; it has been shown to significantly increase the AUC in ROC of risk prediction [48]. The TPA was also used alone to calculate the combined 5-year risk of stroke, myocardial infarction, and vascular death, as described previously [37].

Carotid total plaque area determination

Total carotid plaque area (TPA) was measured as described previously [44], with a high-resolution duplex ultrasound scanner. Plaque was defined as a local thickening of the intima > 1 mm in thickness. Measurements were made in magnified longitudinal views of each plaque seen in the right and left common, internal, and external carotid arteries. The sum of cross-sectional areas of all plaques seen between the clavicle and the angle of the jaw was taken as TPA.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) in text and tables, and as mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) in figures. Unpaired two-tailed t-tests were used to assess differences between FHx-positive and control groups. For analyses using the generalized linear model, TPA was defined as a dependent variable and FHx as an explanatory variable in order to assess whether TPA differs in the presence or absence of FHx. Given that, TPA could be described in values between 0 and ~1,200 mm²; the generalized linear model was used to assign a gamma distribution to the random component of the model using the log link function. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Among the 4,351 participants included in this study, 1,320 (30%) had a FHx. The average age of the participants was 58.6 \pm 12.5 (SD) years and 61% were male patients. The population had a relatively high prevalence of traditional risk factors: 20% of participants were diabetic, 50% were hypertensive, 56% had a positive smoking history, and 11% had personal history of CVD. Mean BMI was 25.3 \pm 5.5 kg/cm², and mean blood pressure was 136.4 \pm 7.8 systolic and 80.7 \pm 11.0 mm Hg diastolic. Based on these risk factors, the mean BMI-FRS was 25.3 \pm 18.7% 10-year risk. The mean TPA of the participants was 58.5 \pm 71.5 mm² (Table I).

Cohort with no traditional risk factors

A group of 34 FHx-positive patients with no TRF were compared to an age, sex, blood pressure, and

BMI-matched control group of 56 participants. FHx was associated with increased carotid plaque burden (TPA). Mean TPA was 29.4 ±38.6 mm² for patients with FHx and 15.8 ±21.7 mm² among controls showing that in the absence of traditional risk factors, TPA was 86% higher in patients with FHx (p < 0.05). No significant difference was observed in post-test risks for stroke, MI, or all-cause CVD (Table II, Figure 1).

Cohort with hypertension

In order to further assess the association between FHx and TPA, a second study population composed of 32 FHx-positive participants with hypertension and no other TRF was compared to an age, sex, blood pressure, and BMI-matched control group of 44 participants. Mean TPA was 69.7 ±68.7 mm² for the FHx group and 39.4 ± 35.0 mm² for controls. Thus, in hypertensive patients with the absence of all other TRF, TPA was 77% higher in patients with FHx (p < 0.05), confirming our original analysis. No significant difference was observed in post-test risks for stroke, MI, or allcause CVD (Table III, Figure 2).

TPA progression is accelerated in the presence of FHx

Generalized linear model results suggest that TPA increased proportionally to the logarithm of age in both FHx patients and controls, and that

Table I. Population characteristics of the Blossom DMO database (means \pm SD) Argentina (2007–2014)

Parameter	Value
Total	4351
FHx (%)	30
Total plaque area [mm²]	58.5 ±71.5
Framingham Risk Score (%)	25.3 ±18.7
Post-test risk of CVD (%)	42.3 ±28.5
Post-test risk of stroke (%)	13.6 ±13.8
Post-test risk of MI (%)	33.5 ±25.1
Age [years]	58.6 ±12.5
Male (%)	61
Body mass index [kg/m²]	30.0 ±5.5
Systolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	136.4 ±17.8
Diastolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	80.7 ±11.0
Hypertension (%)	50
Diabetes (%)	20
Personal CVD (%)	11
Positive smoking history (%)	56

FHx – family history of premature cardiovascular disease, CVD – cardiovascular disease, MI – myocardial infarction. Paul W. Atkins, Hernán A. Perez, J. David Spence, Sonia E. Muñoz, Luis J. Armando, Néstor H. García

Table II. Analysis of FHx-positive patients versus controls in the absence of all listed risk factors (means \pm SD)
Argentina (2007-2014)	

Parameter	FHx* (n = 34)	Controls $(n = 56)$	<i>P</i> -value
Total plaque area [mm²]	29.4 ±38.6	15.8 ±21.7	0.03
Framingham Risk Score (%)	6.4 ±4.1	6.7 ±5.8	0.78
Post-test risk of CVD (%)	15.6 ±15.4	12.3 ±14.4	0.31
Post-test risk of stroke (%)	4.3 ±6.0	3.1 ±4.0	0.24
Post-test risk of MI (%)	10.9 ±11.7	8.6 ±10.9	0.34
Age [years]	53.4 ±13.5	53.5 ±14.8	0.99
Male (%)	35.3	35.7	0.97
Body mass index [kg/m²]	22.9 ±1.7	22.2 ±3.4	0.29
Systolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	116.9 ±7.7	116.2 ±9.2	0.71
Diastolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	70.7 ±5.2	69.0 ±5.2	0.13
Generalized linear model*	0.67	0.05	0.005

FHx – family medical history of premature cardiovascular disease, CVD – cardiovascular disease, MI – myocardial infarction; "for each additional year of age, TPA was found to increase by 0.67 log (TPA) (p < 0.005) and by 0.05 log (TPA) (p < 0.005) in the FHx and control group, respectively.



Figure 1. Comparison of carotid total plaque area (mm²) in FHx-positive patients versus controls in the absence of all listed risk factors, Argentina (2007–2014). Error bars represent SEM

this increase was significantly greater in the presence of FHx. For each additional year of age, TPA was found to increase by 0.67 log (TPA) (p < 0.005) in the FHx group and by 0.05 log (TPA) (p < 0.005) in the control group (Table II). Similarly, in the population of patients with hypertension as the sole CVD risk factor, TPA progression was accelerated in the presence of FHx. For each additional year of age, TPA was found to increase by 0.61 log (TPA) (p < 0.006) in the FHx group and by 0.02 log (TPA) (p < 0.006) in the control group (Table III).

Discussion

We found that in the absence of all traditional CVD risk factors, FHx-positive patients had significantly elevated TPA and TPA progression in comparison with control group patients. This difference was also observed in a cohort with hypertension as the sole traditional CVD risk factor. Atherosclerotic burden and the incidence of CVD are not negligible in patients classified as low-risk by FRS and other preferred risk scores that do not yet recognize FHx as a risk factor [49]. Our results strengthen the evidence relating FHx to increased risk of atherosclerosis, and support ultrasound screening in patients with FHx as a method to detect high-risk individuals, otherwise missed by traditional risk scores such as FRS.

A 10-year risk calculator for CVD that incorporates TPA measurements into the FRS was developed previously [4] and is referred as the post-test risk calculation. In our analyses, the mean posttest risk was not found to be significantly higher in FHx than controls, as the risk score is heavily weighted by the same FRS factors (age, sex, BMI, and blood pressure) that were balanced between the FHx and control groups. Evaluating patients individually with the post-test risk, however, revealed that the elevated TPA measured in some of our patients with no identified classical risk factor other than FHx does correspond to a clinically meaningful increase in CVD risk.

FHx-positive patient 136652 from our database illustrates this point. She is 66 years old and based on FRS has a low 10-year CVD risk of 6.03%. Accordingly, she would not require primary prevention. However, she is FHx-positive, with an elevated TPA measurement of 122 mm². The post-test calculation revealed a migration to highrisk with a modified 10-year all-cause CVD risk of 22.1%, under these new conditions; the patient may require lifestyle changes and pharmacological treatment. This highlights a need for change in our approach to estimate a risk in FHx-positive patients. Today, routine screening for atherosclerosis in FHx-positive patients with few to no traditional risk factors is not a common practice. These re-

Table III. Analysis of FHx-positive patients versus controls in the presence of hypertension and absence of all other listed risk factors (means ± SD), Argentina (2007–2014)

Parameter	FHx $(n = 32)$	Controls $(n = 44)$	<i>P</i> -value
Total plaque area [mm²]	69.7 ±68.7	39.4 ±35.0	0.01
Framingham risk score (%)	22.5 ±15.7	24.3 ±15.4	0.62
Post-test risk of CVD (%)	47.2 ±23.7	44.9 ±25.5	0.69
Post-test risk of stroke (%)	17.0 ±13.7	15.1 ±12.4	0.54
Post-test risk of MI (%)	36.5 ±21.6	34.4 ±21.6	0.67
Age [years]	66.3 ±11.6	66.8 ±13.1	0.86
Male (%)	34.4	34.1	0.98
Body mass index [kg/m²]	23.6 ±1.1	23.2 ±1.4	0.24
Systolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	139.8 ±21.3	144.2 ±17.1	0.31
Diastolic blood pressure [mm Hg]	77.8 ±12.0	80.3 ±10.0	0.33
Generalized linear model*	0.61	0.02	0.006

FHx - family medical history of premature cardiovascular disease, CVD - cardiovascular disease, MI - myocardial infarction, *for each additional year of age, TPA was found to increase by 0.61 log (TPA) (p < 0.006) and by 0.02 log (TPA) (p < 0.006) in the FHx and control group, respectively.

sults indicate that FHx-positive patients may benefit from measuring carotid TPA, because it may detect individuals misclassified as low-risk, who would benefit from primary prevention.

Although a number of studies have previously assessed the relationship between FHx and IMT [50], none has investigated this relationship in the absence of all TRF, and none has measured atherosclerosis burden. Because most cardiovascular events are not predicted by risk factor profiles [51], it is very important to have better methods to identify high-risk patients who would be likely to benefit most from preventive therapy. The current study provides new and more sensitive approach to identifying high-risk patients.

While CAC score and IMT are commonly thought to estimate preclinical atherosclerosis, it is clear that IMT is not atherosclerosis [35, 52]. The current study is the first to examine the relationship between FHx and atherosclerosis using TPA. TPA is recognized as a highly sensitive measure of subclinical atherosclerosis and a strong predictor of CVD [36, 37]. Compared with other techniques such as CAC score and IMT, TPA determination is easy, fast, and less expensive [36]. Unlike CAC score, TPA is able to identify non-calcified as well as calcified atherosclerotic plaque, making it more sensitive and permitting detection of plaque in early stages, effectively shortening the gap between detection of risk and treatment [53]. Furthermore, TPA is more strongly predictive than IMT [38, 39, 54].

The limitations of our study are that our sample was limited to patients from Argentina, where not all ethnic groups were evaluated. Additionally, misclassification of subjects by FHx may remain a potential limitation, since no verification of self-reported family medical history was per-



Figure 2. Comparison of carotid total plaque area (mm²) in FHx-positive patients versus controls in the presence of hypertension and absence of all other listed risk factors, Argentina (2007–2014). Error bars represent SEM

formed. Lipid profile data is not a limitation based on the present bibliography demonstrating that today, many cardiovascular events occur in the presence on normal or low serum lipids. While this did limit our ability to create ideally matched controls, an updated FRS that exchanges BMI for plasma lipids has been shown to be an equal predictor of CVD risk [55].

According to previous reports [56–59], individuals with FHx tend to have an unfavorable cardiovascular risk profile, with a higher prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, or increased BMI. Furthermore, many studies investigated the associations between positive family medical history and other factors such as lifestyle [60], premature birth [61], or impaired growth *in utero* [62], inducing epigenetic changes and exaggerated atherogenesis in these patients that are associated with classical risk factors and situate these patients at higher risk. This may offer a partial explanation of the association between FHx and carotid plaques described in the literature. However, our results have demonstrated that FHx predisposes to atherosclerotic plaque formation even in the absence of traditional risk factors, suggesting that other possibly heritable factors are at play [63]. Further study of such heritable factors would lead to a better understanding of the familial aggregation of CVD.

Preventive treatment in FHx-positive individuals is still a matter of debate [29]. Future studies of patients and populations are warranted to assess the risks and benefits of medical interventions, such as intensive lipid-lowering therapy in persons with FHx who harbor significant subclinical atherosclerosis. Future study should also focus on how the definition of FHx can be modified to best identify individuals at risk, and whether family medical history of late-onset CVD or premature CVD in second-degree relatives significantly elevates the risk.

In conclusion, a family medical history of premature vascular disease was associated with increased carotid plaque burden in the absence of other CVD risk factors. Appropriate ultrasound screening in patients with FHx can detect high-risk patients who may benefit from early intervention.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants (Res4541/ 12 and PIP 2013-2015GI) from: CONICET, the Argentinean Society of Hypertension, and Blossom DMO. PWA was supported by a grant from the Canadian Heart and Stroke Foundation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Chou R, Dana T, Blazina I, et al. Statin use for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in adults: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2016: 14-05206-EF-2.
- 2. Misra A. Risk factors for atherosclerosis in young individuals. J Cardiovasc Risk 2000; 7: 215-29.
- 3. Ghehi C, Gabillard D, Moh R, et al. High correlation between Framingham equations with BMI and with lipids to estimate cardiovascular risks score at baseline in HIV-infected adults in the Temprano trial, ANRS 12136 in Cote d'Ivoire. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0177440.
- 4. Perez HA, Garcia NH, Spence JD, et al. Adding carotid total plaque area to the Framingham risk score improves cardiovascular risk classification. Arch Med Sci 2016; 12: 513-20.
- 5. Zhu Y, Lin L, Wang C, et al. Impact of statins therapy on morphological changes in lipid-rich plaques stratified by

10-Year Framingham Risk Score: a serial optical coherence tomography study. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 27401-1.

- 6. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). Lancet 1994; 344: 1383-9.
- 7. Athyros VG, Mikhailidis DP, Papageorgiou AA, et al. Relationship between LDL-C and non-HDL-C levels and clinical outcome in the GREek Atorvastatin and Coronary-heart-disease Evaluation (GREACE) Study. Curr Med Res Opin 2004; 20: 1385-92.
- Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, et al. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. N Engl J Med 2008; 359: 2195-207.
- 9. Matthan NR, Resteghini N, Robertson M, et al. Cholesterol absorption and synthesis markers in individuals with and without a CHD event during pravastatin therapy: insights from the PROSPER trial. J Lipid Res 2010; 51: 202-9.
- 10. Sattar N, Murray HM, McConnachie A, et al. C-reactive protein and prediction of coronary heart disease and global vascular events in the Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER). Circulation 2007; 115: 981-9.
- 11. Tavazzi L, Maggioni AP, Marchioli R, et al. Effect of rosuvastatin in patients with chronic heart failure (the GISSI-HF trial): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2008; 372: 1231-9.
- 12. Kjekshus J, Apetrei E, Barrios V, et al. Rosuvastatin in older patients with systolic heart failure. N Engl J Med 2007; 357: 2248-61.
- 13. Solbu MD, Mjøen G, Mark PB, et al. Predictors of atherosclerotic events in patients on haemodialysis: post hoc analyses from the AURORA study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2018; 33: 102-12.
- Mikolasevic I, Žutelija M, Mavrinac V, et al. Dyslipidemia in patients with chronic kidney disease: etiology and management. Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis 2017; 10: 35-45.
- 15. de Lorgeril M, Renaud S, Mamelle N, et al. Mediterranean alpha-linolenic acid-rich diet in secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. Lancet 1994; 343: 1454-9.
- Aung PP, Maxwell HG, Jepson RG, Price JF, Leng GC. Lipid-lowering for peripheral arterial disease of the lower limb. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007; 4: CD000123.
- 17. Ray KK, Seshasai SR, Erqou S, et al. Statins and all-cause mortality in high-risk primary prevention: a meta-analysis of 11 randomized controlled trials involving 65,229 participants. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170: 1024-31.
- Vale N, Nordmann AJ, Schwartz GG, et al. Statins for acute coronary syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; 9: CD006870.
- 19. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators, Mihaylova B, Emberson J, Blackwell L, et al. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with statin therapy in people at low risk of vascular disease: meta-analysis of individual data from 27 randomised trials. Lancet 2012; 380: 581-90.
- 20. Abramson JD, Rosenberg HG, Jewell N, Wright JM. Should people at low risk of cardiovascular disease take a statin? BMJ 2013; 347: f6123.
- 21. Spence JD, Song H, Cheng G. Appropriate management of asymptomatic carotid stenosis. Stroke Vasc Neurol 2016; 1: 64-71.
- 22. Spence JD, Solo K. Resistant atherosclerosis: the need for monitoring of plaque burden. Stroke 2017; 48: 1624-9.
- Spence JD, Urquhart BL, Bang H. Effect of renal impairment on atherosclerosis: only partially mediated by homocysteine. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2016; 31: 937-44.

Increased carotid plaque burden in patients with family medical history of premature cardiovascular events in the absence of classical risk factors

- 24. Hogas S, Bilha SC, Branisteanu D, et al. Potential novel biomarkers of cardiovascular dysfunction and disease: cardiotrophin-1, adipokines and galectin-3. Arch Med Sci 2017; 13: 897-913.
- 25. Conroy RM, Pyörälä K, Fitzgerald AP, et al. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. Eur Heart J 2003; 24: 987-1003.
- Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, Belanger AM, Silbershatz H, Kannel WB. Prediction of coronary heart disease using risk factor categories. Circulation 1998; 97: 1837-47.
- 27. Nucifora G, Schuijf JD, van Werkhoven JM, et al. Prevalence of coronary artery disease across the Framingham risk categories: coronary artery calcium scoring and MSCT coronary angiography. J Nucl Cardiol 2009; 16: 368-75.
- 28. Sailam V, Karalis DG, Agarwal A, et al. Prevalence of emerging cardiovascular risk factors in younger individuals with a family history of premature coronary heart disease and low Framingham Risk Score. Clin Cardiol 2008; 31: 542-5.
- 29. Chow CK, Pell AC, Walker A, O'Dowd C, Dominiczak AF, Pell JP. Families of patients with premature coronary heart disease: an obvious but neglected target for primary prevention. BMJ 2007; 335: 481-5.
- 30. Ciruzzi M, Schargrodsky H, Rozlosnik J, et al. Frequency of family history of acute myocardial infarction in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Argentine FRIC-AS (Factores de Riesgo Coronario en America del Sur) Investigators. Am J Cardiol 1997; 80: 122-7.
- 31. Mulders TA, Meyer Z, van der Donk C, et al. Patients with premature cardiovascular disease and a positive family history for cardiovascular disease are prone to recurrent events. Int J Cardiol 2011; 153: 64-7.
- 32. Marenberg ME, Risch N, Berkman LF, Floderus B, de Faire U. Genetic susceptibility to death from coronary heart disease in a study of twins. N Engl J Med 1994; 330: 1041-6.
- Gaeta G, De Michele M, Cuomo S, et al. Arterial abnormalities in the offspring of patients with premature myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2000; 343: 840-6.
- 34. Cheng HG, Patel BS, Martin SS, et al. Effect of comprehensive cardiovascular disease risk management on longitudinal changes in carotid artery intima-media thickness in a community-based prevention clinic. Arch Med Sci 2016; 12: 728-35.
- 35. Spence JD. Carotid plaque measurement is superior to IMT Invited editorial comment on: carotid plaque, compared with carotid intima-media thickness, more accurately predicts coronary artery disease events: a metaanalysis-Yoichi Inaba, M.D., Jennifer A. Chen M.D., Steven R. Bergmann M.D., Ph.D. Atherosclerosis 2012; 220: 34-5.
- 36. Spence JD. Technology insight: ultrasound measurement of carotid plaque--patient management, genetic research, and therapy evaluation. Nat Clin Pract Neurol 2006; 2: 611-9.
- 37. Spence JD, Eliasziw M, DiCicco M, Hackam DG, Galil R, Lohmann T. Carotid plaque area: a tool for targeting and evaluating vascular preventive therapy. Stroke 2002; 33: 2916-22.
- 38. Johnsen SH, Mathiesen EB, Joakimsen O, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis is a stronger predictor of myocardial infarction in women than in men: a 6-year follow-up study of 6226 persons: the Tromso Study. Stroke 2007; 38: 2873-80.
- 39. Mathiesen EB, Johnsen SH, Wilsgaard T, Bønaa KH, Løchen ML, Njølstad I. Carotid plaque area and inti-

ma-media thickness in prediction of first-ever ischemic stroke: a 10-year follow-up of 6584 men and women: the Tromso Study. Stroke 2011; 42: 972-8.

- 40. Wang TJ, Nam BH, D'Agostino RB, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness is associated with premature parental coronary heart disease: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2003; 108: 572-6.
- 41. Taraboanta C, Wu E, Lear S, et al. Subclinical atherosclerosis in subjects with family history of premature coronary artery disease. Am Heart J 2008; 155: 1020-6.
- 42. Sunman H, Yorgun H, Canpolat U, et al. Association between family history of premature coronary artery disease and coronary atherosclerotic plaques shown by multidetector computed tomography coronary angiography. Int J Cardiol 2013; 164: 355-8.
- 43. Khaleghi M, et Isseh IN, Jouni H, Sohn S, Bailey KR, Kullo IJ. Family history as a risk factor for carotid artery stenosis. Stroke 2014; 45: 2252-6.
- 44. Garcia NH, Pérez HA, Spence JD, Armando LJ. Risk of vascular disease in premenopausal women with diabetes mellitus. Clin Ther 2014; 36: 1924-34.
- 45. Hauser E, Mooser V, Crossman D, et al. Design of the genetics of early onset cardiovascular disease (GENE-CARD) study. Am Heart J 2002; 145: 602-13.
- 46. Chow CK, Pell AC, Walker A, O'Dowd C, Dominiczak AF, Pell JP. Families of patients with premature coronary heart disease: an obvious but neglected target for primary prevention. BMJ 2007; 335: 481-5.
- 47. Mulders TA, Meyer Z, van der Donk C, et al. Patients with premature cardiovascular disease and a positive family history for cardiovascular disease are prone to recurrent events. Int J Cardiol 2011; 153: 64-7.
- 48. Romanens M, Ackermann F, Schwenkglenks M, Szucs T, Spence JD. Posterior probabilities in sequential testing improve clinical cardiovascular risk prediction using carotid total plaque area and c-statistics. Cardiovasc Med 2011; 14: 53-7.
- 49. Karim, Hodis HN, Detrano R, Liu CR, Liu CH, Mack WJ. Relation of Framingham risk score to subclinical atherosclerosis evaluated across three arterial sites. Am J Cardiol 2008; 102: 825-30.
- 50. Lasek-Bal A, Gąsior Z. Cardiovascular diseases in patients 65 years and younger with non-cardiogenic stroke. Arch Med Sci 2016; 12: 556-62.
- 51. Sillesen H, Falk E. Why not screen for subclinical atherosclerosis? Lancet 2011; 378: 645-6.
- 52. Pierre-Jean T, Diederick EG, den Hester R. Assessment of subclinical atherosclerosis by carotid intima media thickness: technical issues. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2012; 19 (2 Suppl): 18-24.
- 53. Naqvi TZ, Mendoza F, Rafii F, et al. High prevalence of ultrasound detected carotid atherosclerosis in subjects with low Framingham Risk Score: potential implications for screening for subclinical atherosclerosis. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2010; 23: 809-15.
- 54. Inaba Y, Chen JA, Bergmann SR. Carotid plaque, compared with carotid intima-media thickness, more accurately predicts coronary artery disease events: a metaanalysis. Atherosclerosis 2012; 220: 128-33.
- 55. D'Agostino RB Sr, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2008; 117: 743-53.
- 56. Myers RH, Kiely DK, Cupples LA, Kannel WB. Parental history is an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease: the Framingham Study. Am Heart J 1990; 120: 963-9.
- 57. Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Rosner B, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH. A prospective study of parental history

of myocardial infarction and coronary heart disease in women. Am J Epidemiol 1986; 123: 48-58.

- Burke GL, Savage PJ, Sprafka JM, et al. Relation of risk factor levels in young adulthood to parental history of disease. The CARDIA study. Circulation 1991; 84: 1176-87.
- 59. Rosseneu M, Fruchart JC, Bard JM, et al. Plasma apolipoprotein concentrations in young adults with a parental history of premature coronary heart disease and in control subjects. The EARS Study. European Atherosclerosis Research Study. Circulation 1994; 89: 1967-73.
- 60. Gooding HC, Ning H, Gillman MW, et al. Application of a lifestyle-based tool to estimate premature cardiovascular disease events in young adults: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. JAMA Intern Med 2017; 1: 1354-60.
- 61. Tauzin L. Alterations in viscoelastic properties following premature birth may lead to hypertension and cardiovascular disease development in later life. Acta Paediatr 2015; 104: 19-26.
- 62. Rogers LK, Velten M. Maternal inflammation, growth retardation, and preterm birth: insights into adult cardiovascular disease. Life Sci 2011; 26: 417-21.
- 63. Ballantyne CM, Abe Y. Molecular markers for atherosclerosis. J Cardiovasc Risk 1997; 4: 353-6.