Safety and efficacy of naltrexone for weight loss in adult patients – a systematic review

Agnieszka Kulak-Bejda¹, Grzegorz Bejda², Napoleon Waszkiewicz¹

¹Department of Psychiatry, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland ²Department of Human Philosophy and Psychology, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland

Submitted: 26 March 2018; Accepted: 22 July 2018 Online publication: 10 September 2020

Arch Med Sci 2021; 17 (4): 940–953 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2020.96908 Copyright © 2020 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: This is a report of a systematic review of the safety and efficacy of naltrexone or naltrexone/bupropion on weight loss.

Material and methods: The databases Medline, PubMed, and Embase as well as the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register for randomized controlled trials were searched for studies published from January 1966 to January 2018. A meta-analysis, randomised controlled trials, controlled trials, uncontrolled trials, cohort studies and open-label studies were analysed.

Results: Of 191 articles, 14 fulfilled the inclusion criteria: 1 meta-analysis, 10 randomized controlled trials, and 3 studies without randomization were found. In these studies, the efficacy and safety of naltrexone/bupropion in obesity were analysed. In the majority of these studies, patients with at least 5% or 10% weight loss, as a primary outcome, were investigated. Generally, naltrexone/bupropion treatment can be a promising therapy for obese patients, including when combined with mental health treatment.

Conclusions: Based on these studies, it can be said that naltrexone/bupropion treatment is effective in the weight loss of overweight subjects. The naltrexone/bupropion treatment was well tolerated by the patients, and side effects were rarely reported.

Key words: obesity, therapy, naltrexone, bupropion, systematic review.

Introduction

In recent years, obesity in adults and children has been increasing and has started to become a leading cause of death. It is one of the greatest public health threats in Europe and the world [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) assessed that excessive weight is the cause of death of almost 3 million people and brings about disability amongst 35.8 million people annually [2]. In adults, obesity criteria include a body mass index (BMI) over 30 kg/m² [3].

Obesity is a chronic and multifactorial disease involving the accumulation of subcutaneous and visceral fat, which gives rise to the development of many cardiometabolic diseases [4]. Diabetes, stroke and heart disease are associated with obesity. Unfortunately, many of the complications brought by obesity lead to death, which might be averted through a change in lifestyle. There are different theories about obesity's mechanisms, such as inflammation, inflammasome activation, insulin resistance,

Corresponding author:

Dr. Agnieszka Kulak-Bejda Department of Psychiatry Medical University of Bialystok 1 Brodowicza Sq 16-070 Choroszcz, Poland E-mail: agnieszka.kulak. bejda@gmail.com



Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

adipokine balance, and abnormalities in lipid metabolism and endothelial function [4]. Some of the newest data have shown that obesity is characterized by low-grade chronic inflammation caused by increased secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and adipokines by the macrophages and adipocytes present in adipose tissue [5].

Almost all obese patients should have additional behavioural treatment. There are many programmes that focus on behavioural and lifestyle modifications such as the Diabetes Prevention Programme (DPP) run by the National Health Service (NHS) in the U.K. or the Look AHEAD Action for Health in Diabetes operated by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in the U.S. [6]. However, lifestyle modification and weight loss alone are usually ineffectual [7].

Another factor responsible for weight gain of pharmacological action is blockage of 5HT2c serotonin receptors by several first-generation and the majority of second-generation antipsychotics. It is known that 5HT2c receptors are engaged in appetite regulation [8, 9].

Moreover, it was reported that an opioid receptor blocker blocked the central opioid receptors, thus decreasing the preference for toothsome foods [10].

In 2015, the first clinical practice guidelines for the pharmacologic management of obesity were published. These directives were created by the Endocrine Society (a task force of experts), the European Society of Endocrinology and the Obesity Society [11]. However, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has endorsed only a few medicaments for the treatment of obesity. One of the newest medications is the combination of bupropion and naltrexone [6].

Naltrexone is a μ -opioid receptor antagonist commonly used for treatment of opioid addiction and alcohol dependence [12]. Possibly, naltrexone reduces food consumption through the blockage of β -endorphin action at the μ -opioid receptor as well as preventing autoinhibition of pro-opiomelanocortin neurons [13]. The first pass of naltrexone's metabolism is 5–40% oral bioavailability. Both primal naltrexone and the 6- β -naltrexol metabolite are active forms. Mostly, naltrexone is eliminated by the kidneys. Retrospectively, the elimination half-life of naltrexone and 6- β -naltrexol is long – 4–13 h [13–16].

In turn, bupropion is a norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor which is currently prescribed for treatment of depression, seasonal affective disorder and also as support during smoking cessation [17]. Dopamine and noradrenaline stimulate pro-opiomelanocortin neurons in the hypothalamus. The mean elimination half-life of bupropion is very long – 21 \pm 9 h. It is metabolized by humans, resulting in three active metabolites: hydroxybupropion, threohydrobupropion and erythrohydrobupropion. Eighty-seven percent of bupropion is eliminated by the kidneys and 10% in faeces [14, 15, 18].

The effect of the combination of naltrexone and bupropion is not completely clear. There is a theory that naltrexone could have an influence on the neurological reward pathways in the brain, while bupropion suppresses the appetite [12].

The purpose of the current work was to systematically present the safety and effectiveness of naltrexone or a combination of naltrexone and bupropion for weight loss in patients with antipsychotic-associated obesity, in comparison with patients suffering from overweight without any antipsychotic treatment.

Material and methods

Study population

The study population consisted of adult patients undergoing naltrexone or naltrexone/bupropion treatment.

Study design

The researchers analysed the relevant metaanalyses, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), controlled trials, uncontrolled trials, cohort studies, case-control studies, and cross-sectional studies. Studies with a population of fewer than 5 patients were excluded.

Intervention

As an intervention, we included therapy using naltrexone or a combination of naltrexone and bupropion.

Outcome measure

To assess the safety of the therapy, we analysed the number of patient discontinuations due to adverse events in the treatment group compared to those in the placebo or control group. We also analysed the number of significant adverse events reported in the studied groups.

Methodological quality

We assessed the quality of all articles that fulfilled the inclusion criteria. To evaluate the quality of RCTs, we used the Jadad scale, the impact factor of the journal in which the trial was published, and evidence of statistics using intention-to-treat analysis. The Jadad scale [19] contains two questions to determine appropriate randomization and study masking, along with questions that evaluate the reporting of withdrawals and dropouts that require a yes or no response. Five total points are possible on the scale, in which a higher score indicates superior quality. We also used the Cochrane Collaboration's tool to assess the risk of bias of RCTs [20].

Categorizing evidence

We categorized evidence according to the study design, using a hierarchy of evidence in descending order according to quality [21] and reviewed the highest level of available evidence for each intervention in detail.

Literature search

Two independent reviewers screened titles and abstracts for relevance. The search included four electronic databases, namely, Medline, PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials for studies reporting meta-analyses, RCTs, controlled trials, uncontrolled trials, cohort studies, case-control studies, and cross-sectional studies for therapy of patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). We restricted our search to studies published between January 1966 and January 2018. Only English-language articles were included. We searched for the following terms: 'obesity' OR 'overweight' AND 'naltrexone/bupropion'.

The search of PubMed, the Cochrane Database, and Embase produced 191 articles, most of which derived from PubMed and all of whose titles and abstracts we read. It was possible to exclude 177 articles, none of which fulfilled the search criteria. After reading the full texts, we considered 14 articles: 1 meta-analysis, 10 RCTs, 3 studies without randomization and retrospective analysis (Figure 1).

Publication bias

We performed data extraction for all 14 full texts, not blinded to author or journal, using a predefined extraction sheet (available upon request). The type of information extracted included first author, publication year, quality assessment of the manuscript, mean age of participants, sex proportion, characteristics of patient treatment,



Figure 1. Flow chart for articles researching efficacy of naltrexone for weight loss in adult patients *RCTs* – randomized controlled trials. type of comparator, drug dose, number of participants in active and control groups, and outcome measure used to assess efficacy and safety.

Results

Meta-analysis

To date, only one meta-analysis was found analysing the efficacy of naltrexone in obesity [22]. In this study, naltrexone was one of five agents included in the meta-analysis. Generally, as a primary outcome, the studies found the proportion of patients with at least 5% weight loss and at least 10% weight loss, the magnitude of decrease in weight and discontinuation of therapy because of adverse events at 1 year. In this meta-analysis, participants had at least 5% weight loss, with 55% taking naltrexone/bupropion. Moreover, naltrexone/bupropion was associated with a significant amount of weight loss (5.0 kg) compared with the placebo (control group). However, compared with placebo, naltrexone/bupropion was associated with the highest odds of adverse event-related treatment discontinuation [22]. More specific information is included in Table I.

Randomized controlled trials

We included ten RCTs. One article focused on major adverse cardiovascular events in overweight and obese patients [23]. In this study, the time to the first confirmed occurrence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) occurred in 90 (2.0%) in the naltrexone/bupropion group. The components of the primary composite outcome included occurrence of cardiovascular death in 17 naltrexone/bupropion-treated patients. Adverse events occurred in 543 patients (5.2%) [23].

Four studies [24–27], as a primary outcome, presented percent weight change at week 56 and proportion achieving \geq 5% weight loss at week 56 [24–27]. Generally, in these studies, naltrexone/ bupropion resulted in significantly greater weight change at week 56 and a greater proportion of patients achieving \geq 5% weight loss compared with the placebo [24–27].

In the newest paper, from 2017 [28], the authors presented, as a primary outcome, the percent change in body weight from baseline (day 1) to week 26. They used the standard dose of naltrexone/bupropion connected with comprehensive lifestyle intervention (CLI), a programme containing diet and exercise education. The results were promising. Subjects lost significantly more weight (8.52%) compared to the control group.

One author investigated the cortisol response to naltrexone (cortisol levels at 3 PM and 4 PM on the naltrexone day were higher) and nausea responses to naltrexone. It was found that more

	ומולאשות הו הוורמרל מו		ומור ייזירות מומוליזים כו בוורמהל כו וומורכאסור וכו אהופור נסים וו מממור למוריום					
Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention Comparison	Comparison	Outcome measure	Efficacy assessment	
Khera R <i>et al.</i> (2016)	IF: 7.48 (2015) Category of	N = 29018 n = 2508	Database: MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, Scopus, and Cochrane Central from inception to March 23.	Naltrexone/ bupropion	Placebo	Proportions of patients with at least 5% weight loss and at	Proportion of patients with at least 5% and at least 10% weight loss: naltrexone/bupropion with an OR	
Journal: JAMA	evidence: la	(naltrexone/ bupropion	2016; clinical trial registries Time frame: to March 23, 2016			least 10% weight loss Magnitude of	of 3.96 (95% Crl: 3.03–5.11) Weight loss in excess of placebo	
Title:	Jadad: –	studies)				decrease in weight	naltrexone/bupropion, 5.0 kg (95% Crl:	
Association of						Discontinuation	-5.94 to -3.96 kg)	
Pharmacological	Cochrane risk					of therapy due to	Probability of achieving at least	
Treatments for	of bias: –					adverse events	5%weight loss naltrexone/bupropion	
Obesity With						at 1 year	(SUCRA, 0.60)	
Weight Loss and							Naltrexone/bupropion (OR = 2.64;	
Adverse Events:							95% Crl: 2.10–3.35; SUCRA, 0.23) was	
A Systematic							associated with the highest odds	
Review and Meta-							of being discontinued because	
analysis							of adverse events	

Table I. Meta-analysis of efficacy of naltrexone for weight loss in adult patients

Safety and efficacy of naltrexone for weight loss in adult patients - a systematic review

patients reported experiencing nausea on the naltrexone day) [29]. The changes at 56 weeks in the quality of life were measured by the Impact of Weight on Quality of Life-Lite (IWOOL-Lite) guestionnaire [30]. This instrument showed that improvements in IWQOL-Lite Total Score were more significant in subjects treated with naltrexone/ bupropion [30].

From the psychiatric point of view, two RCTs [31, 32] were significant. These studies focused on the effect of naltrexone on body weight in patients with anti-psychotic treatment. In one, the patients in the naltrexone/bupropion group had significant weight loss (-3.40 kg) compared with weight gain (+1.37 kg) in the patients in the placebo group [31]. In the second study, there was no significant change in BMI. However, it showed that the olanzapine + naltrexone group displayed a significant decrease in fat and increase in fatfree mass, which suggests that the addition of naltrexone to olanzapine may attenuate olanzapine-induced body fat mass gain [32]. More details are included in Table II.

Studies without randomization and retrospective analysis

Three studies without randomization investigating the effectiveness of naltrexone were found. [33-35]. In one study [33], the Reward-Based Eating Drive (RED) scale (non-significant associations with naltrexone) and food-craving intensity (non-significant difference between naltrexone and placebo) were investigated as a primary outcome. Another study investigated the cortisol responses to naltrexone (increased on the naltrexone day) and nausea responses to naltrexone (mean level of nausea severity was 1.23 ±1.3) [34]. The third article focused only as a secondary outcome on the percent change from baseline in body weight (increased slightly in continuous abstainers) [35]. In all three studies, the most common adverse event was nausea [33-35]. More details are included in Table III.

Discussion

Nowadays, obesity treatment goals include body weight reduction and weight maintenance after weight loss [36]. The basic strategy consists of an energy-reduced diet (of 500 kcal/day), introduction of physical activity, behavioural modifications or pharmacological treatment [36]. Moreover, it is probable that the gene therapy-based strategy in modulating metabolism and treating metabolic disorders will be the future of obesity treatment [37].

As a treatment option for obesity, naltrexone/ bupropion has proved efficacious and safe. As

Math Motor Material Intervention Age of the mark Motor of the mark Motor of the mark Motor of the mark 1 IF: 3873 (2015) N = 242 Aduit male and female All male and female All male and female Motor of the mark So motor of			N. FR			N - 17 - 4			-
A et al. [F: 3373 (2015) N = 242 Adult male and female Naliects agod 13 Outweight from Stable (ct) weeks and ady for asoline (ady 10) Category of category of colory weight (ct) category of colory weight (ct) sob of ady for asoline (ady 10) subject, bas subjec	Autnor (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention Comparator	Active <i>N</i> Age % female	Primary outcome	Effect size – ES (95% Cl)	sarety
Chesity (derice: Ib) Calegory of calegory of evidence: Ib) Colong/day for besity (bow) ±9.66 baseline (day 1) significanty more index (BM) Ethod of use aterbod of use aterbod of use aterbod of use aterbods adad: 4/5 30-45 kg/m) 56 weeks and index (BM) the male = 81.7% to week 26 weight than usual aterbods Indexterone Cortnane risk aterbods 30-45 kg/m) commercially set index (BM) commercially set index (BM) the set index (BM) to week 26 weight than usual accurate is veek 26 veek 26 weight than usual accurate is veek 26	Halseth A <i>et al.</i> (2017)	IF: 3.873 (2015)	N = 242	Adult male and female subjects, aged 18	Naltrexone/bupropion (NB) 32 mg/day /	N = 153 Age = 46.1	Percent change in body weight from	At week 26 NB + CLI subjects lost	The most frequent adverse events
Obesity evidence: Ib obesity (body mass index (BMI) Za weeks and commercially available Female = 81.7% to week 26 weight than usual <i>fendrecose</i> Jadad: 4/5 30–45 kg/m) commercially available remale = 81.7% to week 26 weight than usual <i>finatrecone</i> defectorse Jadad: 4/5 30–45 kg/m) comprehensive yeight than care subjects (8.23% <i>finatrecone</i> defretores Jadad: 4/5 or overweight (BMI) intervention (CUI) care subjects (8.23% <i>finatrecone</i> defretores a/45 kg/m) mitervention (CUI) care subjects (8.23% <i>veright in</i> of bias: low risk dyspindataduction and hypertension usual care (diet and hypertension the verice (field and hypertension the verice (field and hypertension <i>set et al.</i> IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients age N = 4456 Time from Time from Set et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 order (mer) Placebo Fandor 543 the field of contineed At the price of bias: order (mer) Distored N = 4456 Time from Time from Time from At the price of bias: If order (mer) Distored N = 4456 Time from Time fros At the price of bias:		Category of		to 60 years, had either	360 mg/day for	±9.66	baseline (day 1)	significantly more	(AEs) that led to
Intervention functionation dering and programme proprion SR of bias: low risk and/or controlled wreight im programme proprion SR of bias: low risk and/or controlled wreight im programme proprion SR of bias: low risk and/or controlled wreight im programme dreaded and programme and/or controlled wreight im programme and/or controlled wreight im programme the study site) 30-45 kg/m³, im intervention (LI) programme mad/or controlled wreight im the study site) Intervention (LI) programme mad/or controlled wreight im the study site) Intervention (LI) programme the stud	Journal: Obesity	evidence: lb		obesity (body mass index [BMI]	26 weeks and commercially available	Female = 81.7%	to week 26	weight than usual care subjects (8.52%	discontinuation of NB for the two
fraithesone coorevergint (BMI intervention (CUI) extretease coftnane risk, of bias: low risk, vweight in 0 faiss: low low risk, vweight in 0 faist vweight in 0 faist vweight in SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged Nattrexone/bupropion N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, vocume in risk, vocuer (men), risk N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, vocume in risk, vocuer (men), risk Patients aged N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, vocume in risk, vocuer (men), risk Patients aged N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, vocume in risk, vocuer (men), risk Patients aged N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, vocume in risk, vocuer (men), risk Patients aged N = 4456 Time from Time from Patiens, rol2 (2.3%) in the vocuer risk, risk Patients aged Patients aged Patiens, rol2 (2.3%) in the vocuer risk, risk Pat	Title: Method of use	Jadad: 4/5		30–45 kg/m²)	comprehensive lifestyle			difference; <i>p</i> < 0.0001)	groups combined
ed releace propion SR propion SE education and recommendations from recommendations from propion SE education and recommendations from the study site) Propion state and recommendations from the study site) Propion state and recommendations from the study site) Propion state state and recommendations from the study site) Propion state state and recommendations from the study site) Propion recommendations from the study site) SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged and strates of the study site) N = 4456 Time from the study site) SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged atterscome/bupropion major N = 4456 Time from the prostom atterscome/bupropion major Time from the prostom atterscome/bupropion major Time from atterscome/bupropion atters	study of naltrexone			or overweight (BMI	intervention (CLI)				included nausea
proprior SR vweight in dis suith and/or controlled by pertension in SE et al. of bias by and/or controlled by pertension in the study site) and/or controlled exercise education and exercise education and the study site) SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged by age N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, the study site) SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged by age N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, the patients god SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged or older (men), placebo N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, the patients god SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged or older (men), placebo Patients aged from on the first placebo N = 4456 Time from Time to first MACE, the patients, 102 (2.3%) in the patients, 102 (2.3%) in the patients, 102 (2.3%) in the placebo Sector Jadad: 4/5 and having a wist Placebo Female = 54.7% to first from Time to first MACE, the placebo godu and occurrence of the placebo group and occurrence of the placebo group and the study state Placebo Female = 54.7% to first from Time to first MACE, the placebo group and occurrence of the placebo group and the state 90, 20%) in the states Advecse of blas or none N = 0.0 0.102 cm 0.102 cm Advecse of blas or none	sustained release	Cochrane risk		27–45 kg/m²) with	programme				(7.0%), anxiety
v weight in adds with of bias and/or controlled bual care (diet and recommendations from the study site) Stand study site) Add Stand study site) Add Stand study site) SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged the study site) N = 4456 Time from the study site) SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged the study site) N = 4456 Time from the study site) SE et al. IF: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients, 102 (2.3%) in the study site) N = 4456 Time from the study site) Time from the study site) State of frec of Jadad: 4/5 Ime from the study site) N = 4456 Time from the patients, 102 (2.3%) in the study site Patients, 102 (2.3%) in the study site frec of Jadad: 4/5 and having a waist Patients, 102 (2.3%) in the study site 90 (2.0%) in the 90 (2.0%) in the 90 (2.0%) in the 90 (2.0%) in the 90 (2.0%) in the occurrence of the male 90 (2.0%) in the 90 (2.0%) i	(SR)/bupropion SR	of bias: low risk		dyslipidaemia					(2.1%), headache
uals withhypertensionexercise education and recommendations from the study site)exercise education and recommendationsSE et al.IF: 7.48 (2015)N = 8910Patients agedNaltrexone/buprojon the study site)N = 4456Time fromTime to first MACE, occurred in 192SE et al.IF: 7.48 (2015)N = 8910Patients agedNaltrexone/buprojonN = 4456Time fromTime to first MACE, occurred in 192SE et al.IF: 7.48 (2015)N = 8910Patients agedNaltrexone/buprojonN = 4456Time fromTime to first MACE, occurred in 192Stadd 4/5Category of(women) or 45 yearsPlaceboFemale = 54.7%to the firstthe placebo group and occurrence of:ffect ofJadad: 4/5and having a waistor older (men),PlaceboFemale = 54.7%to the firstthe placebo group and occurrence of:adverseof bias: low riskwomen) or 102 cmcmconfirmed90 (2.0%) in the of plas: low risk90 (2.0%) in the occurrence of:adverseof bias: low riskor morecmccurrence of:00 (2.0%) in the occurrence of:adverseof bias: low riskor more (men)or moreccurrence of:90.7%adverseof bias: low riskor more (men)cruitorccurrence of:00.7%adverseof bias: low riskor more (men)cruitorccurrence of:00.7%adverseof bias: low riskor more (men)cruitorccurrence of:00.7%ad	on body weight in	of bias		and/or controlled	Usual care (diet and				(1.7%), dizziness
The study site)recommendations from the study site)recommendations from the study site)SE et al.[F: 7.48 (2015) N = 8910 Patients aged 50 wars or olderNattrexone/bupropion 32 mg/day 780 mg/dayN = 4456 Time from A = 4456 Time fromTime from the placebo group and 90 (2.0%) in the 90.(2.0%)	individuals with			hypertension	exercise education and				(1.2%), and
SE et al.IF: 7.48 (2015)N = 8910Patients agedNaltrexone/burropionN = 4456Time fromTime to first MACE,Se et al.50 years or older32 mg/day / 360 mg/dayAge = 61.1treatmentoccurred in 192So years or older50 years or older32 mg/day / 360 mg/dayAge = 61.1treatmentoccurred in 192So years or older32 mg/day / 360 mg/dayAge = 61.1treatmentoccurred in 192So years or older32 mg/day / 360 mg/dayAge = 61.1treatmentoccurred in 192So years or older0 rds / 5montonand naving a waistconfirmed90 (2.0%) in the <i>ffect of</i> Jadad: 4/5and having a waistmoremoor90 (2.0%) in the <i>on on</i> Cochrane risk88 cm or moremoremoor90 (2.0%) in the <i>on on</i> Cochrane risk0 r 102 cmmoorconfirmed90 (2.0%) in the <i>adverse</i> of bias: low risk(women) or 102 cmmajorgroup (HR = 0.8%) <i>adverse</i> of bias: low riskor more (men)moorcardiovascularCI: 0.57-1.34) <i>adverse</i> of bias: low riskor more (men)events (MACE)97 % <i>adverse</i> fractionevents (MACE)fractiovascularCI: 0.57-1.34) <i>adverse</i> fractiovascularcardiovascularCI: 0.57-1.34) <i>adverse</i> fractiovascularcardiovascularCI: 0.57-1.34) <i>adverse</i> fractiovascularcardiovascularCI: 0.57-1.34) <i>adverse</i> fract	obesity				recommendations from the study site)				insomnia (1.2%)
Solvears or older32 mg/day / 360 mg/dayAge = 61.1treatmentoccurred in 192: IAMACategory of evidence: Ib(women) or 45 years or older (men), ± 7.27 randomizationpatients, 102 (2.3%) in ± 7.27 <i>ffect of</i> Jadad: 4/5or older (men), BMI 27–50 kg/m²,PlaceboFemale = 54.7%to the firstthe placebo group and confirmed <i>ffect of</i> Jadad: 4/5and having a waistor older (men), majorPlaceboFemale = 54.7%to the firstthe placebo group and confirmed <i>ffect of</i> Jadad: 4/5and having a waistoccurrence of majoranthersone/burpropion <i>one</i> Cochrane risk88 cm or morese on firstto the firstthe placebo <i>adverse</i> of bias: low risk(women) or 102 cmmajorgroup and cardiovascular99.7% <i>adverse</i> of biasor more (men)or more (men)events (MACE)97.7-1.34) <i>ascular Nith</i> of biasor more (men)events (MACE)17.0.57-1.34) <i>ascular Nith</i> or more (men)or more (men)events (MACE)17.0.57-1.34) <i>ascular Nith</i> of biasor more (men)events (MACE)17.0.57-1.34) <i>ascular Nith</i> of biasor more (men)events (MACE)17.0.57-1.34) <i>ascular Nith</i> or more (men)events (MACE)17.0.57-1.34) <i>ascular Nith</i> or more (men)events (MACE)17.0.57-1.34) <i>ascular Nith</i> or more (men)events (MACE)17.0.57-1.34)tital <t< td=""><td>Nissen SE <i>et al.</i></td><td>IF: 7.48 (2015)</td><td>N = 8910</td><td>Patients aged</td><td>Naltrexone/bupropion</td><td>N = 4456</td><td>Time from</td><td>Time to first MACE,</td><td>Adverse event</td></t<>	Nissen SE <i>et al.</i>	IF: 7.48 (2015)	N = 8910	Patients aged	Naltrexone/bupropion	N = 4456	Time from	Time to first MACE,	Adverse event
A Category of evidence: Ib Women) or 45 years ±7.27 randomization patients, 102 (2.3%) in A evidence: Ib or older (men), Placebo Female = 54.7% to the first the placebo group and 0 (2.0%) in the confirmed of Jadad: 4/5 and having a waist or older (men), Placebo Female = 54.7% to the first the placebo group and 0 (2.0%) in the confirmed of Jadad: 4/5 and having a waist or online 90 (2.0%) in the occurrence of: nattrexone/bupropion n Cochrane risk 88 cm or more ccurrence of: nattrexone/bupropion n Cochrane risk 0 finas: low risk (women) or 102 cm group (HR = 0.88; and of bias or more (men) or more (men) cardiovascular Cl. 0.57-1.34) and ats With events (MACE) events (MACE) co.57-1.34)	(2016)			50 years or older	32 mg/day / 360 mg/day	Age = 61.1	treatment	occurred in 192	occurred in 543
A evidence: Ib or older (men), BMI 27–50 kg/m², BMI 27–50 kg/m², and having a waist Placebo Female = 54.7% to the first of Jadad: 4/5 and having a waist confirmed occurrence of: major n Cochrane risk 88 cm or more occurrence of: major adverse see of bias: low risk (women) or 102 cm adverse lar of bias or more (men) events (MACE) and ts With ts With events (MACE)		Category of		(women) or 45 years		±7.27	randomization	patients, 102 (2.3%) in	patients (5.2%)
of Jadad: 4/5 and having a waist occurrence of: n Cochrane risk sk cm or more major se of bias: low risk (women) or 102 cm adverse lar of bias or more cardiovascular lar of bias or more cardiovascular lar of bias or more cardiovascular lar of bias or more (men) events (MACE)	Journal: JAMA	evidence: lb		or older (men), BMI 27–50 kg/m²,	Placebo	Female = 54.7%	to the first confirmed	the placebo group and 90 (2.0%) in the	
n Cochrane risk circumference of major se of bias: low risk (women) or 102 cm lar of bias or more (men) or more (men) or the cardiovascular and ats With lar Risk cardiovascular events (MACE) adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) cm events (MACE)	Title: <i>Effect of</i>	Jadad: 4/5		and having a waist			occurrence of:	naltrexone/bupropion	
n Cochrane risk 88 cm or more adverse se of bias: low risk (women) or 102 cm lar of bias or more (men) or more (men) events (MACE) and ats With lar Risk ed	Naltrexone-			circumference of			major	group (HR = 0.88 ;	
se of bias: low risk (women) or 102 cm cardiovascular lar of bias or more (men) and events (MACE) and ats With lar Risk ed	Bupropion on	Cochrane risk		88 cm or more			adverse	99.7%	
lar of bias or more (men) and 1s With lar Risk ed	Major Adverse	of bias: low risk		(women) or 102 cm			cardiovascular	CI: 0.57–1.34)	
Events in Overweight and Obese Patients With Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Randomized Clinical Trial	Cardiovascular	of bias		or more (men)			events (MACE)		
Overweight and Obese Patients With Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Randomized Clinical Trial	Events in								
Obese Patients With Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Randomized Clinical Trial	Overweight and								
Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Randomized Clinical Trial	Obese Patients With								
Factors: A Randomized Clinical Trial	Cardiovascular Risk								
A Randomized Clinical Trial	Factors:								
Clinical Trial	A Randomized								
	Clinical Trial								

Table II. Randomized controlled trials of efficacy of naltrexone for weight loss in adult patients

Table II. Cont.								
Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention Comparator	Active <i>N</i> Age % female	Primary outcome	Efficacy assessment Effect size – ES (95% Cl)	Safety
						Defined as cardiovascular death Nonfat stroke	The components of the primary composite outcome included cardiovascular death in 34 placebo- treated patients (0.8%) and 17 naltrexone/bupropion- treated patients (0.4%; HR = 0.50; 99.7% CI: 0.21–1.19) Nonfatal stroke occurred in 19 pratients (0.4%)	
							in the placents (0.4%) in the placebo group and 21 (0.5%) in the naltrexone/ bupropion group (HR = 1.10, 99.7% Cl: 0.44–2.78)	
						Nonfat myocardial infarction	Nonfatal myocardial infarction occurred in 54 patients (1.2%) in the placebo group and 54 (1.2%) in the naltrexone/ bupropion group (HR = 1.00; 99.7% CI: 0.57–1.75)	
Kolotkin RL <i>et al.</i> (2015)	IF: no data (2015)	N = 3362	Patients with BMI 30–45 kg/m², or a BMI 27–45 kg/m² and	Naltrexone/bupropion 32 mg/day / 360 mg/day	N= 2043 Age = 46 ±11 Female = 81%	Changes at 56 weeks in quality of life,	Improvements in IWQOL-Lite Total Score were greater in subjects	Adverse events: the most frequently reported
Journal: Clinical Obesity	Category of evidence: Ib		controlled hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia	Placebo		measured by the Impact of Weight on Quality of Life-	treated with NB32 (11.9 points [SE = 0.3]) vs. placebo (8.2 points	being nausea, constipation, headache and
reported quality of reported quality of life in a randomized placebo-controlled trial of naltrexone/ bupropion for	cochrane risk Cochrane risk of bias: unclear risk of bias					questionnaire	(SE = 0.2); p < 0.001), corresponding to weight reductions of 7.0% (SE = 0.2) and 2.3% (SE = 0.2)	

Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention Comparator	Active N Age % female	Primary outcome	Efficacy assessment Effect size – ES (95% Cl)	Safety
Mason AE <i>et al.</i> (2015) Journal: Apetite Title: Acute responses to opioidergic blockade as a biomarker of hedonic eating among obese women enrolled in a mindfulness- based weight loss intervention trial	IF: 1.47 (2016) Category of evidence: Ib Jadad: 2/5 Cochrane risk of bias: unclear risk of bias	× = 88	BMI of 30–45.9 kg/m², abdominal obesity (female waist circumference > 88 cm), and age 18 or older	All participants ingested the placebo and the 50 mg naltrexone	N = 88 Age = 46.7 ±13.2 Female = 100%	Cortisol responses	Cortisol levels at 1 PM on the placebo day (median = 4.35) and naltrexone day (median = 3.70) were not statistically significantly different, Z = -1.29, $p = 0.20Cortisol levels at 3 PMon the naltrexone day(median = 3.87) werehigher than thoseon the placebo day(median = 2.19),Z = 4.25$, $p < 0.001Similarly, cortisollevels at 4 PM on thenaltrexone day(median = 4.63) werehigher thanthose on the placeboday (median = 1.95),Z = 5.70$, $p < 0.001$	Adverse event: nausea
						Nausea responses	Significantly more women reported experiencing nausea on the naltrexone day (n = 38, 43.2%) than on the placebo day $(n = 15,$ 17.0%; p < 0.001)	
Tek C et al. (2014) Journal: J Clin Psychopharmacol Title: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study of naltrexone to counteract antipsychotic- associated weight gain: proof of concept	IF: 2.38 (2014) Category of evidence: Ib Jadad: 3/5 Cochrane risk of bias: unclear risk of bias	N = 24	Overweight women between the ages of 18–70 who met DSM-IV criteria for schizoaffective disorder, based on SCID	Naltrexone (NTX) 25 mg/day Placebo	N = 11 Age = 50.0 ±9.6 Female = 100%	Change in body weight from baseline	Patients in the NTX group had significant weight loss (-3.40 kg) compared with weight gain (+1.37 kg) in the patients in the placebo group The subjects assigned to NTX had a significant reduction in BMI (-1.37; CI: -2.054 to -0.68 ; F = 15.86; $p = 0.001$) vs. that of PLA (0.57; CI: -0.79 to -1.22) after the intervention	The medication did not produce any adverse change in psychiatric symptoms and was well tolerated

946

Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention Comparator	Active N Age % female	Primary outcome	Efficacy assessment Effect size – ES (95% Cl)	Safety
Taveira TH et al. (2014) Journal: J Psychopharmacol Title: The effect of naltrexone on body fat mass in olanzapine-treated schizophrenic or schizophrenic or patients: a randomized double-blind pilot study	IF: 2.79 (2015) Category of evidence: Ib Jadad: 3/5 Cochrane risk of bias: unclear risk of bias	N = 30	Patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder on a stable dose of olanzapine (OLZ) ($\geq 5 $ mg/day), BMI $\geq 30 $ kg/m ² or BMI $\geq 27 $ kg/m ² or BMI $\geq 27 $ kg/m ² or BMI $\geq 27 $ kg/m ² or field a symptom of metabolic syndrome (i.e. hypertension, dyslipidaemia or fasting blood) glucose > 125 mg/dl	Naltrexone (50 mg/day) Placebo	N = 14 Age = 43.6 ±11.2 Female = 37.5%	The change in BMI at 12 weeks	No significant change in BMI. However, the OLZ + NTX group displayed a significant decrease in fat and increase in fat- free mass. The group-by- time interaction showed a significant increase in fat-free mass in the NTX group over time ($p = 0.03$) without significant group ($p = 0.22$) or time effects ($p = 0.20$)	No participants reported that they discontinued the study due to adverse side effects
Hollander P et al. (2013) Journal: Diabetes Care Title: <i>Effects</i> <i>of naltrexone</i> <i>sustained-release/</i> <i>bupropion</i> <i>sustained-release</i> <i>combination therapy</i> <i>on body weight</i> <i>and glycaemic</i> <i>parameters in</i> <i>overweight and</i> <i>obese patients with</i> <i>type 2 diabetes</i>	IF: 5.00 (2013) Category of evidence: Ib Jadad: 4/5 Cochrane risk of bias of bias	N = 505	Smoking or non- smoking men and women with type 2 diabetes, aged 18–70 years, with a BMI \geq 27 kg/m ² and \leq 45 kg/m ² , HbA ₁₄ , between 7% (53 mmol/mol) and 10% (86 mmol/mol), and fasting blood glucose < 270 mg/dl	Naltrexone/bupropion 32 mg/day / 360 mg/day Placebo	N = 335 Age = 54.0 ±9.1 Female = 58.2%	Percent change in body weight from baseline to week 56 compared with placebo	NB resulted in significantly greater weight reduction (–5.0 vs. –1.8%; <i>p</i> < 0.001) and compared with placebo	Adverse events: nausea (withdrawal 9.6%), constipation, vomiting, diarrhoea Incidence of serious adverse events was low (3.9% for NB and 4.7% for placebo)
						Percentage of participants achieving ≥ 5% reduction in body weight from baseline to week 56 compared with placebo	NB resulted in significantly greater weight proportion of patients achieving $\geq 5\%$ weight loss (44.5 vs. 18.9%, $p < 0.001$)	

Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention Comparator	Active <i>N</i> Age % female	Primary outcome	Efficacy assessment Effect size – ES (95% CI)	Safety
Apovian CM et al. (2013) Journal: Obesity (Silver Spring) Title: A Randomized, Phase 3 Trial of Naltrexone SR/ Bupropion SR on Weight and Obesity-related Risk Factors (COR-II)	IF: 5.18 (2013) Category of evidence: Ib Jadad: 5/5 Cochrane risk of bias: low risk of bias	N = 1496	Patients with BMI 30-45 kg/m², or a BMI 27-45 kg/m² and controlled hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia	32 mg/day naltrexone SR + 360 mg/day bupropion SR (NB32) Placebo	N=1001 Age= 44.3 ±11.2 Female = 84.6%	Percent weight change	Significantly ($p < 0.001$) greater weight loss was observed with NB32 versus placebo at week 28 (-6.5% vs1.9%) and week 56 (-6.4% vs1.2%)	Most common adverse event: nausea Discontinuations in both groups during the first 8 weeks of the study, with more discontinuations, particularly because of AEs, occurring with NB
						Proportion achieving ≥ 5% weight loss at week 28	More NB32-treated participants (p < 0.001) experienced ≥5% weight loss versus placebo at week 28 (55.6% vs. 17.5%) and week 56 (50.5% vs. 17.1%)	
Wadden TA et al. (2011) Journal: Obesity (Silver Spring) Title: Weight loss with naltrexone SR/bupropion SR combination therapy as an adjunct to behaviour modification: the COR-BMOD trial	IF: 4.41 (2011) Category of evidence : Ib Jadad: 5/5 Cochrane risk of bias: low risk of bias	N = 793	Patients 18–65 years of age who had a BMI of 30–45 kg/m², or a BMI of 27–45 kg/m² in the presence of controlled hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia	32 mg/day naltrexone SR + 360 mg/day bupropion SR (NB32) Placebo	N = 591 Age = 45.9 ±10.4 Female = 89.3%	Percent weight change at week 56	At week 56, participants treated with placebo + behavior modification (BMOD) lost 5.1 ±0.6% of initial weight, compared with a significantly (p < 0.001) greater 9.3 ±0.4% for those who received NB32 + BMOD	Presents AEs that occurred in $\geq 5\%$ of participants in either treatment group and with group and with greater incidence in NB32 + BMOD than in placebo + BMOD Nausea in 34.1% of participants treated by NB32 + BMOD reporting at least one event, compared to 10.5% for placebo + BMOD ($p < 0.001$) Others: constipation, dizziness, dry mouth, tremor, abdominal pain, ad tinnitus occurred more often in the NB32 + BMOD report han

Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention Comparator	Active <i>N</i> Age % female	Primary outcome	Efficacy assessment Effect size – ES (95% Cl)	Safety
						Proportion achieving ≥ 5% weight loss at week 56	The proportions of participants who achieved \geq 5%, \geq 10%, and \geq 15% reductions in baseline weight were greater with NB32 + BMOD than with placebo + BMOD ($p < 0.001$)	
Greenway FL et al. (2011) Journal: Lancet Title: <i>Effect</i> of naltrexone plus bupropion on weight loss in overweight and obese adults (COR-I): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial	IF = 8.56 (2010) Category of evidence: Ib Jadad: 5/5 Cochrane risk of bias of bias	N = 1742	Men and women aged 18-65 years who had a BMI of 30-45 kg/m ² and uncomplicated obesity or BMI 27-45 kg/m ² with dyslipidaemia or hypertension	32 mg/day naltrexone SR + 360 mg/day bupropion SR (NB32) 16 mg/day naltrexone SR + 360 mg/day bupropion SR (NB32) Placebo	n = 583 Age = 44.4 ±11.3 Female = 85% n = 578 Age = 44.4 ±11.1 Female = 85%	Percent weight change at week 56	Mean change in body weight was -1.3% (SE = 0.3) in the placebo group, -6.1% (0.3) in the naltrexone 32 mg plus bupropion group ($p < 0.0001$ vs. placebo) and -5.0% (0.3) in the naltrexone 16 mg plus bupropion group ($p < 0.0001$ vs. placebo)	Nausea (naltrexone 32 mg plus bupropion, 171 participants [29.8%]; naltrexone 16 mg plus bupropion, 155 [27.2%]; placebo, 30 [5.3%]) Headache, constipation, dry mouth were also more frequent in the naltrexone plus bupropion groups than placebo
						Proportion achieving ≥ 5% weight loss at week 56	84 (16%) participants in placebo had a decrease in body weight of 5% or more compared with 226 (48%) assigned to naltrexone 32 mg plus bupropion ($p < 0.0001$ vs. placebo) and 186 (39%) assigned to naltrexone 16 mg plus bupropion ($p < 0.0001$ vs. placebo)	

Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of pa- tients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention comparator	Active N Age % female	Primary outcome	Efficacy assessment Effect size - ES (95% Cl)	Safety
Mason AE <i>et al.</i> (2015) Journal: Eating Behaviors Title: <i>Putting the</i> <i>brakes on the "drive</i> <i>to eat": Pilot effects of</i> <i>naltrexone and reward-</i> <i>based eating on food</i> <i>cravings among obese</i> <i>women</i>	IF: 2.23 (2015) Category of evidence: IIa Jadad: 0/5	N = 44	Female sex, overweight status (30 ≤ body mass index [BMI] ≤ 40 kg/m²), and age of 20-45 years	Placebo 50 mg naltrexone 25 mg naltrexone 1:00 PM (after lunch) on days 1 (placebo), 7 (placebo), 10 (50 mg naltrexone), and 4 weeks after day 10 (50 mg naltrexone)	N = 44 Age: 32.7 ±7.6 Female: 100%	Reward-Based Eating Drive (RED) scale	Significant positive associations between RED and craving intensity on each placebo day (<i>p</i> = 0.017, <i>p</i> = 0.034) and non- significant associations on naltrexone days	Adverse event: nausea
						Food-craving intensity	Placebo, 25 mg, and 50 mg doses did not differentially impact craving intensity	
							Revealed a dose RED interaction such that the association between RED and craving intensity differed between the placebo and 50 mg doses [b = -0.06, SE(b) = 0.02, 95% Cl: -0.099, -0.012, $p = 0.012]$	
							The association between RED and craving intensity did not significantly differ between the placebo and 25 mg doses	
Daubenmier J <i>et al.</i> (2014) Journal: Appetite	IF: 0.34 (2014) Category	N = 33	Female with BMI between 25 and 40 kg/m ² ; pre- menopausal; no	Naltrexone (50 mg)	N = 33 Age = 40.9 ±8.0 Female =	Cortisol responses to naltrexone	Cortisol decreased by 3.6 \pm 2.2 nmol/l between 1 PM and 4 PM on the control days (95% CI: 2.8–4.4; $t(32) = 9.4$, $p < 0.001$) and increased on the	Adverse event: nausea
Title: A new biomarker of hedonic eating? A preliminary investigation of cortisol and nausea responses to acute opioid blockade	evidence: Ila Jadad: 0/5		disorder disease, or disease, or active endocrinologic disorder				p = 0.02) between 1 PM and 4 PM	

Table III. Non-randomized studies of efficacy of naltrexone for weight loss in adult patients

Author (year), journal, title	Quality	No. of pa- tients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention comparator	Active <i>N</i> Age % female	Primary outcome	Efficacy assessment Effect size - ES (95% CI)	Safety
						Nausea responses to naltrexone	The mean level of nausea severity was 1.23 ±1.3	
Wilcox CS <i>et al.</i> (2010) Journal: Addict Behav Title: An open-label study of nattrexone and bupropion combination therapy for smoking cessation in overweight and obese subjects	IF: 3.13 (2010) Category of ilb Jadad: 0/5 Jadad: 0/5	N = 30	18 to 65 years of age; BMI ≥ 27 and age; BMI ≥ 27 and an average of ≥ 10 cigarettes/day in the preceding year with < 3 months of total abstinence; an expired CO concentration > 10 ppm; self- reported motivation to stop smoking of ≥ 7 on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 defined as highest moderate concern about gaining weight after quitting smoking (on a scale of 1-10, where a score of 5 indicates moderate weight gain is defined as at least 10 lbs); systolic blood pressure ≤ 140 mmHg; diastolic blood pressure ≤ 90 mmHg (a stable regimen of antihypertensive medications was	Naltrexone SR (8 mg)/bupropion SR (90 mg), with final daily doses of 32 mg/ day naltrexone SR and 360 mg/day bupropion SR	N = 30 Age = 42.5 ±11.3 Female = 53.3%	As a secondary endpoint: percent change from baseline in body weight	Body weight did not significantly change in the entire population (0.4 ±3.0%, <i>p</i> = 0.555), but increased slightly in continuous abstainers (1.3 ±3.3%, <i>p</i> = 0.148)	Treatment-emergent adverse events with frequency ≥ 10% The combination of naltrexone and bupropion was generally well tolerated; the most common adverse events were nausea, insomnia, and constipation

a treatment for body weight in patients with antipsychotic treatment, this combination of medicaments is a subject with limited high-quality research (only two were found) [31, 32]. However, the results of the thirteen articles are promising for intervention with obese subjects after longitudinal treatment. Moreover, naltrexone/bupropion had an influence on cortisol increases [29]. Patients with higher cortisol levels may have greater reductions in food addiction symptoms [29]. This might be useful in the treatment of this group of patients.

In these evaluated studies, we noted enormous heterogeneity, including study protocol, population groups, the period of treatment and the main outcomes. Despite this fact, the heterogeneity might complicate the extrapolation of findings to obese subjects with antipsychotic treatment (only two from thirteen studies used mental health patients as a population) [31, 32]. The authors of the current study decided to include different studies to widen the point of view on the obesity problem. This may contribute to the deepening of the problem of obesity in patients with antipsychotic-associated weight gain in future research projects.

Naltrexone/bupropion treatment can be a promising therapy for obese patients and also for mental health treatment. Based on the above-mentioned studies, naltrexone/bupropion therapy also appears to be safe. Generally, the toleration of naltrexone/ bupropion was good. The most common side effect was nausea. However, based on all of the studies, this choice of therapy should be individual, given entity sensitivity (adverse events caused dropouts).

Moreover, another study [38] showed that naltrexone/bupropion might be useful in reducing binge-eating symptoms related to major depressive disorder and obesity. The authors of that study investigated the relationship between change in eating behaviour and changes in weight, control of eating, and depressive signs. Improvement in eating symptoms was observed between 4 and 24 weeks.

However, another author demonstrated that naltrexone as an opioid-receptor antagonist influences pain tolerance. Also, he showed that naltrexone-induced changes in pain were correlated with depression scores [39].

In conclusion, our systematic review suggests that naltrexone/bupropion treatment is effective in the accomplishment of weight loss amongst overweight subjects. The naltrexone/bupropion treatment was well tolerated by the patients, and side effects were rarely reported.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Dibaise JK, Foxx-Orenstein AE. Role of the gastroenterologist in managing obesity. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 7: 439-51.
- 2. Global Health Observatory. Obesity and overweight: World Health Organization 2017. Available at: http:// www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/overweight/en/.
- 3. Ogden CL, Flegal KM. Changes in terminology for childhood overweight and obesity. Natl Health Stat Report 2010; 25: 1-5.
- 4. Lovren F, Teoh H, Verma S. Obesity and atherosclerosis: mechanistic insights. Can J Cardiol 2015; 31: 177-83.
- Sliwinska A, Kasinska MA, Drzewoski J. MicroRNAs and metabolic disorders – where are we heading? Arch Med Sci 2017; 13: 885-96.
- The Look AHEAD Research Group. The look AHEAD study: A description of the lifestyle intervention and the evidence supporting it. Obesity 2006; 14: 737-52.
- Saunders KH, Kumar RH, Igel LI, Aronne LJ. Pharmacologic approaches to weight management: recent gains and shortfalls in combating obesity. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2016; 18: 36.
- 8. Casey DE, Zorn SH. The pharmacology of weight gain with antipsychotics. J Clin Psychiatry 2001; 62 (Suppl 7): 4-10.
- 9. Marston OJ, Heisler LK. Targeting the serotonin 2C receptor for the treatment of obesity and type 2 diabetes. Neuropsychopharmacology 2009; 34: 252-3.
- 10. Yeomans MR, Gray RW. Opioid peptides and the control of human ingestive behaviour. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2002; 26: 713-28.
- Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, Bessesen DH, et al. Pharmacological management of obesity: an endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015; 100: 342-62.
- 12. Pucci A, Finer N. New medications for treatment of obesity: metabolic and cardiovascular effects. Can J Cardiol 2015; 31: 142-52.
- 13. Greenway FL, Whitehouse MJ, Guttadauria M, et al. Rational design of a combination medication for the treatment of obesity. Obesity 2009; 17: 30-9.
- Greenway FL, Dunayevich E, Tollefson G, et al. Comparison of combined bupropion and naltrexone therapy for obesity with monotherapy and placebo. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009; 94: 4898-906.
- 15. Smith SR, Fujioka K, Gupta AK, et al. Combination therapy with naltrexone and bupropion for obesity reduces total and visceral adiposity. Diabetes Obes Metab 2013; 15: 863-6.
- 16. Christou GA, Kiortsis DN. The efficacy and safety of the naltrexone/bupropion combination for the treatment of obesity: an update. Hormones 2015; 14: 370-5.
- 17. Gadde KM, Xiong GL. Bupropion for weight reduction. Expert Rev Neurother 2007; 7: 17-24.
- Verpeut JL, Bello NT. Drug safety evaluation of naltrexone/bupropion for the treatment of obesity. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2014; 13: 831-41.
- 19. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996; 17: 1-12.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Sterne JAC. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Available at: http://handbook.cochrane.org/ (accessed: September 15, 2015).
- 21. Shekelle PG, Woolf SH, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Clinical guidelines: developing guidelines. BMJ 1999; 318: 593-6.
- 22. Khera R, Murad MH, Chandar AK, et al. Association of pharmacological treatments for obesity with weight

loss and adverse events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2016; 315: 2424-34.

- 23. Nissen SE, Wolski KE, Prcela L, et al. Effect of naltrexone-bupropion on major adverse cardiovascular events in overweight and obese patients with cardiovascular risk factors: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2016; 315: 990-1004.
- 24. Hollander P, Gupta AK, Plodkowski R, et al. Effects of naltrexone sustained-release/bupropion sustained-release combination therapy on body weight and glycemic parameters in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2013; 36: 4022-9.
- Apovian CM, Aronne L, Rubino D, et al. A randomized, phase 3 trial of naltrexone SR/bupropion SR on weight and obesity-related risk factors (COR-II). Obesity 2013; 21: 935-43.
- 26. Wadden TA, Foreyt JP, Foster GD, et al. Weight loss with naltrexone SR/bupropion SR combination therapy as an adjunct to behavior modification: the COR-BMOD trial. Obesity 2011; 19: 110-20.
- 27. Greenway FL, Fujioka K, Plodkowski RA, et al. Effect of naltrexone plus bupropion on weight loss in overweight and obese adults (COR-I): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2010; 376: 595-605.
- Halseth A, Shan K, Walsh B, Gilder K, Fujioka K. Method of use study of naltrexone sustained release (SR)/ bupropion SR on body weight in individuals with obesity. Obesity 2017; 5: 338-45.
- 29. Mason AE, Lustig RH, Brown RR, et al. Acute responses to opioidergic blockade as a biomarker of hedonic eating among obese women enrolled in a mindfulness-based weight loss intervention trial. Appetite 2015; 91: 311-20.
- Kolotkin RL, Chen S, Klassen P, Gilder K, Greenway FL. Patient-reported quality of life in a randomized placebo-controlled trial of naltrexone/bupropion for obesity. Clin Obes 2015; 5: 237-44.
- Tek C, Ratliff J, Reutenauer E, Ganguli R, O'Malley SS. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study of naltrexone to counteract antipsychotic-associated weight gain: proof of concept. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2014; 34: 608-12.
- 32. Taveira TH, Wu WC, Tschibelu E, et al. The effect of naltrexone on body fat mass in olanzapine-treated schizophrenic or schizoaffective patients: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled pilot study. J Psychopharmacol 2014; 28: 395-400.
- 33. Mason AE, Laraia B, Daubenmier J, et al. Putting the brakes on the "drive to eat": pilot effects of naltrexone and reward-based eating on food cravings among obese women. Eat Behav 2015; 19: 53-6.
- 34. Daubenmier J, Lustig RH, Hecht FM, et al. A new biomarker of hedonic eating? A preliminary investigation of cortisol and nausea responses to acute opioid blockade. Appetite 2014; 74: 92-100.
- 35. Wilcox CS, Oskooilar N, Erickson JS, et al. An open-label study of naltrexone and bupropion combination therapy for smoking cessation in overweight and obese subjects. Addict Behav 2010; 35: 229-34.
- 36. Blüher M. Conservative obesity treatment when and how? Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2015; 140: 24-8.
- 37. Gao M, Liu D. Gene therapy for obesity: progress and prospects. Discov Med 2014; 17: 319-28.
- 38. Guerdjikova AI, Walsh B, Shan K, Halseth AE, Dunayevich E, McElroy SL. Concurrent improvement in both binge eating and depressive symptoms with naltrexone/bupropion therapy in overweight or obese subjects

with major depressive disorder in an open-label, uncontrolled study. Adv Ther 2017; 34: 2307-15.

39. Price RC, Christou NV, Backman SB, Stone L, Schweinhardt P. Opioid-receptor antagonism increases pain and decreases pleasure in obese and non-obese individuals. Psychopharmacology 2016; 233: 3869-79.